	Page 1		Page 2
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	January 17, 2019
	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO	2	9:06 a.m.
	EASTERN DIVISION	3	7.00 d.m.
	X	4	Videstaned densition of DUDDUE DUADMA
	IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION MDL No 2804		Videotaped deposition of PURDUE PHARMA,
	OPIATE LITIGATION,	5	through its representative, THOMAS P.
	Case No 17-MD-2804	6	NAPOLI, held at the offices of LIEFF
	This document relates to:	7	CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN LLP, 250
	All Cases Hon Dan A Polster	8	Hudson Street, New York, New York, pursuant
	X	9	to Notice, before Annette Arlequin, a
	***************************************	10	Certified Court Reporter, a Registered
	** HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER **	11	Professional Reporter, a Realtime Systems
	** CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW ** VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION	12	Administrator, a Certified Realtime
	OF	13	Reporter, and a Notary Public of the State
	THOMAS P NAPOLI	14	of New York and New Jersey.
	New York, New York	15	
	Thursday, January 17, 2019	16	
	•	17	
		18	
		19	
		20	
		21	
		22	
	Reported by:	23	
	ANNETTE ARLEQUIN, CCR, RPR, CRR, RSA	24	
		24	
	Page 3		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:	1	APPEARANCES(CONT'D.):
2		2	
3	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP	3	JONES DAY
4	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	4	Attorneys for Walmart
5	655 West Broadway - Suite 1900	5	North Point
6	San Diego, California 92101	6	
1			901 Lakeside Avenue
7	BY: THOMAS E. EGLER, ESQ.	7	901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190
	BY: THOMAS E. EGLER, ESQ. Tegler@rgrdlaw.com		
7		7	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190
7 8	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com	7 8	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ.
7 8 9	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ.	7 8 9	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ.
7 8 9 10	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ.	7 8 9 10	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ. Ahollingsworth@jonesday.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
7 8 9 10 11	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ. Kblack@rgrdlaw.com  MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	7 8 9 10 11 12	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ. Ahollingsworth@jonesday.com  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Attorneys for Allergan Finance LLC
7 8 9 10 11 12	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ. Kblack@rgrdlaw.com  MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys for Teva Pharmaceuticals	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ. Ahollingsworth@jonesday.com  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Attorneys for Allergan Finance LLC 300 North LaSalle
7 8 9 10 11 12	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ. Kblack@rgrdlaw.com  MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys for Teva Pharmaceuticals 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ. Ahollingsworth@jonesday.com  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Attorneys for Allergan Finance LLC 300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ. Kblack@rgrdlaw.com  MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys for Teva Pharmaceuticals 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20004-2541	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ. Ahollingsworth@jonesday.com  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Attorneys for Allergan Finance LLC 300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654 BY: TIMOTHY KNAPP, ESQ.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ. Kblack@rgrdlaw.com  MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys for Teva Pharmaceuticals 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20004-2541 BY: STEVEN A. LUXTON, ESQ.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ. Ahollingsworth@jonesday.com  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Attorneys for Allergan Finance LLC 300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ. Kblack@rgrdlaw.com  MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys for Teva Pharmaceuticals 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20004-2541 BY: STEVEN A. LUXTON, ESQ. steven.luxton@morganlewis.com	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ. Ahollingsworth@jonesday.com  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Attorneys for Allergan Finance LLC 300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654 BY: TIMOTHY KNAPP, ESQ. Timothy knapp@kirkland.com
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ. Kblack@rgrdlaw.com  MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys for Teva Pharmaceuticals 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20004-2541 BY: STEVEN A. LUXTON, ESQ. steven.luxton@morganlewis.com BY: MARYANN B. ZAKI, ESQ.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ. Ahollingsworth@jonesday.com  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Attorneys for Allergan Finance LLC 300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654 BY: TIMOTHY KNAPP, ESQ. Timothy knapp@kirkland.com  FARRELL FRITZ P.C.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ. Kblack@rgrdlaw.com  MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys for Teva Pharmaceuticals 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20004-2541 BY: STEVEN A. LUXTON, ESQ. steven.luxton@morganlewis.com BY: MARYANN B. ZAKI, ESQ. Maryann.zaki@morganlewis.com	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ. Ahollingsworth@jonesday.com  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Attorneys for Allergan Finance LLC 300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654 BY: TIMOTHY KNAPP, ESQ. Timothy knapp@kirkland.com  FARRELL FRITZ P.C. Attorneys for Cardinal Health
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ. Kblack@rgrdlaw.com  MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys for Teva Pharmaceuticals 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20004-2541 BY: STEVEN A. LUXTON, ESQ. steven.luxton@morganlewis.com BY: MARYANN B. ZAKI, ESQ. Maryann.zaki@morganlewis.com (Teleconferenced)	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ. Ahollingsworth@jonesday.com  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Attorneys for Allergan Finance LLC 300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654 BY: TIMOTHY KNAPP, ESQ. Timothy knapp@kirkland.com  FARRELL FRITZ P.C. Attorneys for Cardinal Health 400 RXR Plaza
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ. Kblack@rgrdlaw.com  MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys for Teva Pharmaceuticals 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20004-2541 BY: STEVEN A. LUXTON, ESQ. steven.luxton@morganlewis.com BY: MARYANN B. ZAKI, ESQ. Maryann.zaki@morganlewis.com (Teleconferenced) BY: ARCANGELO CELLA, ESQ.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ. Ahollingsworth@jonesday.com  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Attorneys for Allergan Finance LLC 300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654 BY: TIMOTHY KNAPP, ESQ. Timothy knapp@kirkland.com  FARRELL FRITZ P.C. Attorneys for Cardinal Health 400 RXR Plaza Uniondale, New York 11556
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ. Kblack@rgrdlaw.com  MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys for Teva Pharmaceuticals 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20004-2541 BY: STEVEN A. LUXTON, ESQ. steven.luxton@morganlewis.com BY: MARYANN B. ZAKI, ESQ. Maryann.zaki@morganlewis.com (Teleconferenced) BY: ARCANGELO CELLA, ESQ. Arcangelo.cella@morganlewis.com	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ. Ahollingsworth@jonesday.com  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Attorneys for Allergan Finance LLC 300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654 BY: TIMOTHY KNAPP, ESQ. Timothy knapp@kirkland.com  FARRELL FRITZ P.C. Attorneys for Cardinal Health 400 RXR Plaza Uniondale, New York 11556 BY: KEVIN P. MULRY, ESQ.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ. Kblack@rgrdlaw.com  MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys for Teva Pharmaceuticals 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20004-2541 BY: STEVEN A. LUXTON, ESQ. steven.luxton@morganlewis.com BY: MARYANN B. ZAKI, ESQ. Maryann.zaki@morganlewis.com (Teleconferenced) BY: ARCANGELO CELLA, ESQ.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ. Ahollingsworth@jonesday.com  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Attorneys for Allergan Finance LLC 300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654 BY: TIMOTHY KNAPP, ESQ. Timothy knapp@kirkland.com  FARRELL FRITZ P.C. Attorneys for Cardinal Health 400 RXR Plaza Uniondale, New York 11556
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Tegler@rgrdlaw.com BY: KELLI BLACK, ESQ. Kblack@rgrdlaw.com  MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys for Teva Pharmaceuticals 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20004-2541 BY: STEVEN A. LUXTON, ESQ. steven.luxton@morganlewis.com BY: MARYANN B. ZAKI, ESQ. Maryann.zaki@morganlewis.com (Teleconferenced) BY: ARCANGELO CELLA, ESQ. Arcangelo.cella@morganlewis.com	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 BY: ADAM HOLLINGSWORTH, ESQ. Ahollingsworth@jonesday.com  KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Attorneys for Allergan Finance LLC 300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654 BY: TIMOTHY KNAPP, ESQ. Timothy knapp@kirkland.com  FARRELL FRITZ P.C. Attorneys for Cardinal Health 400 RXR Plaza Uniondale, New York 11556 BY: KEVIN P. MULRY, ESQ.

	Page 5		Page 6
1	A P P E A R A N C E S(CONT'D.):	1	APPEARANCES(CONT'D.):
2	ADMOLD & DODGED HAVE CONCLEDED AND	2	ON THE VIEW OF A STEEL STATE OF THE STATE OF
3	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER, LLP	3	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
4	Attorneys for Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	4	Attorneys for Janssen Pharmaceuticals
5	and Endo Health Solutions, Inc.	5	Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor
6	601 Massachusetts Ave., NW	6	San Francisco, CA 94111
7	Washington, D.C., 20001-3743	7	BY: TRISHA PARIKH, ESQ.
8	BY: SEAN HENNESSY, ESQ.	8	Tparikh@omm.com
9	Sean.Hennessy@arnoldporter.com	9	(Teleconferenced/internet realtime)
10	(Teleconferenced/Internet realtime))	10	DODEG A CDAVILLE
11	STDD OVS. D. S.	11	ROPES & GRAY LLP
12	GIBBONS, P.C.	12	Attorneys for Mallinckrodt
13	Attorneys for AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation	13	1211 Avenue of the Americas
14	One Pennsylvania Plaza - 37th Floor	14	New York, New York 10036-8704
15	New York, NY 10119-3701	15	BY: JUSTIN MANN, Law Clerk
16	BY: PAUL E. ASFENDIS, ESQ.	16	Justin.Mann@ropesgray.com
17	Pasfendis@gibbonslaw.com	17	(Via internet realtime)
18	(Teleconferenced/Internet realtime)	18	ALCO DDECENT
19		19	ALSO PRESENT:
20		20	EDIK DAVIDGON V. 1
21		21	ERIK DAVIDSON, Videographer
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
	Page 7		Page 8
1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by	1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the
2	and between the attorneys for the	2	record. My name is Eric Davidson. I am
3	respective parties herein, that filing and	3	videographer for Golkow Litigation
4	sealing be and the same are hereby waived;	4	Services.
5	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED	5	Today's date is January 17, 2019, and
6	that all objections, except as to the form	6	the time is approximately 9:06 a m.
7	of the question, shall be reserved to the	7	This video deposition is being held
8	time of the trial;	8	in 250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor, New York,
9	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED	9	New York, in the matters of National
10	that the within deposition may be sworn to	10	Prescription Opiate Litigation for the
11	and signed before any officer authorized to	11	United States District Court Northern
12	administer an oath, with the same force and	12	District of Ohio.
13	effect as if signed and sworn to before the	13	The deponent is Tom Napoli.
14	Court.	14	Please note counsel will be noted on
15		15	the stenographic record.
16	- 000 -	16	The court reporter may now swear in
17		17	the witness.
18		18	
19		19	* * *
20		20	THOMAS P. NAPOLI, called as a
21		21	witness, having been duly sworn by a
22		22	Notary Public, was examined and testified
23		23	as follows:
1		0.4	THE WITNESS I I
24		24	THE WITNESS: I do.

	Page 9		Page 10
1	Thomas Patrick Napoli.	1	A. Sure.
2	EXAMINATION BY	2	
3	MR. EGLER:	3	
4	Q. Mr. Napoli, thanks for coming in	4	Q. Tell me what your work address is
5	today.	5	currently.
6	Do you understand that you're under	6	A. It's 900 Danbury Road, Richfield,
7	oath?	7	Connecticut.
8	A. Yes, sir.	8	Q. So today we're going to be talking
9	Q. And when you say you're under oath,	9	mostly about your time at a company called
10	what does that mean to you?	10	Watson and then its successors.
11	A. It means I have an obligation to tell	11	I guess, starting out, you graduated
12	the truth and the whole truth.	12	from Rutgers; is that right?
13	Q. And do you have an understanding that	13	A. Yes, sir.
14	the testimony you give today can be used in a	14	Q. What year was that?
15	court of law and even at trial under some	15	A. '92.
16	circumstances?	16	Q. After graduating from Rutgers, what
17	A. Yes, sir.	17	did you do?
18	Q. Okay. And as you sit here today, do	18	-
19	you have any conditions or have you taken any	19	A. When I graduated during my time at     Rutgers, I graduated with a degree in
20	medications that could affect your memory or	20	administration of justice. I come from a large
21	ability to testify?		
22	A. No, sir.	21	police family, and I was probably going to go
23	Q. So can you tell me what your home	22	into the family business. But while during
24	address is?	23	my time at Rutgers, being in New Brunswick, New
21	address is:	24	Jersey, I had an opportunity to have an
	Page 11		Page 12
1	internship with Johnson & Johnson within their	1	took a position as a security program manager
2	corporate security department. And I had some	2	for a classified naval weapon systems programs.
3	outstanding mentorship there. And when I	3	Q. And then at some point, did you leave
4	graduated, had the opportunity to be a security	4	Lockheed Martin?
5	manager for one of their operating facilities in	5	A. I did.
6	Titusville, West Trenton, New Jersey, Janssen	6	Q. Where did you go?
7	Pharmaceuticals. It was a headquarters	7	A. I went to Watson Pharmaceuticals in
8	location. And	8	2002.
9	Q. For how long did you work at Johnson	9	Q. So at Watson, where when you
10	& Johnson?	10	started at Watson, where did you work?
11	A. Seven years.	11	A. I worked I was hired as the
12	Q. So when you left Janssen, what as	12	manager of security for we had a
13	you think of it, what was your job title?	13	manufacturing facility in Carmel, New York,
14	A. When I was at Janssen, I was a	14	which is in Putnam County. And we had a
15	security manager.	15	distribution center in Brewster, New York, as
16	Q. When you were security manager there,	16	well as a small research and manufacturing
17	did you work with controlled substances?	17	facility in Danbury, Connecticut. They were all
18	A. No, sir. It was a corporate	18	in close proximity to each other.
19	environment.	19	Q. Did you split your time among those
20	Q. So moving on from Janssen, where did	20	three locations?
21	you go next?	21	A. Yes.
22	A. I went on to I went on to take an	22	Q. And, subsequently, did you take a
23	opportunity with Lockheed Martin, a large	23	different position at Watson?
24	defense contractor that in South Jersey. I	24	A. I did. I did. Well, as I as
	•		

Page 13 Page 14 1 during my time with those facilities, I 1 doing a good job, a very good job with the 2 2 eventually took on the responsibility for program and having a good relationship with DEA 3 controlled substance compliance within an 3 and having a high-functioning program, they 4 operational setting. So, so we did manufacture 4 asked me to take on responsibility for a larger 5 controlled substances at the manufacturing site. 5 role of DEA compliance at their headquarters 6 And then eventually -- after seven 6 location. 7 7 years in that position, there was a Q. All right. So as we're going through 8 8 consolidation within the organization, so we today, the court reporter is going to type down 9 were transitioning, closing the facilities that 9 everything that you say. 10 I was supporting, moving some of our easier to 10 A. Sure. 11 replicate products and Schedule III through V 11 Q. And so if we have a complicated word 12 substances to a facility in India and also some 12 or if we have a long statement, I want you to 13 Schedule II products to our Corona facility in 13 speak freely, but if you can pace yourself just 14 California. And our distribution center was 14 so we make sure we get a good record. 15 folded into our distribution center in the 15 A. Sure, sure. 16 Chicago area based -- after the consolidation, I 16 Q. So as you think about the time where 17 took a position in Morristown, New Jersey, at 17 you moved to New Jersey, about what time frame 18 our corporate headquarters, where I was a -- the 18 was that? 19 -- had made an organizational decision to fold 19 A. 2009. 20 the DEA compliance function from -- transition 20 Q. And when you moved to New Jersey, as 21 that from quality into the operations group 21 you think of it, what was -- do you remember 22 because of the synergies of -- with security --22 what your job title was? 23 with security and the DEA regulations, because 23 A. Manager of security and controlled 24 of my background with DEA compliance and really 24 substance compliance, I believe, or something to Page 15 Page 16 1 1 that -oversee the security group in Corona, California? 2 2 Q. Okay. And you had mentioned that the 3 Schedule III through V drugs that Watson made 3 A. No. I was part of a structure where 4 had been -- let me start over. 4 there was a global executive director of 5 5 You had mentioned that the security and DEA affairs. I was a manager -- I 6 manufacturing center for the Schedule III 6 had regional responsibility in that I ensured 7 7 through V controlled substance drugs that Watson that there was security controls in place that 8 made had been moved to India. 8 were in compliance with the DEA requirements. 9 A. Some of the easier to replicate, like 9 But each site had a responsible security manager 10 single-entity products, immediate-release 10 responsible for their operations. 11 products. Some of the more technological, you 11 Q. As you think of it, when you started 12 know, controlled, sustained-release products, 12 working for Watson in New Jersey, do you 13 those probably wouldn't go over, but... 13 remember who was head of security in Corona, 14 Q. Do you remember whether -- well, let 14 15 15 me start over. A. At that time, it was Eric Nibergall. Do you remember where Watson's 16 16 Can you spell his last name? 17 Schedule II controlled substances were 17 A. N-i-b-e-r-g-a-l-l. 18 manufactured, if anywhere? 18 Q. At any point while you were working 19 A. Corona, California, would have been 19 at Watson or Actavis, did that position change 20 one of the prime locations. 20 hands did somebody replace Mr. Nibergall? 21 Q. As part of your job, did you -- let 21 Yes, yes. 22 22 Who replaced him? me start over. In 2009, as part of your job, were 23 23 Scott Soltis, S-o-l-t-i-s. 24 you the head of security group for or did you 24 And then did anybody replace

	Page 17		Page 18
1	Mr. Soltis?	1	taking their name. And that was because of I
2	A. Not during my tenure.	2	think one of the reasons for the acquisition was
3	Q. All right. So today we're going to	3	to have a more global presence. And Actavis had
4	talk about well, let's keep going.	4	an established international presence under that
5	You worked at Watson in New Jersey	5	name.
6	starting, I think you said, in 2009?	6	Q. So when Watson bought Actavis, as you
7	A. Um-hmm.	7	think of it
8	Q. And then at any point, did you, did	8	A. Um-hmm.
9	your position change at Watson?	9	Q did your duties expand at all?
10	A. I eventually went, moved from a	10	A. In the respect that we were bringing
11	manager position to associate director, but a	11	on additional manufacturing facilities and some,
12	lot of the same responsibilities.	12	some new controlled products, so, yes.
13	Q. And about when was that?	13	Q. And then at some point after that
14	A. 2013. Just speculating there.	14	acquisition closed, did you well, did your
15	Q. And then did your job change at	15	job title change?
16	Watson after then?	16	A. No.
17	A. No.	17	Q. Did you leave what was then called
18	Q. And then at some point, Watson	18	Actavis at some point?
19	changed its name; is that right?	19	A. I did.
20	A. Right.	20	Q. When did you leave?
21	Q. What did it change its name to?	21	A. I left 2015 2016. I was part
22	A. On October 31st of 2012, Watson	22	of when Teva acquired Actavis, they had
23	acquired Actavis Pharmaceuticals and took the	23	already had a robust DEA compliance program and
24	unprecedented step of acquiring the company but	24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	and comband one or and annual and comband one	24	staff, so we were a synergy target. So
	Page 19		Page 20
			1490 20
1	essentially I helped them through the transition	1	management for the United States region.
1 2	essentially I helped them through the transition and then I was part of the reduction of force.	1 2	
			management for the United States region.
2	and then I was part of the reduction of force.	2	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the
2	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do	2 3	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?
2 3 4	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?	2 3 4	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in
2 3 4 5	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim.	2 3 4 5	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate
2 3 4 5 6	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim.  Q. All right. So you have to spell	2 3 4 5 6	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.
2 3 4 5 6 7	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim.  Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words	2 3 4 5 6 7	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim.  Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words  A. Oh, boy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim.  Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words  A. Oh, boy.  Q because I think they're German.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II controlled substance?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim.  Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words  A. Oh, boy.  Q because I think they're German.  A. We just call them BI, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II controlled substance?  A. No, sir, no controlled drugs in the portfolio.  Q. So as we move on today, we're going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim.  Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words  A. Oh, boy.  Q because I think they're German.  A. We just call them BI, but  B-o-e-h-r-i-n-g-e-r, hyphen, I-n-g-e-l-h-e-i-m.  Q. And what is Boehringer-Ingelheim?  A. Boehringer-Ingelheim is a large,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II controlled substance?  A. No, sir, no controlled drugs in the portfolio.  Q. So as we move on today, we're going to be talking about some terms and names. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim.  Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words  A. Oh, boy.  Q because I think they're German.  A. We just call them BI, but  B-o-e-h-r-i-n-g-e-r, hyphen, I-n-g-e-l-h-e-i-m.  Q. And what is Boehringer-Ingelheim?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II controlled substance?  A. No, sir, no controlled drugs in the portfolio.  Q. So as we move on today, we're going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim.  Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words  A. Oh, boy.  Q because I think they're German.  A. We just call them BI, but  B-o-e-h-r-i-n-g-e-r, hyphen, I-n-g-e-l-h-e-i-m.  Q. And what is Boehringer-Ingelheim?  A. Boehringer-Ingelheim is a large,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II controlled substance?  A. No, sir, no controlled drugs in the portfolio.  Q. So as we move on today, we're going to be talking about some terms and names. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim.  Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words  A. Oh, boy.  Q because I think they're German.  A. We just call them BI, but  B-o-e-h-r-i-n-g-e-r, hyphen, I-n-g-e-l-h-e-i-m.  Q. And what is Boehringer-Ingelheim?  A. Boehringer-Ingelheim is a large, private, still family-owned by the Boehringer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II controlled substance?  A. No, sir, no controlled drugs in the portfolio.  Q. So as we move on today, we're going to be talking about some terms and names. I just want to go through them with you initially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim. Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words A. Oh, boy. Q because I think they're German. A. We just call them BI, but B-o-e-h-r-i-n-g-e-r, hyphen, I-n-g-e-l-h-e-i-m. Q. And what is Boehringer-Ingelheim? A. Boehringer-Ingelheim is a large, private, still family-owned by the Boehringer family pharmaceutical company specializing in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II controlled substance?  A. No, sir, no controlled drugs in the portfolio.  Q. So as we move on today, we're going to be talking about some terms and names. I just want to go through them with you initially so we can get a common understanding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim. Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words A. Oh, boy. Q because I think they're German. A. We just call them BI, but B-o-e-h-r-i-n-g-e-r, hyphen, I-n-g-e-l-h-e-i-m. Q. And what is Boehringer-Ingelheim? A. Boehringer-Ingelheim is a large, private, still family-owned by the Boehringer family pharmaceutical company specializing in human pharma, as well as animal health.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II controlled substance?  A. No, sir, no controlled drugs in the portfolio.  Q. So as we move on today, we're going to be talking about some terms and names. I just want to go through them with you initially so we can get a common understanding.  A. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim.  Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words  A. Oh, boy.  Q because I think they're German.  A. We just call them BI, but  B-o-e-h-r-i-n-g-e-r, hyphen, I-n-g-e-l-h-e-i-m.  Q. And what is Boehringer-Ingelheim?  A. Boehringer-Ingelheim is a large, private, still family-owned by the Boehringer family pharmaceutical company specializing in human pharma, as well as animal health.  Q. You said human pharma. What does	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II controlled substance?  A. No, sir, no controlled drugs in the portfolio.  Q. So as we move on today, we're going to be talking about some terms and names. I just want to go through them with you initially so we can get a common understanding.  A. Sure.  Q. One of the terms that's going to come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim. Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words A. Oh, boy. Q because I think they're German. A. We just call them BI, but B-o-e-h-r-i-n-g-e-r, hyphen, I-n-g-e-l-h-e-i-m. Q. And what is Boehringer-Ingelheim? A. Boehringer-Ingelheim is a large, private, still family-owned by the Boehringer family pharmaceutical company specializing in human pharma, as well as animal health. Q. You said human pharma. What does that mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II controlled substance?  A. No, sir, no controlled drugs in the portfolio.  Q. So as we move on today, we're going to be talking about some terms and names. I just want to go through them with you initially so we can get a common understanding.  A. Sure.  Q. One of the terms that's going to come up today is "Suspicious Order Monitoring" or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim. Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words A. Oh, boy. Q because I think they're German. A. We just call them BI, but B-o-e-h-r-i-n-g-e-r, hyphen, I-n-g-e-l-h-e-i-m. Q. And what is Boehringer-Ingelheim? A. Boehringer-Ingelheim is a large, private, still family-owned by the Boehringer family pharmaceutical company specializing in human pharma, as well as animal health. Q. You said human pharma. What does that mean? A. Human pharma, so products that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II controlled substance?  A. No, sir, no controlled drugs in the portfolio.  Q. So as we move on today, we're going to be talking about some terms and names. I just want to go through them with you initially so we can get a common understanding.  A. Sure.  Q. One of the terms that's going to come up today is "Suspicious Order Monitoring" or "SOM" or "SOMS."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim. Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words A. Oh, boy. Q because I think they're German. A. We just call them BI, but B-o-e-h-r-i-n-g-e-r, hyphen, I-n-g-e-l-h-e-i-m. Q. And what is Boehringer-Ingelheim? A. Boehringer-Ingelheim is a large, private, still family-owned by the Boehringer family pharmaceutical company specializing in human pharma, as well as animal health. Q. You said human pharma. What does that mean? A. Human pharma, so products that are taken by humans.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II controlled substance?  A. No, sir, no controlled drugs in the portfolio.  Q. So as we move on today, we're going to be talking about some terms and names. I just want to go through them with you initially so we can get a common understanding.  A. Sure.  Q. One of the terms that's going to come up today is "Suspicious Order Monitoring" or "SOM" or "SOMS."  What does that mean to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim. Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words A. Oh, boy. Q because I think they're German. A. We just call them BI, but B-o-e-h-r-i-n-g-e-r, hyphen, I-n-g-e-l-h-e-i-m. Q. And what is Boehringer-Ingelheim? A. Boehringer-Ingelheim is a large, private, still family-owned by the Boehringer family pharmaceutical company specializing in human pharma, as well as animal health. Q. You said human pharma. What does that mean? A. Human pharma, so products that are taken by humans. Q. Oh, as opposed to animal health?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II controlled substance?  A. No, sir, no controlled drugs in the portfolio.  Q. So as we move on today, we're going to be talking about some terms and names. I just want to go through them with you initially so we can get a common understanding.  A. Sure.  Q. One of the terms that's going to come up today is "Suspicious Order Monitoring" or "SOM" or "SOMS."  What does that mean to you?  A. Suspicious Order Monitoring is a, to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and then I was part of the reduction of force.  Q. And then between well, where do you work now?  A. I work for Boehringer-Ingelheim. Q. All right. So you have to spell those two words A. Oh, boy. Q because I think they're German. A. We just call them BI, but B-o-e-h-r-i-n-g-e-r, hyphen, I-n-g-e-l-h-e-i-m. Q. And what is Boehringer-Ingelheim? A. Boehringer-Ingelheim is a large, private, still family-owned by the Boehringer family pharmaceutical company specializing in human pharma, as well as animal health. Q. You said human pharma. What does that mean? A. Human pharma, so products that are taken by humans. Q. Oh, as opposed to animal health? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	management for the United States region.  Q. Is Boehringer-Ingelheim based in the United States?  A. We have a U.S. headquarters in Ridgefield, Connecticut, but our corporate headquarters is Ingelheim, Germany.  Q. With regard to Boehringer-Ingelheim, do they manufacture or market any Schedule II controlled substance?  A. No, sir, no controlled drugs in the portfolio.  Q. So as we move on today, we're going to be talking about some terms and names. I just want to go through them with you initially so we can get a common understanding.  A. Sure.  Q. One of the terms that's going to come up today is "Suspicious Order Monitoring" or "SOM" or "SOMS."  What does that mean to you?  A. Suspicious Order Monitoring is a, to me, it's a holistic program that is mandated

	Page 21		Page 22
1	in illicit channels; that you have safeguards in	1	work together to, to prevent diversion.
2	place to ensure that you know your customer and	2	Q. So could you pronounce the acronym
3	that you are monitoring ordering behavior of	3	NJPIG, how you would say it?
4	your customers to prevent illegal diversion.	4	A. NJPIG. It's kind of an awkward
5	Q. And then another term that we're	5	acronym so, yeah.
6	going to talk about a little bit is N-J-P-I-G,	6	Q. Right.
7	the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group.	7	So and then the next one that we
8	Have you ever heard of that?	8	are going to talking about is a thing called
9	A. I have.	9	chargebacks, chargebacks data.
10	Q. What is	10	Do you know what that is?
11	A. I'm part of it.	11	A. I do have an understanding of what
12	Q. What is that?	12	chargeback is, yeah.
13	A. That was a group of New Jersey-based,	13	Q. Okay. What is a chargeback?
14	for the most part, controlled substance	14	A. Chargeback is a and I'm and
15	manufacturers that we met on a regular basis to,	15	this is more layman's terms because I'm not a
16	you know because something, you know, like	16	commercial side of the house kind of person, but
17	DEA compliance or controlled substance	17	to my understanding, chargeback is when a
18	compliance is not something that is a	18	customer or has a negotiated price with you,
19	proprietary thing; it's something that we, you	19	and if they were to purchase your product from
20	know, collaborate on as an industry as much as	20	someone at a higher price, they would submit a
21	we can. So it was a forum in which we could	21	chargeback for a rebate for the difference in
22	exchange ideas and share best practices, as well	22	that cost.
23	as identify opportunities to partner with our	23	Q. All right. And then the next one is
24	local DEA and find opportunities where we could	24	a it's actually two, because I think it
	opposition of the country of the cou		u 110 uounily (110, 000 uou 1 uniini 1
	Page 23		Page 24
1	changes through time. It's IMS and IQVIA data.	1	A. Um-hmm.
2	Are you familiar with that?	2	Q. And they'll have various Bates
3	A. I'm familiar with IMS.	3	numbers on them on the bottom right-hand corner,
4	Q. What is IMS, as you think of it?	4	and I'll read them into the record. And I'll
5	A. IMS is an organization that deals in	5	try to, to the extent I think any context is
6	data and gathering industry data and providing	6	needed, I'll tell you what I think the context
7	that data to industry. Largely used by our	7	is from my data.
8	sales and marketing groups.	8	To the extent you need any context or
9	Q. All right. So today	9	you have any questions about the documents, just
10	MR. EGLER: Can we go off the record	10	ask and I'll see if I can get the answer for
11	for one second?	11	you.
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	12	A. Sure.
13	approximately 9:21 a m. We are going off	13	Q. So with that said, I'm going to hand
		1.4	you what we'll mark as Exhibit 1.
14	the record.	14	you what we'll mark as Exhibit 1.
14 15	the record.  (Off the record.)	15	(Napoli Exhibit 1, Memo dated
			·
15	(Off the record.)	15	(Napoli Exhibit 1, Memo dated
15 16	(Off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	15 16	(Napoli Exhibit 1, Memo dated 11/13/08, Bates-stamped
15 16 17	(Off the record.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is approximately	15 16 17	(Napoli Exhibit 1, Memo dated 11/13/08, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535130 through 5133, marked
15 16 17 18	(Off the record.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is approximately 9:22 a m.	15 16 17 18	(Napoli Exhibit 1, Memo dated 11/13/08, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535130 through 5133, marked for identification, as of this date.)
15 16 17 18 19	(Off the record.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is approximately 9:22 a m.  BY MR. EGLER:	15 16 17 18 19	(Napoli Exhibit 1, Memo dated 11/13/08, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535130 through 5133, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER:
15 16 17 18 19 20	(Off the record.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is approximately 9:22 a m.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, as we move through today,	15 16 17 18 19 20	(Napoli Exhibit 1, Memo dated 11/13/08, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535130 through 5133, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Off the record.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is approximately 9:22 a m.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, as we move through today, I'm going to be handing you documents. To the extent well, every document that I'm going to hand to you has been produced in this	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Napoli Exhibit 1, Memo dated 11/13/08, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535130 through 5133, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at Exhibit 1?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Off the record.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. The time is approximately 9:22 a m.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, as we move through today, I'm going to be handing you documents. To the extent well, every document that I'm going to	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Napoli Exhibit 1, Memo dated 11/13/08, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535130 through 5133, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at Exhibit 1? A. Yes.

	Page 25		Page 26
1	Bates-stamp, but the second page is	1	Do you see that there?
2	Bates-stamped Allergan_MDL_03535130 and it	2	A. Um-hmm.
3	goes to 35133.	3	Q. And in the context of this case, my
4	BY MR. EGLER:	4	understanding is it is a document that comes
5	Q. And can you look generally at this,	5	from your files.
6	and when you're ready, just tell me and I'll ask	6	A. Yes.
7	you some questions about it and tell you what I	7	Q. So with that in mind, as you think
8	know about it.	8	about the remaining pages of this document, do
9	A. Okay. You want me to look at the	9	you recognize this document?
10	second page?	10	A. It appears to be a document that I
11	Q. Well, just look through it generally.	11	authored.
12	You don't have to read it or anything. I'm	12	Q. Do you, as you sit here today, do you
13	going to ask you to read parts of it.	13	remember typing up this particular document?
14	(Witness complies.)	14	A. This particular document, no. But it
15	A. Okay. All right.	15	wouldn't be uncommon for me to attend a
16	Q. All right. So the first page of this	16	controlled substance seminar and report back a
17	document is again, it does not have a Bates	17	summary to management and
18	number on it. I'll tell you it's a printout of	18	Q. And just so we're clear, so I can put
19	what's referred to as the metadata for this.	19	it in perspective for the record, the date on
20	A. Okay. Got it.	20	the document is November 13th, 2008, which is
21	Q. And it has various data on there.	21	just a little over ten years ago, right?
22	One of them is, under Document Identification,	22	A. Um-hmm.
23	it says "custodian" and then a colon and	23	Q. So do you remember in 2008 in May and
24	"Napoli, Tom."	24	June attending a controlled substance conference
24	Napon, 10m.		9
	Page 27		Page 28
1	and meeting of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical	1	you attended this
2	Industry Group?	2	A. Um-hmm.
3	A. I don't remember the specific	3	Q often.
4	meeting, but I attended this particular	4	When would have been the first time
5	conference consistently almost on an annual	5	you would have attended the controlled substance
6	basis.	6	conference sponsored by Cegedim-Dendrite?
7	Q. All right. So the conference that	7	A. Probably in the mid-2000s, but I
8	you referred to in this document is the	8	couldn't attest to a date, specific date, sir.
9	controlled substance conference sponsored by	9	Q. All right. And you had mentioned the
10	Cegedim-Dendrite, and it's C-e-g-e-d-i-m, dash,	10	name Buzzeo?
11	D-e-n-d-r-i-t-e.	11	A. Yes.
12	Do you see that there?	12	Q. Is there a Mr. Buzzeo?
13	A. Yes.	13	A. Yeah. Ron Buzzeo.
14	Q. What is Cegedim-Dendrite, as you	14	Q. Did do you know Mr. Buzzeo?
15	think of it.	15	A. I do.
16	A. Cegedim-Dendrite is an industry	16	Q. How do you know Mr. Buzzeo?
17	consulting organization. We actually the	17	A. Through seminars and also we had
18	consulting firm that would host these events	18	utilized their their services from time to
19	was Cegedim-Dendrite is almost synonymous	19	time for compliance support.
1 J	with a consulting firm called Buzzeo Associates.	20	Q. So going down through that first
20	with a consulting firm cancer buzzeo Associates.		
	So they're essentially interchangeable, but	21	paragraph, it talks about the conference, and
20		21 22	paragraph, it talks about the conference, and then it talks about the New Jersey
20 21	So they're essentially interchangeable, but		

Page 29 Page 30 1 facilitated and attended by a cross-section of 1 time, November 2008, who was your site general 2 2 pharma partners engaged in controlled substance manager? 3 3 A. An individual by the name of Tom activities throughout the northeast region." 4 Do you see that there? 4 Strohl, S-t-r-o-h-l. 5 A. Yes, sir. 5 Q. So going down further into this memo, 6 Q. Do you remember, as you sit here 6 there is a discussion of "areas of 7 today, attending that particular meeting? 7 interest/concern"? 8 A. I don't. 8 A. Um-hmm. 9 9 Q. Do you have a memory that that was And then it says "Quota"? 10 the first meeting of the New Jersey PIG? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 A. I don't -- I don't believe it was the 11 Q. And there is a discussion of quota? 12 12 A. Um-hmm. first meeting. 13 Q. So this memo, as you think of it, the 13 Q. What does that term "quota" mean to 14 "to" and "from" lines at the top are blank. 14 you in the context of your work? 15 Do you know whether you ever sent 15 A. The way that the DEA ensures 16 this to anybody or whether you kept it for 16 compliance and mitigates the opportunity for 17 yourself? 17 diversion is to maintain a closed system, what 18 18 they call a closed system of distribution. And A. Looking at the date, it would have 19 been prior to taking the position at corporate 19 that closed system distribution starts with a 20 20 process, a quota process for Schedule II headquarters. I would have likely have sent 21 this to my boss, Eric Nibergall. And perhaps if 21 controlled substances and III narcotics, such as 22 I -- I was working at a manufacturing site, 22 hydrocodone, where there is a -- based on a lot 23 probably our site general manager. 23 of research that DEA does and also input from 24 Q. All right. As you think about this 24 FDA, as well as looking at abuse data, emergency Page 31 Page 32 1 room record -- data, all types of big data to year. And that quota could be a adjusted 1 2 2 determine what is called an aggregate quota for midyear or throughout the year based on your 3 3 sales. If you acquired new business, you could the United States issuance of a quota for a 4 particular molecule for a controlled substance. 4 apply for more quota. If business dropped off, 5 So they'll look at sales data from 5 you may, there are opportunities where you could 6 each one of the companies, what was consumed 6 have perhaps surrendered quota if you didn't 7 7 need it. But it was -- that's essentially in a over the year, the prior year, and they would 8 make a decision where they would come up with an 8 nutshell what the quota system is. 9 aggregate of a particular molecule. It could be 9 Q. So as you think about it, around this 10 oxycodone, hydrocodone, et cetera, which would 10 time, end of 2008, when you were at Watson, did 11 be divided up among industry. 11 you have any responsibility for applying for or 12 We would have -- API manufacturers 12 managing the quota that Watson got for any 13 would have a quota called a "manufacturing 13 controlled substances? quota" where they could synthesize and develop 14 14 A. Not at the time of this memo. But in 15 an active pharmaceutical material like the raw 2019, when I assumed my role at corporate 15 16 material for OxyContin. 16 headquarters, I did have responsibility for, for 17 At the manufacturer level, where we 17 that aspect of the business. I had an 18 were at, we would have what's called 18 individual who worked for me who was just 19 "procurement quota" where we would of, based on 19 dedicated to quota administration. 2.0 20 our -- we would do an end of year report and Q. And I think you said 2019. 21 make an application to the DEA based on our 21 A. 2009. I apologize. 2.2 prior sales, provide them with all of our sales 22 Q. Okay. That's fine. 23 history. They would do a review, and they would 23 And just so you know, at the end of 24 grant you a quota to, to manufacture for a given 24 the deposition, if anything like that happens,

1	Page 33		Page 34
	neither of us catch it, you'll have the	1	paragraph that you wrote that says, "During the
2	opportunity to correct anything	2	NJ Pharmaceutical Industry Group meeting, a
3	A. Okay.	3	proposal was made to draft a letter from
4	Q with no problem?	4	industry to the DEA outlining the current
5	A. Okay.	5	situation and its affect on the industry. The
6	Q. So with regard to the quota process,	6	letter will be a documented record of the
7	you said you managed someone who was responsible	7	industry's desire for change and efficiency
8	for the quota?	8	within the current process to adequately meet
9	A. Right.	9	market needs. The letter will only be sent upon
10	O. Who was that?	10	the affirmation by the represented
11	A. Bill Hepworth.	11	organizations's legal and government affairs
12	Q. And that's?	12	functions."
13	A. H-e-p-w-o-r-t-h.	13	Do you remember having a discussion
14	Q. And beyond managing Mr. Hepworth, did	14	at the 2008 New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry
15	you have any involvement in the negotiation or	15	Group meeting about potentially writing a letter
16	application of a quota for Watson?	16	to the DEA?
17	A. I definitely reviewed the	17	A. I do not have a recollection of that.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18	Q. All right. And then I apologize
18	applications and provided input for those	19	for going backwards.
19	applications.	20	A. No worries.
20	Q. All right. Anything else?	21	Q. Further up in this document, there is
21	A. No, not necessarily.	22	a number of references to a person by the name
22	Q. All right. So moving on into this	23	of Joseph Rannazzisi?
23	document, on the following page, on 131, about a	24	A. Yes.
24	little bit more than halfway down, there is a	24	A. 165.
	Page 35		Page 36
1	Q. R-a-n-n-a-z-z-i-s-i.	1	about them, I'll show them to you.
2	Do you know who Mr. Rannazzisi is?	2	A. Yeah.
3	A. Yeah, Mr. Rannazzisi was the deputy	3	Q. All right. So if you can turn to the
4	administrator, DEA diversion.	4	next page, which is 5132. And at the bottom of
5	Q. Did you ever meet Mr. Rannazzisi?	5	the previous page and at the top of this page,
6	A. I did.	6	it's talking about SOM.
7	Q. When did you meet him?	7	Do you see that there?
8	A. I met him at a conference that he	8	A. Yes, sir.
-	spoke at in Edison, New Jersey. I don't recall	9	O A 1' COM d' 1 C' d
9			Q. And is SOM, as you think of it, the
	the date.	10	Q. And is SOM, as you think of it, the Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?
9	the date.  Q. Was it while you were working at	10 11	•
9 10			Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?
9 10 11	Q. Was it while you were working at	11	Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Yes.
9 10 11 12	Q. Was it while you were working at Watson?	11 12	Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Yes.  Q. And you write that "It is highly
9 10 11 12 13	<ul><li>Q. Was it while you were working at Watson?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	11 12 13	Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Yes.  Q. And you write that "It is highly recommended that industry utilize a 'total SOM
9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul><li>Q. Was it while you were working at Watson?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Do you think it was around this time</li></ul>	11 12 13 14	Suspicious Order Monitoring systems? A. Yes. Q. And you write that "It is highly recommended that industry utilize a 'total SOM model.' This model favors a more
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul><li>Q. Was it while you were working at Watson?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Do you think it was around this time 2008 or later?</li></ul>	11 12 13 14 15	Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Yes.  Q. And you write that "It is highly recommended that industry utilize a 'total SOM model.' This model favors a more statistically-based model that dynamically
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Was it while you were working at Watson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think it was around this time 2008 or later?</li> <li>A. I can't speculate to that.</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16	Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Yes.  Q. And you write that "It is highly recommended that industry utilize a 'total SOM model.' This model favors a more statistically-based model that dynamically evaluates a variety of order characteristics to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Was it while you were working at Watson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think it was around this time 2008 or later?</li> <li>A. I can't speculate to that.</li> <li>Q. So with regard to Mr. Rannazzisi, do</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16	Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Yes.  Q. And you write that "It is highly recommended that industry utilize a 'total SOM model.' This model favors a more statistically-based model that dynamically evaluates a variety of order characteristics to determine whether an order should be pending.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Was it while you were working at Watson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think it was around this time 2008 or later?</li> <li>A. I can't speculate to that.</li> <li>Q. So with regard to Mr. Rannazzisi, do you remember discussions of a one or two or more</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Yes.  Q. And you write that "It is highly recommended that industry utilize a 'total SOM model.' This model favors a more statistically-based model that dynamically evaluates a variety of order characteristics to determine whether an order should be pending. Characteristics include order size, ordering
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Was it while you were working at Watson?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you think it was around this time 2008 or later?</li> <li>A. I can't speculate to that.</li> <li>Q. So with regard to Mr. Rannazzisi, do you remember discussions of a one or two or more letters that Mr. Rannazzisi wrote about the</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Yes.  Q. And you write that "It is highly recommended that industry utilize a 'total SOM model.' This model favors a more statistically-based model that dynamically evaluates a variety of order characteristics to determine whether an order should be pending. Characteristics include order size, ordering frequency, ordering patterns and percentage of
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Was it while you were working at Watson?  A. Yes. Q. Do you think it was around this time 2008 or later?  A. I can't speculate to that. Q. So with regard to Mr. Rannazzisi, do you remember discussions of a one or two or more letters that Mr. Rannazzisi wrote about the opioid situation in the United States?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Yes.  Q. And you write that "It is highly recommended that industry utilize a 'total SOM model.' This model favors a more statistically-based model that dynamically evaluates a variety of order characteristics to determine whether an order should be pending. Characteristics include order size, ordering frequency, ordering patterns and percentage of CS ordered."
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Was it while you were working at Watson?  A. Yes. Q. Do you think it was around this time 2008 or later?  A. I can't speculate to that. Q. So with regard to Mr. Rannazzisi, do you remember discussions of a one or two or more letters that Mr. Rannazzisi wrote about the opioid situation in the United States?  A. I don't recall specific letters,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Yes.  Q. And you write that "It is highly recommended that industry utilize a 'total SOM model.' This model favors a more statistically-based model that dynamically evaluates a variety of order characteristics to determine whether an order should be pending. Characteristics include order size, ordering frequency, ordering patterns and percentage of CS ordered."  "CS" there is controlled substance;
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Was it while you were working at Watson?  A. Yes. Q. Do you think it was around this time 2008 or later?  A. I can't speculate to that. Q. So with regard to Mr. Rannazzisi, do you remember discussions of a one or two or more letters that Mr. Rannazzisi wrote about the opioid situation in the United States?  A. I don't recall specific letters, but	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Yes.  Q. And you write that "It is highly recommended that industry utilize a 'total SOM model.' This model favors a more statistically-based model that dynamically evaluates a variety of order characteristics to determine whether an order should be pending. Characteristics include order size, ordering frequency, ordering patterns and percentage of CS ordered."  "CS" there is controlled substance; is that right?

Page 37 Page 38 1 viewed to be more effective and defensible than 1 investigation, resources/SOPs." 2 2 the traditional approach of just setting a And in the context of this document, 3 3 what does the term "SOP" mean? threshold." 4 Now you write there that it is 4 A. Standard operating procedure. 5 5 Q. And then a bullet point, "Who reports "highly recommended." From the context of this memo, take 6 suspicion order to DEA, management oversight," 6 7 7 and then a bullet point, "Do third-party your time, can you see where -- where you came 8 8 distributors utilize an adequate SOM." to that conclusion that it was highly 9 9 recommended? Do you see that there? A. Yes, sir. 10 10 A. It was highly recommended by the 11 Q. So that last one, "Do third party 11 Cegedim-Dendrite group. 12 distributors utilize an adequate SOM," what does 12 Q. Okay. 13 that mean in the context of this document? 13 A. To put it in context as well, too, 14 A. That, to me, implies that, you know, 14 you have to understand they're, as a consultant 15 and it comes back to me to know your customer, 15 group, they're selling a product as well, too, 16 that ensuring that your supply chain partner 16 for compliance. 17 that you distribute your products to that they 17 Q. All right. 18 have an effective system in place that's 18 And so then going down here, it says, 19 compliant with DEA regulations. 19 "The following concepts are to be considered 20 Q. And with regard to this discussion 20 when developing an effective SOM: How are new 21 here with these bullet points that I've been 21 accounts opened, background check, Know Your 22 reading, it says "Know Your Customers"? 2.2 Customers." And then a bullet point, "How are 23 A. Yes, sir. 23 orders evaluated," and then a bullet point, "How 24 Q. And in the context of your work at 24 are orders cleared from suspicion, appropriate Page 39 Page 40 1 and they're coming to you for an increase. 1 Watson, the customers that are referred to 2 there, who are they? 2 There could be a variety of reasons why an order 3 A. Distributors or large chains such as 3 increased. 4 Walgreens, CVS. 4 So really taking a deeper dive to 5 Q. Walgreens and CVS would essentially 5 understand why the change in behavior. 6 distribute to themselves, is that fair to say? 6 Q. All right. Then moving further down 7 7 in the document, it states, "DEA shifted A. Right, to their own pharmacy 8 locations. 8 operating philosophy." 9 Q. So with regard to the third bullet 9 Do you see that there? 10 point there, it says, "How are orders cleared 10 A. Yes. 11 from suspicion." 11 Q. It says, "Field offices becoming less 12 A. Um-hmm. 12 'friendly,' shifting from partners to 13 Q. Can you tell me what that means in 13 enforcers." 14 the context of this document? 14 A. Yes. 15 15 A. Sure. Q. Do you have a memory or an 16 16 understanding of where you got that information? And within the context of, of this 17 document, if you have an order that pens with or 17 A. That would have come from a -- the 18 flags within a given system, you know, what 18 Cegedim or the Buzzeo group was comprised 19 steps do you take as a registrant to understand 19 largely of former DEA high-ranking officials, 20 that ordering behavior and subsequently justify 20 policy and liaison folks within management that 21 why that order is out of -- is not consistent 21 still had contacts, and as well as I can see in 22 with an ordering pattern. It could be because a 22 here that Mark Caverly and James Crawford, both 23 company took on more business, another 23 from DEA, spoke at this conference. And that there was a shift in -- there was more trends of 24 manufacturer had an issue for the same product 24

	Page 41		Page 42
1	more aggressive enforcement because of some of	1	In 2008, I was responsible for
2	the abuse patterns that were existing in the	2	compliance within our manufacture and
3	country.	3	distribution sites in locally in New York,
4	Q. By this time in 2008, for about how	4	yes.
5	long had you been working or encountering issues	5	Q. And as part of that compliance
6	with the DEA in your work?	6	process, would you be responsible for preparing
7	A. Up to that point, I didn't have any	7	the sites for audits by the DEA?
8	issues with the DEA.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Just when you say you didn't have any	9	Q. As you think of it, in your time up
10	issues, it means your work didn't have any	10	to this point, end of 2008, before you moved to
11	A. Are you talking about can you	11	New Jersey, about how many DEA audits did you
12	provide context to "issue"?	12	participate in for Watson?
13	Q. Right.	13	A. Several. We the way that the DEA
14	A. Is it negative issue or just dealings	14	audits is based on your registration, so and
15	with DEA?	15	the cyclical audits could be they can range
16	Q. That's what I want to get a better	16	
17	word for.	17	from three years to sometimes five years.
18	So as you think about your work up to		If you have a violative past or a
19	this point, the end of 2012, did you have any	18	history, you can get an inspection every year.
20	responsibilities for enforcing DEA regulations	19	We were on a three to five-year
21	in your job?	20	inspection schedule, but because we had multiple
22	A. Well, you used the term "2012."	21	registrations, so we had manufacturer
23		22	registration, I believe we had import
24	•	23	registrations, research, each one of those
24	A. Okay. 2008.	24	registrations are subject to an inspection on a
	Page 43		Page 44
1	periodic basis. So probably every couple of	1	mainly in Mexico now."
2	years or so, we would see the DEA in for a	2	And the next one is: "Proliferation
3	cyclical inspection, it would be called.	3	of Internet."
4	Q. All right. So moving further down	4	Next one is: "Congressional
5	onto this page, which is page 3 of the document,	5	interest, children dying, tremendous cost to
6	page 132 of Exhibit 1, it states, "Contributing	6	society."
7	Factors," colon, and then in quotations, "the	7	And the last bullet point is:
8	perfect storm."	8	"Dwindling DEA resources."
9	Do you see that there?	9	So as you think about the information
10	A. Right.	10	that you convey there in this memo, where would
11	Q. And you write a series of five bullet	11	you have gotten that information?
12	points: "Non-medical use of pharmaceutical	12	A. That would have been from a
13	products now greater than the abuse of cocaine,	13	presentation directly from the Cegedim-Dendrite
14	hallucinogens and inhalants"	14	conference. It may have come from, from their,
15	A. Right.	15	one of their presentations or one of the
16	Q "among adults 26 or older. Seven	16	speakers from DEA.
17	million Americans reporting non-medical use of	17	Q. And then you state that the well,
18	prescription medicines in 2006."	18	the next thing you state is, "Result," and a
19	Next bullet point is: "Presidential	19	colon, and it says, first bullet point,
	mandate to cut drug use, enforcement efforts	20	"Application of traditional principals of
20	~	0.1	enforcement industry."
20 21	have been highly successful in areas of illicit	21	emoreement maastry.
	have been highly successful in areas of illicit drug use, yet one category is rising,	22	
21	drug use, yet one category is rising,		And then the next bullet point is:
21 22		22	

	Page 45		Page 46
1	enforcement group at all field offices. 400	1	Q. Section chief, Office of Diversion
2	diversion investigators in the world, more than	2	Control.
3	one million registrants, 5,000 special agents	3	Do you remember meeting Mr. Crawford
4	currently hiring."	4	or Mr. Caverly?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. I do remember meeting Mr. Crawford.
6	Q. And then the next one is: "All	6	And Mr. Caverly, I know very well.
7	policy decisions made by HQ."	7	Q. So how do you know Mr. Caverly?
8	So, again, where would you have	8	A. Mr. Caverly, I knew him when he was
9	gotten that information?	9	head of policy and liaison with DEA. And he was
10	A. Again, it probably would have come	10	someone that you would reach out to if you had
11	from either Mr. Crawford or Caverly from DEA.	11	any questions about interpretation of federal
12	Q. The statement there, it says, "All	12	regulations, which sometimes, as you know, can
13	policy decisions made by HQ."	13	not be clear at all times. So he was a resource
14	What does that mean?	14	to reach out to to get clarification.
15	A. That the policy decisions for, as I	15	As well as when he retired from DEA,
16	would interpret this, enforcement actions would	16	he actually became one of the head consultants
17	be made in the Washington, D.C., level, not at a	17	for Cegedim/Buzzeo. So I've known him for many
18	local field office.	18	years.
19	Q. All right. And then on the next	19	Q. Do you remember when about he
20	page, at the bottom of that page, the next page	20	retired?
21	talks about two people from the DEA, James	21	A. I don't. It might have been right
22	Crawford, who is special assistant, Office of	22	around this time period within give or take a
23	Diversion Control, and Mark Caverly?	23	year.
24	A. Caverly.	24	Q. How about Mr. Crawford, do you
	Page 47		Page 48
1	remember him?	1	A. Okay.
1 2	remember him? A. I do.	1 2	A. Okay.     (Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter)
			(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906
2	<ul><li>A. I do.</li><li>Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford?</li><li>A. Just from the one meeting at this</li></ul>	2	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as
2	<ul><li>A. I do.</li><li>Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford?</li><li>A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe.</li></ul>	2 3	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. I do.</li><li>Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford?</li><li>A. Just from the one meeting at this</li></ul>	2 3 4	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER:
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. I do.</li><li>Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford?</li><li>A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford?</li> <li>A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe.</li> <li>Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford?</li> <li>A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe.</li> <li>Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him?</li> <li>A. I haven't worked for Actavis</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford?</li> <li>A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe.</li> <li>Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him?</li> <li>A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I do. Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford? A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe. Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him? A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago. Q. All right. You can set this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this document did not come from the files of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I do. Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford? A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe. Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him? A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago. Q. All right. You can set this document, Exhibit 1, aside.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this document did not come from the files of your former employer or your own files.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I do. Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford? A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe. Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him? A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago. Q. All right. You can set this document, Exhibit 1, aside. (Witness complies.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter  Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says  HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this document did not come from the files of your former employer or your own files.  But that said, do you remember ever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I do. Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford? A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe. Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him? A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago. Q. All right. You can set this document, Exhibit 1, aside. (Witness complies.) (Discussion off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter  Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says  HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this document did not come from the files of your former employer or your own files.  But that said, do you remember ever seeing this document before?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I do. Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford? A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe. Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him? A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago. Q. All right. You can set this document, Exhibit 1, aside. (Witness complies.) (Discussion off the record.) BY MR. EGLER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this document did not come from the files of your former employer or your own files. But that said, do you remember ever seeing this document before? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I do. Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford? A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe. Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him? A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago. Q. All right. You can set this document, Exhibit 1, aside. (Witness complies.) (Discussion off the record.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. And at the end of the day, the court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this document did not come from the files of your former employer or your own files. But that said, do you remember ever seeing this document before? A. Yes. Q. Okay. What is it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I do. Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford? A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe. Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him? A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago. Q. All right. You can set this document, Exhibit 1, aside. (Witness complies.) (Discussion off the record.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. And at the end of the day, the court reporter will take all the documents that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this document did not come from the files of your former employer or your own files. But that said, do you remember ever seeing this document before? A. Yes. Q. Okay. What is it? A. It's a charter statement for the New
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I do. Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford? A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe. Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him? A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago. Q. All right. You can set this document, Exhibit 1, aside. (Witness complies.) (Discussion off the record.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. And at the end of the day, the court reporter will take all the documents that are marked with the actual stickers and keep them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this document did not come from the files of your former employer or your own files. But that said, do you remember ever seeing this document before? A. Yes. Q. Okay. What is it? A. It's a charter statement for the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry working group
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I do. Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford? A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe. Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him? A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago. Q. All right. You can set this document, Exhibit 1, aside. (Witness complies.) (Discussion off the record.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. And at the end of the day, the court reporter will take all the documents that are marked with the actual stickers and keep them. A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this document did not come from the files of your former employer or your own files. But that said, do you remember ever seeing this document before? A. Yes. Q. Okay. What is it? A. It's a charter statement for the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry working group essentially stating what the goals of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I do. Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford? A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe. Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him? A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago. Q. All right. You can set this document, Exhibit 1, aside. (Witness complies.) (Discussion off the record.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. And at the end of the day, the court reporter will take all the documents that are marked with the actual stickers and keep them. A. Okay. Q. No souvenirs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this document did not come from the files of your former employer or your own files. But that said, do you remember ever seeing this document before? A. Yes. Q. Okay. What is it? A. It's a charter statement for the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry working group essentially stating what the goals of the working group is, as well as I'm trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I do. Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford? A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe. Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him? A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago. Q. All right. You can set this document, Exhibit 1, aside. (Witness complies.) (Discussion off the record.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. And at the end of the day, the court reporter will take all the documents that are marked with the actual stickers and keep them. A. Okay. Q. No souvenirs. A. Parting gifts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this document did not come from the files of your former employer or your own files. But that said, do you remember ever seeing this document before? A. Yes. Q. Okay. What is it? A. It's a charter statement for the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry working group essentially stating what the goals of the working group is, as well as I'm trying to think of this. There was a document about, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I do. Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford? A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe. Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him? A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago. Q. All right. You can set this document, Exhibit 1, aside. (Witness complies.) (Discussion off the record.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. And at the end of the day, the court reporter will take all the documents that are marked with the actual stickers and keep them. A. Okay. Q. No souvenirs. A. Parting gifts. (Laughter.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this document did not come from the files of your former employer or your own files. But that said, do you remember ever seeing this document before? A. Yes. Q. Okay. What is it? A. It's a charter statement for the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry working group essentially stating what the goals of the working group is, as well as I'm trying to think of this. There was a document about, you know, not sharing proprietary, confidential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I do. Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford? A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe. Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him? A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago. Q. All right. You can set this document, Exhibit 1, aside. (Witness complies.) (Discussion off the record.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. And at the end of the day, the court reporter will take all the documents that are marked with the actual stickers and keep them. A. Okay. Q. No souvenirs. A. Parting gifts. (Laughter.) Q. Mr. Napoli, I'm going to hand you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this document did not come from the files of your former employer or your own files. But that said, do you remember ever seeing this document before? A. Yes. Q. Okay. What is it? A. It's a charter statement for the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry working group essentially stating what the goals of the working group is, as well as I'm trying to think of this. There was a document about, you know, not sharing proprietary, confidential information. I don't know if that's within this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I do. Q. How did you know Mr. Crawford? A. Just from the one meeting at this conference, I believe. Q. All right. Mr. Caverly, as you think of it, when was the last time you talked with him? A. I haven't worked for Actavis probably three years ago. Q. All right. You can set this document, Exhibit 1, aside. (Witness complies.) (Discussion off the record.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. And at the end of the day, the court reporter will take all the documents that are marked with the actual stickers and keep them. A. Okay. Q. No souvenirs. A. Parting gifts. (Laughter.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter Statement, Bates-stamped HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. What we marked as Exhibit 2, I'm just going to tell you, at the bottom right-hand corner, there is a Bates number. It says HDS_MDL_00095906. And I want to be clear, this document did not come from the files of your former employer or your own files. But that said, do you remember ever seeing this document before? A. Yes. Q. Okay. What is it? A. It's a charter statement for the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry working group essentially stating what the goals of the working group is, as well as I'm trying to think of this. There was a document about, you know, not sharing proprietary, confidential

	Page 49		Page 50
1	charter and the mission of what our, our group	1	going back now, and I know that there were
2	was put together for.	2	individuals from Novartis, but I'm struggling
3	Q. And as you think about your	3	with some of the names.
4	involvement in the New Jersey Pharmaceutical	4	Q. With regard to the companies like
5	Industry Group, how many people from Watson in	5	Novartis, can you think of any other companies
6	2008 were involved in the NJPIG?	6	that were participating in the New Jersey
7	A. In 2008, certainly I was a new member	7	Pharmaceutical Industry Group around this time?
8	to the team. I think probably Tracey Hernandez,	8	A. Novartis, Halo Pharmaceuticals.
9	who was our head of DEA compliance at the time,	9	Yeah, I'm actually struggling with that, with
10	would have been a member.	10	some of the names.
11	Q. Anybody else?	11	Q. Have you ever heard of a company
12	A. I'm not 100 percent sure, but some	12	called Endo?
13	people within her group may have been members.	13	A. Yes.
14	Q. So as you think of the entity, the	14	Q. Do you remember anyone from Endo
15	New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group, did	15	being part of the NJPIG?
16	you know anybody from other companies that were	16	A. Not at that time. They may have been
17	in the group?	17	a member. I don't know who would represent them
18	A. Yes.	18	at that time.
19	Q. Who did you know, as you think about	19	Q. As you think about it, about this
20	it just off the top of your head?	20	time, June 2008, again, just as you think about
21	A. Mike Mejjiolaro, and I don't even	21	it, about how many members of the NJPIG were
22	know if I can spell that name.	22	there?
23	Q. Anybody else?	23	A. I couldn't speculate. I mean, if I
24	A. I'm trying to think. It's we're	24	said a dozen, I
	Page 51		Daga 52
	1430 01		Page 52
1		1	
1 2	Q. But you don't have any particular	1 2	And then it states: "Invitation for
			And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or
2	<ul><li>Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?</li><li>A. No.</li></ul>	2	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."
2	<ul><li>Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?</li><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. All right. So this charter statement</li></ul>	2 3	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?</li><li>A. No.</li></ul>	2 3 4	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?</li><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No. Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to	2 3 4 5 6 7	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No.  Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No.  Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements through the shared knowledge and experience of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the idea that was presented at a meeting, it should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No. Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements through the shared knowledge and experience of group members."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No.  Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements through the shared knowledge and experience of group members."  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No.  Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements through the shared knowledge and experience of group members."  A. Yes.  Q. And then it states: "All information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  Do you remember whether you took
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No.  Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements through the shared knowledge and experience of group members."  A. Yes.  Q. And then it states: "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. If you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  Do you remember whether you took notes at meetings of the New Jersey
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No. Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements through the shared knowledge and experience of group members."  A. Yes. Q. And then it states: "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. If you use an idea that was presented at a meeting, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  Do you remember whether you took notes at meetings of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No.  Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements through the shared knowledge and experience of group members."  A. Yes.  Q. And then it states: "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. If you use an idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  Do you remember whether you took notes at meetings of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group?  A. I don't recall if I took notes at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No.  Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements through the shared knowledge and experience of group members."  A. Yes.  Q. And then it states: "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. If you use an idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  Do you remember whether you took notes at meetings of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group?  A. I don't recall if I took notes at those meetings.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No.  Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements through the shared knowledge and experience of group members."  A. Yes.  Q. And then it states: "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. If you use an idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  And then it says: "Each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  Do you remember whether you took notes at meetings of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group?  A. I don't recall if I took notes at those meetings.  Q. And you regularly attended the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No.  Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements through the shared knowledge and experience of group members."  A. Yes.  Q. And then it states: "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. If you use an idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  And then it says: "Each company/member is expected to volunteer to host	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  Do you remember whether you took notes at meetings of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group?  A. I don't recall if I took notes at those meetings.  Q. And you regularly attended the meetings of this NJPIG, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No.  Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements through the shared knowledge and experience of group members."  A. Yes.  Q. And then it states: "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. If you use an idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  And then it says: "Each company/member is expected to volunteer to host a meeting when it is their turn. We have about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  Do you remember whether you took notes at meetings of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group?  A. I don't recall if I took notes at those meetings.  Q. And you regularly attended the meetings of this NJPIG, right?  A. I wouldn't say regularly. It was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No.  Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements through the shared knowledge and experience of group members."  A. Yes.  Q. And then it states: "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. If you use an idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  And then it says: "Each company/member is expected to volunteer to host a meeting when it is their turn. We have about two meetings a year. The location is chosen by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  Do you remember whether you took notes at meetings of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group?  A. I don't recall if I took notes at those meetings.  Q. And you regularly attended the meetings of this NJPIG, right?  A. I wouldn't say regularly. It was probably intimately, when my schedule allowed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No.  Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements through the shared knowledge and experience of group members."  A. Yes.  Q. And then it states: "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. If you use an idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  And then it says: "Each company/member is expected to volunteer to host a meeting when it is their turn. We have about two meetings a year. The location is chosen by the host company. Each meeting we ask for a volunteer for the next meeting. Attendance by multiple persons from the same company may need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  Do you remember whether you took notes at meetings of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group?  A. I don't recall if I took notes at those meetings.  Q. And you regularly attended the meetings of this NJPIG, right?  A. I wouldn't say regularly. It was probably intimately, when my schedule allowed it.  Q. Okay. When you did not attend a scheduled meeting of the NJPIG, would someone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. But you don't have any particular feeling either way?  A. No.  Q. All right. So this charter statement has various text on it. And part of it is bullet points. And it says: "The goal of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group is to increase compliance with DEA requirements through the shared knowledge and experience of group members."  A. Yes.  Q. And then it states: "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. If you use an idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  And then it says: "Each company/member is expected to volunteer to host a meeting when it is their turn. We have about two meetings a year. The location is chosen by the host company. Each meeting. Attendance by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And then it states: "Invitation for speakers from state or federal agency and/or suppliers is after discussion with the group."  All right? Do you see that there?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. Now you are or I just read the second bullet point, "All information shared in the meeting is confidential. And if you use the idea that was presented at a meeting, it should not be attributed to any specific company. We do not publish meeting notes."  Do you remember whether you took notes at meetings of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group?  A. I don't recall if I took notes at those meetings.  Q. And you regularly attended the meetings of this NJPIG, right?  A. I wouldn't say regularly. It was probably intimately, when my schedule allowed it.  Q. Okay. When you did not attend a

	Page 53		Page 54
1	A. In this time frame, no.	1	was transitioning out over a six-month period.
2	Q. How about later on?	2	Q. Do you remember whether she stayed
3	A. Later on, probably, yeah.	3	employed at Watson at the end of the six-month
4	Q. As you think of it, who would have	4	period?
5	been the person who would have attended for you?	5	A. I can't recall if she stayed the
6	A. It could have been any of the it	6	whole six months.
7	could have been Bill Hepworth. It could have	7	Q. She doesn't work at Watson well,
8	been one of our auditors.	8	do you know whether she ever left Watson's
9	Q. All right. And then you mentioned	9	employment at some point?
10	one of the other people from Watson who may have	10	A. Yes.
11	been involved in this was woman by the name of	11	Q. Do you know when?
12	Tracey Hernandez, right?	12	A. It would have been 2009.
13	A. Yes, sir.	13	Q. All right. So with regard to the New
14	Q. Was Tracey Hernandez, did she work in	14	Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group, do you
15	New Jersey at this time?	15	remember whether you ever hosted one of their
16	A. Yes.	16	meetings?
17	Q. And when you moved into the	17	A. I believe we did host a meeting.
18	reorganized DEA affairs and security part of	18	Q. Where did you host it?
19	Watson, what did Ms. Hernandez do?	19	A. It would have either been in our
20	A. She was actually, because of the	20	Morristown location, but we subsequently moved
21	organizational change to fold the organization	21	to Parsippany, so either one of those. I don't
22	from quality into the security and operations	22	have a specific recollection of where we hosted
23	organization, she was transitioning out of the	23	it. I believe we did host one.
24	organization. So I think when I came in, she	24	Q. So you mentioned Morristown, and it's
	organization. 50 f timik when I came in, she		
	Page 55		Page 56
1	M-o-r-r-i-s-town, right?	1	document dated 10/21/08, Bates-stamped
2	A. Yes.	2	ALLERGAN_MDL_03535009 through 010, marked
3	Q. And then Parsippany, New Jersey.	3	for identification, as of this date.)
4	Was it Parsippany, New Jersey, your	4	BY MR. EGLER:
5	offices at Actavis?	5	Q. So Exhibit 3, again, the first page
6	A. Yes.	6	doesn't have a Bates number on it, but starting
7	Q. And Morristown was where Watson was;	7	on the second page, it's ALLERGAN_MDL_03535009
8	is that right?	8	through 010.
9	A. Well, it was Watson and then Actavis.	9	Mr. Napoli, when you're ready, can
10	So it was Watson moved from their location in	10	you tell me what this appears to you to be?
11	Morristown to Parsippany. And then after the	11	A. This looks like a promotional or a
12	acquisition of Actavis, it became the Actavis	12	summary of a service or a product that
13	headquarters.	13	Cegedim-Dendrite was marketing towards industry
14	Q. Okay. So Watson moved to Parsippany?	14	to develop a statistically based model of
15	A. Um-hmm.	15	Suspicious Order Monitoring.
16	Q. And then they bought Actavis?	16	Q. And, again, based on the information
17	A. Correct.	17	that we received in the litigation, this
18	Q. All right. Okay. You can set this	18	document came from your files.
19	document aside for now.	19	A. Um-hmm.
20	(Witness complies.)	20	Q. Do you remember this document?
21	BY MR. EGLER:	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. All right. And I'll hand you what	22	Q. What do you remember about it?
23	we'll mark as Exhibit 3.	23	A. It probably, you know, came from
24	(Napoli Exhibit 3, Cegedim-Dendrite	24	either from the conference or post conference,
L			

	Page 57		Page 58
1	something that was, was sent to us or that I	1	Woods to Napoli, Bates-stamped
2	printed off for informational purposes.	2	ALLERGAN_MDL_ 02467143 through 154, marked
3	Q. Do you remember around this time	3	for identification, as of this date.)
4	well, this document refers is dated	4	(Handing.)
5	October 21st, 2008.	5	A. Thank you.
6	A. Um-hmm.	6	Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at
7	Q. Do you remember around this time	7	Exhibit 4. And while you're looking at it
8	having discussions with anyone from	8	generally, I'll read into the record the Bates
9	Cegedim-Dendrite about their Suspicious Order	9	numbers.
10	Monitoring offerings?	10	It's ALLERGAN_MDL_02467143 through
11	A. Not in 2008 because I wasn't in the	11	154. And I'm going to ask you some questions
12	corporate role yet.	12	about this document.
13	Q. Okay. So why would you have saved	13	A. Sure.
14	this document?	14	Q. Just let me know when you're ready.
15	A. For educational informational	15	You don't have to have an understanding of all
16	purposes.	16	the information.
17	Q. All right. You can set this document	17	A. Okay.
18	aside.	18	Q. I just want to ask you some questions
19	(Witness complies.)	19	about it.
20	BY MR. EGLER:	20	(Document review.)
21	Q. Now we're going to move on. I'm hand	21	A. Okay.
22	you what we will mark as Exhibit 4.	22	Q. So did you use email when you worked
23	(Napoli Exhibit 4, Email chain	23	at Watson?
24	beginning with email dated 6/8/09 from	24	A. Yes.
	Page 59		Page 60
			_
1	Q. What was your email address?	1	new role in 2009.
1 2	<ul><li>Q. What was your email address?</li><li>A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com.</li></ul>	1 2	
			new role in 2009.
2	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com.	2	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named
2	<ul><li>A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com.</li><li>Q. Did you ever print out an email that</li></ul>	2 3	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.
2 3 4	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at	2 3 4	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm.
2 3 4 5	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson?	2 3 4 5	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin?
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson?</li> <li>A. I'm sure I have.</li> <li>Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin? A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4?	2 3 4 5 6 7	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin?  A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team.  Q. I think you had mentioned that term
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin? A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team.  Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so. Q. I'll represent to you that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin?  A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team.  Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before.  A. Um-hmm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so. Q. I'll represent to you that this document was produced to us by Allergan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin?  A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team.  Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. In the context of your work at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so. Q. I'll represent to you that this document was produced to us by Allergan A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin?  A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team. Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before. A. Um-hmm. Q. In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean to you, quality
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so. Q. I'll represent to you that this document was produced to us by Allergan A. Okay. Q in this case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin?  A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team.  Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean to you, quality team?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so. Q. I'll represent to you that this document was produced to us by Allergan A. Okay. Q in this case. And it's my understanding that it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin? A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team. Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before. A. Um-hmm. Q. In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean to you, quality team? A. The quality assurance organization is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so. Q. I'll represent to you that this document was produced to us by Allergan A. Okay. Q in this case. And it's my understanding that it is an email. And at the top of the page, it says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin?  A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team. Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before. A. Um-hmm. Q. In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean to you, quality team?  A. The quality assurance organization is an organization that is very closely tied with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so. Q. I'll represent to you that this document was produced to us by Allergan A. Okay. Q in this case. And it's my understanding that it is an email. And at the top of the page, it says "from," and it has the name Mary Woods.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin?  A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team. Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before. A. Um-hmm. Q. In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean to you, quality team?  A. The quality assurance organization is an organization that is very closely tied with ensuring compliance with FDA regulations and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so. Q. I'll represent to you that this document was produced to us by Allergan A. Okay. Q in this case. And it's my understanding that it is an email. And at the top of the page, it says "from," and it has the name Mary Woods. Do you know Ms. Woods?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin? A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team. Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before. A. Um-hmm. Q. In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean to you, quality team?  A. The quality assurance organization is an organization that is very closely tied with ensuring compliance with FDA regulations and ensuring that we have a total quality system and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so. Q. I'll represent to you that this document was produced to us by Allergan A. Okay. Q in this case. And it's my understanding that it is an email. And at the top of the page, it says "from," and it has the name Mary Woods. Do you know Ms. Woods? A. I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin?  A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team. Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before. A. Um-hmm. Q. In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean to you, quality team?  A. The quality assurance organization is an organization that is very closely tied with ensuring compliance with FDA regulations and ensuring that we have a total quality system and that we are functioning according to FDA
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so. Q. I'll represent to you that this document was produced to us by Allergan A. Okay. Q in this case. And it's my understanding that it is an email. And at the top of the page, it says "from," and it has the name Mary Woods. Do you know Ms. Woods? A. I do. Q. Who is Mary Woods?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin?  A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team. Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before. A. Um-hmm. Q. In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean to you, quality team?  A. The quality assurance organization is an organization that is very closely tied with ensuring compliance with FDA regulations and ensuring that we have a total quality system and that we are functioning according to FDA regulations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so. Q. I'll represent to you that this document was produced to us by Allergan A. Okay. Q in this case. And it's my understanding that it is an email. And at the top of the page, it says "from," and it has the name Mary Woods. Do you know Ms. Woods? A. I do. Q. Who is Mary Woods? A. Mary Woods was our head of order	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin?  A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team. Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean to you, quality team?  A. The quality assurance organization is an organization that is very closely tied with ensuring compliance with FDA regulations and ensuring that we have a total quality system and that we are functioning according to FDA regulations.  Q. So is it fair to say there is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so. Q. I'll represent to you that this document was produced to us by Allergan A. Okay. Q in this case. And it's my understanding that it is an email. And at the top of the page, it says "from," and it has the name Mary Woods. Do you know Ms. Woods? A. I do. Q. Who is Mary Woods? A. Mary Woods was our head of order management.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin?  A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team. Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before. A. Um-hmm. Q. In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean to you, quality team?  A. The quality assurance organization is an organization that is very closely tied with ensuring compliance with FDA regulations and ensuring that we have a total quality system and that we are functioning according to FDA regulations.  Q. So is it fair to say there is a different group to ensure compliance with FDA
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so. Q. I'll represent to you that this document was produced to us by Allergan A. Okay. Q in this case. And it's my understanding that it is an email. And at the top of the page, it says "from," and it has the name Mary Woods. Do you know Ms. Woods? A. I do. Q. Who is Mary Woods? A. Mary Woods was our head of order management. Q. When did you first meet Mary Woods?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin?  A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team. Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before. A. Um-hmm. Q. In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean to you, quality team?  A. The quality assurance organization is an organization that is very closely tied with ensuring compliance with FDA regulations and ensuring that we have a total quality system and that we are functioning according to FDA regulations.  Q. So is it fair to say there is a different group to ensure compliance with FDA regulations than there than there is a group
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I think it was TNapoli@Watson.com. Q. Did you ever print out an email that you wrote or received while you worked at Watson? A. I'm sure I have. Q. As you think of it, would it have looked like the format-wise, looked like the document that we've marked as Exhibit 4? A. I would think so. Q. I'll represent to you that this document was produced to us by Allergan A. Okay. Q in this case. And it's my understanding that it is an email. And at the top of the page, it says "from," and it has the name Mary Woods. Do you know Ms. Woods? A. I do. Q. Who is Mary Woods? A. Mary Woods was our head of order management.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	new role in 2009.  Q. And there is a person there named Molly Martin.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Molly Martin?  A. Molly Martin, I believe, was someone who was a member of management within our quality team. Q. I think you had mentioned that term "quality" before. A. Um-hmm. Q. In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean to you, quality team?  A. The quality assurance organization is an organization that is very closely tied with ensuring compliance with FDA regulations and ensuring that we have a total quality system and that we are functioning according to FDA regulations.  Q. So is it fair to say there is a different group to ensure compliance with FDA

	Page 61		Page 62
1	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.	1	talked about Ms. Martin.
2	MR. LUXTON: Objection.	2	Do you remember anybody else from
3	BY MR. EGLER:	3	that group?
4	Q. Do you have an answer to the	4	A. From the quality group?
5	question? Sorry.	5	Q. Yes.
6	A. Yeah, there is there is both	6	A. It's a very large group. Yeah, I do.
7	quality group and a DEA compliance group.	7	Q. About how many people were in the
8	MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but	8	group, as you think of it?
9	can we just have an agreement, because I	9	A. I couldn't even speculate.
10	objected at the same time, an objection for	10	Q. Like dozens or less than ten?
11	one defendant is an objection for all so I	11	A. More than more than a dozen. I
12	don't have to put the same objections on	12	mean, we had a corporate group, and then there
13	the record?	13	were quality groups at the sites.
14	MR. EGLER: Yeah.	14	Q. And thinking of the DEA group let
15	MR. LUXTON: It might be in the CMO.	15	me start over because that was a little
16	MR. EGLER: It is. That's all	16	cumbersome.
17	covered.	17	As you think about the people at
18	MR. LUXTON: Great. Thanks.	18	Watson that were responsible for making sure the
19	MR. EGLER: We just need one. And	19	company complied with the DEA regulations and
20	you guys can have a button if you want.	20	laws, about how many people at the Watson
21	(Laughter.)	21	headquarters when you started there had the
22	BY MR. EGLER:	22	primary responsibility for that?
23	Q. For the quality assurance group and	23	A. About a half dozen.
24	their role with regard to FDA regulations, we	24	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.
	,		J
	Page 63		Page 64
			5
1	BY MR. EGLER:	1	A. She would have been probably second,
1 2	BY MR. EGLER:  Q. And as you think about the half	1 2	
			A. She would have been probably second,
2	Q. And as you think about the half	2	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey
2	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?	2 3	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of,
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. And as you think about the half</li><li>dozen, can you name some of them?</li><li>A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha,</li></ul>	2 3 4	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. And as you think about the half</li><li>dozen, can you name some of them?</li><li>A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha,</li><li>D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing,
2 3 4 5 6	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we	2 3 4 5 6	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.  Q. All right. With regard to each of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a little bit more strategic in her role.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.  Q. All right. With regard to each of those people, as you think about them, did any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a little bit more strategic in her role.  Q. And then as Ms. Hernandez
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.  Q. All right. With regard to each of those people, as you think about them, did any of them have primary responsibility for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a little bit more strategic in her role.  Q. And then as Ms. Hernandez transitioned out of her role, what did what,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.  Q. All right. With regard to each of those people, as you think about them, did any of them have primary responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a little bit more strategic in her role.  Q. And then as Ms. Hernandez transitioned out of her role, what did what, if anything, changed about Ms. Graziosi's role?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.  Q. All right. With regard to each of those people, as you think about them, did any of them have primary responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I believe Ione Graziosi.  Q. All right. That is a woman, right?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a little bit more strategic in her role.  Q. And then as Ms. Hernandez transitioned out of her role, what did what, if anything, changed about Ms. Graziosi's role?  A. Essentially it stayed the same.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.  Q. All right. With regard to each of those people, as you think about them, did any of them have primary responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I believe Ione Graziosi.  Q. All right. That is a woman, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a little bit more strategic in her role.  Q. And then as Ms. Hernandez transitioned out of her role, what did what, if anything, changed about Ms. Graziosi's role?  A. Essentially it stayed the same.  Q. Did she report to you at some point?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.  Q. All right. With regard to each of those people, as you think about them, did any of them have primary responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I believe Ione Graziosi.  Q. All right. That is a woman, right?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a little bit more strategic in her role.  Q. And then as Ms. Hernandez transitioned out of her role, what did what, if anything, changed about Ms. Graziosi's role?  A. Essentially it stayed the same.  Q. Did she report to you at some point?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.  Q. All right. With regard to each of those people, as you think about them, did any of them have primary responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I believe Ione Graziosi. Q. All right. That is a woman, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know what her job title was when you started at Watson's headquarters in New Jersey?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a little bit more strategic in her role.  Q. And then as Ms. Hernandez transitioned out of her role, what did what, if anything, changed about Ms. Graziosi's role?  A. Essentially it stayed the same.  Q. Did she report to you at some point?  A. Yes.  Q. For about how long did she report to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.  Q. All right. With regard to each of those people, as you think about them, did any of them have primary responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I believe Ione Graziosi. Q. All right. That is a woman, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know what her job title was when you started at Watson's headquarters in New	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a little bit more strategic in her role.  Q. And then as Ms. Hernandez transitioned out of her role, what did what, if anything, changed about Ms. Graziosi's role?  A. Essentially it stayed the same.  Q. Did she report to you at some point?  A. Yes.  Q. For about how long did she report to you at Watson and Actavis?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.  Q. All right. With regard to each of those people, as you think about them, did any of them have primary responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I believe Ione Graziosi. Q. All right. That is a woman, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know what her job title was when you started at Watson's headquarters in New Jersey?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a little bit more strategic in her role.  Q. And then as Ms. Hernandez transitioned out of her role, what did what, if anything, changed about Ms. Graziosi's role?  A. Essentially it stayed the same.  Q. Did she report to you at some point?  A. Yes.  Q. For about how long did she report to you at Watson and Actavis?  A. I'd say maybe a year.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.  Q. All right. With regard to each of those people, as you think about them, did any of them have primary responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I believe Ione Graziosi. Q. All right. That is a woman, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know what her job title was when you started at Watson's headquarters in New Jersey?  A. Manager of controlled substance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a little bit more strategic in her role.  Q. And then as Ms. Hernandez transitioned out of her role, what did what, if anything, changed about Ms. Graziosi's role?  A. Essentially it stayed the same.  Q. Did she report to you at some point?  A. Yes.  Q. For about how long did she report to you at Watson and Actavis?  A. I'd say maybe a year.  Q. And then after the year that you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.  Q. All right. With regard to each of those people, as you think about them, did any of them have primary responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I believe Ione Graziosi. Q. All right. That is a woman, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know what her job title was when you started at Watson's headquarters in New Jersey?  A. Manager of controlled substance compliance, I believe.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a little bit more strategic in her role.  Q. And then as Ms. Hernandez transitioned out of her role, what did what, if anything, changed about Ms. Graziosi's role?  A. Essentially it stayed the same.  Q. Did she report to you at some point?  A. Yes.  Q. For about how long did she report to you at Watson and Actavis?  A. I'd say maybe a year.  Q. And then after the year that you're thinking of, what, if anything, happened?  A. She left the organization.  Q. Were her job responsibilities
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.  Q. All right. With regard to each of those people, as you think about them, did any of them have primary responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I believe Ione Graziosi.  Q. All right. That is a woman, right?  A. Correct.  Q. Do you know what her job title was when you started at Watson's headquarters in New Jersey?  A. Manager of controlled substance compliance, I believe.  Q. How would she what was her let me start over.  What were her job responsibilities at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a little bit more strategic in her role.  Q. And then as Ms. Hernandez transitioned out of her role, what did what, if anything, changed about Ms. Graziosi's role?  A. Essentially it stayed the same.  Q. Did she report to you at some point?  A. Yes.  Q. For about how long did she report to you at Watson and Actavis?  A. I'd say maybe a year.  Q. And then after the year that you're thinking of, what, if anything, happened?  A. She left the organization.  Q. Were her job responsibilities undertaken by somebody else?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And as you think about the half dozen, can you name some of them?  A. Bill Hepworth, Lynn DaCunha, D-a-c-u-n-h-a. Ione Graziosi, Jim Dougherty D-o-u-g-h-e-r-t-y, Sarah Blackenship. And we also had individuals at the sites that had compliance responsibilities as well.  Q. All right. With regard to each of those people, as you think about them, did any of them have primary responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I believe Ione Graziosi.  Q. All right. That is a woman, right?  A. Correct.  Q. Do you know what her job title was when you started at Watson's headquarters in New Jersey?  A. Manager of controlled substance compliance, I believe.  Q. How would she what was her let me start over.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. She would have been probably second, you know, kind of someone who supported Tracey Hernandez in her direct role. So oversight of, of the group. Probably had her oversight in various functions. So as far as licensing, registration, quota, Suspicious Order Monitoring, ensuring probably day-to-day management, where Tracey might have been a little bit more strategic in her role.  Q. And then as Ms. Hernandez transitioned out of her role, what did what, if anything, changed about Ms. Graziosi's role?  A. Essentially it stayed the same.  Q. Did she report to you at some point?  A. Yes.  Q. For about how long did she report to you at Watson and Actavis?  A. I'd say maybe a year.  Q. And then after the year that you're thinking of, what, if anything, happened?  A. She left the organization.  Q. Were her job responsibilities

	Page 65		Page 66
1	group, we actually brought in another individual	1	her in that role?
2	who became an auditor investigator for us who	2	A. Yes.
3	took the primary responsibility for the SOMS,	3	Q. Who?
4	the Suspicious Order Monitoring role. And the	4	A. William Simmons.
5	other individuals really kind of just picked up	5	Q. All right. And what was
6	the other responsibilities.	6	Mr. Simmons's role?
7	I managed the overall operation, as	7	A. Will Simmons was, again, brought in
8	well as the strategic operations.	8	as an auditor/investigator, so he had a primary
9	Q. So who was the person that you're	9	role for the day-to-day, for the DEA compliance
10	thinking of that came in after Ms. Graziosi	10	side of Suspicious Order Monitoring, Know Your
11	left?	11	Customer activities, any type of investigations,
12	A. It would have been Lisa Scott. She	12	as well as audit.
13	kind of came in during the time when Ione was	13	Q. Was Mr. Simmons physically located in
14	there.	14	New Jersey for work?
15	Q. And then for how long was Ms. Scott	15	A. Yes, sir. Yes.
16	in that role?	16	Q. And as you think of it, by that time
17	A. Four or five years maybe.	17	when you were working with Mr. Simmons, you were
18	Q. Do you remember	18	in Parsippany; is that right?
19	A. If that.	19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q. Do you remember when she left?	20	Q. As you think about the physical
21	A. When she left?	21	layout of the Parsippany business for Watson and
22	Q. About the time?	22	Actavis, about how many stories was it?
23	A. I'd say 2012, 2013.	23	A. Four.
24	Q. Do you remember if anyone replaced	24	Q. And what floor were you on?
	Page 67		Page 68
1	A. Third.	1	A. There was labeling. There was a
2	Q. Do you remember, as you think of it,	2	component of quality there, supply chain.
3	who else was on the third floor?	3	Q. Anything else you can think of?
4	A. In Parsippany?	4	A. Not in particular.
5	Q. And let me ask this a little bit	5	Q. All right. And I know this is a
6	better.	6	complete estimate, but as you think of it, about
7	As you think about the Parsippany	7	how many people worked on the third floor in the
8	office building in the time that you moved in,	8	Parsippany building for Watson when you moved
9	how would you categorize the group that you	9	in?
10	managed or worked for? Would it be DEA affairs	10	A. A couple hundred.
11	or something else?	11	Q. All right. Do you know whether
12	A. DEA affairs.	12	Watson maintained a call center at the
13	Q. So about, as you think of it, about	13	Parsippany building around the time that you moved in?
14	this time, about how many people in the DEA	14	
15 16	affairs group were located for work in the	15 16	A. I don't believe there was a call I don't know if there was a call center in
17	Parsippany building?  A. Everyone.	17	Parsippany. I know there may have been one in
18	Q. And about how many people was that?	18	California. Not I can't speculate on that.
19	A. I guess about half a dozen folks	19	Q. All right. So let's go back to this
20	there related to it.	20	Exhibit 4. And I'll I'll tell you that we
	Q. So beyond the DEA affairs group, can	21	met with Mary Woods and took her deposition last
		22	week
21	you remember any other groups that were on the		W COR
21 22 23	you remember any other groups that were on the third floor of the Parsippany building when you	23	A. Okay.
22		l .	

	Page 69		Page 70
1	characterize what she said, but I think my	1	input as this is transitioning over to you."
2	questions were being formed by my perception of	2	So she uses a couple terms there, the
3	what she said	3	SOP, and I think we talked about what that
4	A. Okay.	4	meant.
5	Q which might be different from your	5	A. Yes.
6	counsel's perception of what she said.	6	Q. And then she uses the term "the call
7	A. Okay.	7	center."
8	Q. So rather than get into an argument	8	As you think back to around this
9	about what she said, I'm going to ask you	9	time, 2009 at Watson, what was the call center?
10	questions for you to answer.	10	A. She may be referring to the call
11	A. Sure.	11	center for sales.
12	Q. So this first email, which is the	12	Q. Okay. And then with regard to the
13	last email in time, on Exhibit 4, Ms. Woods	13	controlled substance compliance team, do you
14	writes, "Hi, Tom. Need your feedback for Molly.	14	recognize that as the group that you worked
15	The SOP below belongs to the call center but has	15	with?
16	many owners that supply feedback as designated	16	A. Yes.
17	in the left column. Several departments review	17	Q. All right. So when she talks about
18	to make sure we are in compliance.	18	the "SOP below," as you look through this email,
19	"The current control substance	19	can you identify an SOP that's attached to it or
20	compliance team requested an immediate change	20	a part of it?
21	last month. We added their request to Section	21	A. Yes.
22	1.11. Molly has a few questions in reference to	22	Q. All right. Where would that be?
23	these changes. Her questions are below.	23	(Document review.)
24	"We feel it is critical to get your	24	A. MDL_7151.
	D		
	Page 71		Page 72
1	Q. All right. That's the last few	1	Page 72 worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as
1 2	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with	1 2	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we
	Q. All right. That's the last few		worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.
2	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with	2	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you
2	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."	2	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose." Is that right? A. Correct. Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To </li> </ul>	2 3 4	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?
2 3 4 5	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is	2 3 4 5	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order
2 3 4 5 6	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual	2 3 4 5 6	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is	2 3 4 5 6 7	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."  Do you remember whether this SOP was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?  A. Probably shortly after I joined the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."  Do you remember whether this SOP was enforced when you started	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?  A. Probably shortly after I joined the organization and took controlled substance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."  Do you remember whether this SOP was enforced when you started in when you started in your job at Watson around June 2009?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?  A. Probably shortly after I joined the organization and took controlled substance responsibilities.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."  Do you remember whether this SOP was enforced when you started in when you started in your job at Watson around June 2009?  A. I believe it was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?  A. Probably shortly after I joined the organization and took controlled substance responsibilities.  Q. So as you think about the suspicious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."  Do you remember whether this SOP was enforced when you started in when you started in your job at Watson around June 2009?  A. I believe it was.  Q. All right. So around this time, did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?  A. Probably shortly after I joined the organization and took controlled substance responsibilities.  Q. So as you think about the suspicious order of management system at Watson at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."  Do you remember whether this SOP was enforced when you started in when you started in your job at Watson around June 2009?  A. I believe it was.  Q. All right. So around this time, did you do a study or a review of the standard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?  A. Probably shortly after I joined the organization and took controlled substance responsibilities.  Q. So as you think about the suspicious order of management system at Watson at this time, what and "this time" being mid-2009
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."  Do you remember whether this SOP was enforced when you started in when you started in your job at Watson around June 2009?  A. I believe it was.  Q. All right. So around this time, did you do a study or a review of the standard operating procedures of the Suspicious Order	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?  A. Probably shortly after I joined the organization and took controlled substance responsibilities.  Q. So as you think about the suspicious order of management system at Watson at this time, what and "this time" being mid-2009 when this email was written, what part of it, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."  Do you remember whether this SOP was enforced when you started in when you started in your job at Watson around June 2009?  A. I believe it was.  Q. All right. So around this time, did you do a study or a review of the standard operating procedures of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?  A. Probably shortly after I joined the organization and took controlled substance responsibilities.  Q. So as you think about the suspicious order of management system at Watson at this time, what and "this time" being mid-2009 when this email was written, what part of it, if any, was your responsibility?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."  Do you remember whether this SOP was enforced when you started in when you started in your job at Watson around June 2009?  A. I believe it was.  Q. All right. So around this time, did you do a study or a review of the standard operating procedures of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I'm sure that I did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?  A. Probably shortly after I joined the organization and took controlled substance responsibilities.  Q. So as you think about the suspicious order of management system at Watson at this time, what and "this time" being mid-2009 when this email was written, what part of it, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."  Do you remember whether this SOP was enforced when you started in when you started in your job at Watson around June 2009?  A. I believe it was.  Q. All right. So around this time, did you do a study or a review of the standard operating procedures of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?  A. Probably shortly after I joined the organization and took controlled substance responsibilities.  Q. So as you think about the suspicious order of management system at Watson at this time, what and "this time" being mid-2009 when this email was written, what part of it, if any, was your responsibility?  A. When I came on into this role?  Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."  Do you remember whether this SOP was enforced when you started in when you started in your job at Watson around June 2009?  A. I believe it was.  Q. All right. So around this time, did you do a study or a review of the standard operating procedures of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I'm sure that I did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?  A. Probably shortly after I joined the organization and took controlled substance responsibilities.  Q. So as you think about the suspicious order of management system at Watson at this time, what and "this time" being mid-2009 when this email was written, what part of it, if any, was your responsibility?  A. When I came on into this role?  Q. Yes.  A. I would have oversight to ensure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."  Do you remember whether this SOP was enforced when you started in when you started in your job at Watson around June 2009?  A. I believe it was.  Q. All right. So around this time, did you do a study or a review of the standard operating procedures of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I'm sure that I did.  Q. How would you have done that, as you think of it?  A. I would have likely worked with, with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?  A. Probably shortly after I joined the organization and took controlled substance responsibilities.  Q. So as you think about the suspicious order of management system at Watson at this time, what and "this time" being mid-2009 when this email was written, what part of it, if any, was your responsibility?  A. When I came on into this role?  Q. Yes.  A. I would have oversight to ensure that it was compliant with DEA regulations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."  Do you remember whether this SOP was enforced when you started in when you started in your job at Watson around June 2009?  A. I believe it was.  Q. All right. So around this time, did you do a study or a review of the standard operating procedures of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I'm sure that I did.  Q. How would you have done that, as you think of it?  A. I would have likely worked with, with Mary to get an understanding of the process, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?  A. Probably shortly after I joined the organization and took controlled substance responsibilities.  Q. So as you think about the suspicious order of management system at Watson at this time, what and "this time" being mid-2009 when this email was written, what part of it, if any, was your responsibility?  A. When I came on into this role?  Q. Yes.  A. I would have oversight to ensure that it was compliant with DEA regulations.  Q. And I want to talk for a little bit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. All right. That's the last few pages. The page that you pointed to starts with the word "purpose."  Is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And under "purpose" it states, "To assure distribution of controlled drugs is monitored for excessive use by an individual location using the DEA number as the identifier."  Do you remember whether this SOP was enforced when you started in when you started in your job at Watson around June 2009?  A. I believe it was.  Q. All right. So around this time, did you do a study or a review of the standard operating procedures of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I'm sure that I did.  Q. How would you have done that, as you think of it?  A. I would have likely worked with, with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	worked from a systemic standpoint, as well as from a procedural standpoint in ensuring that we didn't have any gaps.  Q. Before this time, mid 2009, did you have any experience with Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?  A. Not in an operational sense, but I certainly had education and was familiar with Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. When did that education start?  A. Probably shortly after I joined the organization and took controlled substance responsibilities.  Q. So as you think about the suspicious order of management system at Watson at this time, what and "this time" being mid-2009 when this email was written, what part of it, if any, was your responsibility?  A. When I came on into this role?  Q. Yes.  A. I would have oversight to ensure that it was compliant with DEA regulations.

	Page 73		Page 74
1	involved in the Suspicious Order Monitoring	1	well, too, for those types of class trades. So
2	System, as you understand them.	2	various different parameters that would provide
3	A. Sure.	3	an average of ordering history, so not a static
4	Q. So my understanding is that the	4	number. So it would be changing based on, on
5	Suspicious Order Monitoring System creates a, a	5	the ordering patterns, as well as their was a
6	limit on the size of an order based on various	6	multiplier as well, too, that would provide a
7	variables that will alert people at a company	7	plus or minus tolerance, so to speak.
8	that an order is of interest or pending; is that	8	Q. So, again, thinking of the mechanical
9	right?	9	part or the process part of the Suspicious Order
10	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.	10	Monitoring System, you used the terms ERP and
11	MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.	11	SAP system.
12	BY MR. EGLER:	12	A. Um-hmm.
13	Q. Is your understanding similar to	13	Q. How would the parameters for the
14	that?	14	Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System be
15	A. My understanding, that there is a	15	included in the ERP system?
16	system that was developed within our, our ERP,	16	Do you have an understanding?
17	our enterprise resource and system SAP that	17	And what I'm trying to ask is, as you
18	would, based on a model that was designed, that	18	think of the SAP systems that manages
19	would look at a six-month role in history, would	19	inventories and orders and everything
20	develop an average it would rationalize	20	A. Um-hmm.
21	ordering behavior. It would also look at	21	Q do you know who was responsible
22	different characteristics as markers, whether it	22	for putting the Suspicious Order Monitoring
23	was a particular class of trade of an	23	System in the process of the ERP?
24	organization or and a monthly average as	24	A. The who built the process?
	Page 75		Page 76
1	Q. Yes.	1	were on the order management team when you
2	A. Who built the process, I think it was	2	started in 2008?
3	before my time, but it was a collaboration	3	A. No.
4	between order management, our SAP, IT folks, as	4	Q. As you think of it, was it more than
5	well as controlled substance compliance would	5	a dozen?
6	have been a project team member.	6	A. No.
7	Q. So with regard to the IT people for	7	Q. Was it more than six?
8	the SAP system, can you think of any particular	8	A. Probably less than six.
9	individuals that you would think would be	9	Q. And then do you have an understanding
10	primarily responsible for that?	10	of whether the, the number of people on the
11	A. I can't recall back that far.	11	order management team at Watson increased while
12	Q. All right. And then when the system	12	you were there and the company changed its name
13	was in place in the let me start over.	13	to Actavis?
14	When the Suspicious Order Monitoring	14	A. I can't be certain, but I believe
15	System was in place in Watson's SAP system and	15	that there were additional folks that came on
16	an order pended, what would happen next?	16	board.
17	A. If an order pended, it would be	17	Q. Do you remember the names of any
18	reviewed by a member of the order management	18	people who were on the order management team?
19	team specifically dedicated to controlled	19	A. Sandy Simmons was the manager.
20	substance ordering.	20	Victoria Lepore was in order management.
21	Q. Now that order management team that	21	Bettina Dwor, I think was and individual
22	you mentioned, did they report to you?	22	Bettina, I think it's D-wo-r. I think she
23	A. No, they reported to Mary.	23	came on later. I know there was another
24	Q. And do you know about how many people	24	individual or two, but I just can't recall the
_			

	Page 77		Page 78
1	names.	1	responsible for ensuring the onboarding, the
2	Q. So the people that you're thinking	2	compliant onboarding of, of customers, you know,
3	of, were they all located in New Jersey?	3	ensuring, you know, and, you know, the order
4	A. Yes, sir.	4	management process for on the commercial
5	Q. All right. And do you have an	5	side.
6	understanding of, before you started, whether	6	Q. You used the term "onboarding."
7	the entire order management team was it located	7	What does that mean in the context of
8	in New Jersey or were they in New Jersey and	8	your work?
9	other places?	9	A. Doing due diligence, vetting.
10	A. You know, now when I think back on	10	Q. So is that when Watson first took on
11	it, I believe Mary, as well as there was an	11	a new customer or something else?
12	individual, Judy Callahan, who worked for Mary	12	A. Yes.
13	as well too, they both came over from the	13	Q. All right. And then can you think
14	Corona, California, facility. So that call	14	of any other responsibilities that Ms. Woods
15	center may have been in Corona, and it	15	had?
16	eventually transitioned to New Jersey.	16	A. No, I I can't speak to what her
17	Q. With regard to the let me start	17	A. No, 1 1 can't speak to what her specific job functions are.
18	over.	18	-
19	With regard to Mary Woods, what was		Q. All right. So you can set this aside
20	her role with regard to the order of the	19	for now.
21	management team?	20	MR. EGLER: You guys want to take a
22	A. Mary had responsibility for customer	21	break?
23	customer service capacity, but also on the	22	MR. LUXTON: Yeah, it's probably a
24	order management side, she would have been	23	good time.
24	order management side, she would have been	24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
	Page 79		Page 80
1	approximately 10:23 a.m. We are going off	1	A. Um-hmm.
2	the record.	2	Q. Is the master data administrator, as
3	(Recess is taken.)	3	you think about it, about the same thing as the
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	4	order management team we are talking about?
5	approximately 10:47 a.m., and we are back	5	A. Yes.
6	on the record.	6	Q. So as you go through the columns
7	BY MR. EGLER:	7	there, it says, "Action," and it has 1.3, 1.4
8	Q. Mr. Napoli, thanks for coming back.	8	and so on. And it talks about various actions
9	A. Sure.	9	that are taken.
10	Q. Can you pick up this, what we've	10	And, again, with the understanding
11	marked as Exhibit 4, and turn to essentially the	11	that this email, it's Exhibit 4, is a decade
12	third to last page. It's ALLERGAN_MDL_02467152.	12	old, I'd like to ask you about a couple of the
13	In the top left-hand corner, it states	13	actions that are listed there.
14	"Responsibility"?	14	A. Sure.
15	A. Yes.	15	Q. If you look at let's just go
16	Q. So as you look at this page, are	16	through the first one.
17	these the processes contained in the standard	17	1.3 states: "If a processed order
18	operating procedure that we've been talking	18	generates a SOMS excessive order flag in SAP,"
19	about before the break?	19	and then there's words in parentheses, "due to
20	A. Yes.	20	more frequent or larger than normal order
21	Q. So in the upper left-hand corner	21	pattern, master data administrator will generate
22	under "Responsibility," it states, "Master data	22	a suspicious order controlled drug SOMS."
0.0	administrator."	23	Do you see that there?
23			
23	Do you see that there?	24	A. Um-hmm.

Page 82 Page 81 1 Q. And then it states, "The master data 1 it, in the customer service organization that 2 2 administrator will review the SOMS report and we've been talking about? 3 3 then, if warranted, contact the customer to Yeah, but on the order management confirm the quantity ordered, verify the reason 4 4 side, yes. 5 for a larger or more frequent order." 5 Q. Okay. And as I'm thinking about it, 6 The next one is: "Once this SOMS 6 as, as opposed to, or just to be sure, they 7 report is confirmed" --7 weren't in the DEA affairs group at that time? 8 MR. LUXTON: Can someone put the 8 Right. They were a customer-facing 9 phone on mute? We're getting background 9 group. 10 noise. 10 Q. Okay. So the next one there, the BY MR. EGLER: data -- "The master data administrator will be 11 11 12 Q. "Once the SOMS report is confirmed 12 responsible to ensure that pending sales orders 13 and verified by the customer, the SOMS report is 13 on hold due to suspicious order SOMS violation signed and marked with a reason code by the 14 are investigated." 14 15 master data administrator and submitted to the 15 And then 1.7 is: "The matter data 16 manager for review and signature." 16 administrator will release pending orders due to 17 In the context of this document, do 17 SOMS violations by canceling the order or you have an understanding of who the manager 18 reducing the quantity per SOMS procedure." 18 19 would be? 19 And then the next one is: "If the 20 20 A. It could have been, depending on the SOMS violation cannot be resolved by cancelling 21 time, it could have been Julie Callahan. It 21 the order or reducing the quantity, the master 22 could have been Sandy Simmons. 22 data administrator will escalate the suspicious 23 Q. Okay. So with regard to Ms. Callahan 23 order to the next level." 24 and Ms. Simmons, were they all, as you think of 24 And then it goes to the term, "call Page 83 Page 84 1 center management." 1 substance compliance department." And 1.11 has 2 Do you see that there? 2 words that are struck out and then words that 3 A. Yes. 3 are underlined that are supposed to be replacing 4 Q. And it says, 1.9, under Call Center 4 the words that are struck out. 5 5 Management, "Determine if the order does or does The words that are struck out are: 6 not classify as suspicious." 6 "The controlled substance compliance department 7 7 And so, again, as you think about will be responsible for reporting the order to 8 this time, June 2009, was the call center 8 the Drug Enforcement Administration." 9 management group at Watson under the DEA affairs 9 A. Um-hmm. 10 department or some other department? 10 O. And then the new words are: "Upon 11 A. No, they were within the customer 11 confirmation that the order is suspicious, the 12 service group. 12 controlled substance compliance department will be report" -- "will be responsible for reporting 13 Q. All right. And then on 1.10, it 13 14 states: "If a valid reason, based on objective 14 the order to the Drug Enforcement Administration criteria does not exist, order will be deemed as 15 15 and the applicable state Board of Pharmacy. The 16 a suspicious order and will not be filled. Department of Regulatory Affairs will also 16 17 Report suspicious issue to controlled substance 17 report the incident to FDA within three business 18 18 compliance department." days." 19 Now that controlled substance 19 Do you see that there? 20 2.0 compliance department, is that your group? A. Yes, sir. 21 A. Yeah. That was the group I was 21 Q. Do you remember this proposed 22 2.2 transitioning to at the time. change -- going back again, understanding it's 23 Q. Okay. And then the next one there, 23 ten years ago, do you remember that proposed it states, on the left-hand side, "Controlled 24 24 change being proposed?

Page 85 Page 86 eventually handled by my DEA affairs group. 1 A. No. 1 2 Q. All right. And then do you remember 2 Q. And as you think about that change, 3 whether that process that's underlined there was 3 and the decision being moved to the DEA affairs 4 ever -- ever adopted? 4 group, do you remember why that change was made? 5 5 A. It was. A. I think we were the appropriate Q. All right. Then the last one goes 6 6 organization, being a compliance organization, 7 back to the master data administrator. It's 7 to make that determination. 8 1.12. "File a copy of the SOMS report along 8 O. Right. 9 with the customer purchase order and the 9 Do you know when that change was suspicious order record file." 10 10 made? 11 So with regard to these procedures 11 A. I do not. 12 that are listed there, as you think about them 12 Q. Do you remember ever having a 13 and as I read them, did some or many of the them 13 discussion about making that change? 14 change while you were at Watson and then 14 A. I do not. 15 Actavis? 15 Q. And as you think about the A. Yes. 16 16 organization that this responsibility was 17 Q. So especially with regard to looking shifted to, the 1.9 listed there, who in your 17 at the classification of determining if the 18 18 organization would have been primarily 19 order does or does not classify as suspicious, 19 responsible for determining if an order does or 20 in this procedure, it's listed as being under 20 does not classify as suspicious once that 21 the call center management's organization. 21 responsibility was transferred to them? 22 Did that change at some point? 2.2 A. There would be an investigation 23 A. Yes, because the decision to 23 process that would be conducted by an auditor or 2.4 determine if an order was suspicious was 2.4 investigator on my staff, and we would meet and Page 87 Page 88 review the facts of the issue, and I would 1 1 A. Yes, sir. 2 ultimately make the determination. 2 Q. All right. And with regard -- as you 3 Q. So the auditor/investigator that you 3 think about it with regard to the processes that mentioned, how many -- during your time at 4 4 the auditor/investigator would undertake, once 5 Watson, how many auditor/investigators did you 5 they were given an order to make the 6 6 determination of, what would they do? have? 7 7 A. Two primary. And we also had an A. If there was an order that pended in 8 individual who was part of our global security 8 the system of an order of interest that couldn't 9 team who was a trained investigator who served 9 be resolved at the level by order management, 10 10 our auditor/investigator would reach out to the as a backup. 11 Q. Who were the two primary auditor 11 customer, to the compliance person. 12 investigators? 12 In some cases on the order management 13 A. We first had Lisa Scott and then Will 13 side, they're -- they might be talking to 14 Simmons. Our security auditor was Jeff Collins. 14 someone on the other side who is placing the 15 15 Q. So with regard to Ms. Scott and order who is not necessarily a compliance 16 Simmons, were they ever in their respective 16 person. So our person, our auditor, would pick 17 17 up the phone. And we had strong relationships positions as auditor investigators at the same time? 18 18 with all our customers. We knew who the 19 A. No. 19 compliance people were. We would reach out to 20 20 Q. So with regard to the the compliance person for that customer and have 21 auditor/investigator role, as you think of it 21 a conversation with them, explain that their 22 while you were at Watson and then at Actavis, 22 order had pended, and try to ascertain or obtain 23 there was one primary auditor/investigator at 23 justification. 24 24 Was there a new customer that came on any given time?

	Page 89		Page 90
1	board, has there been an issue within the	1	A. I met with the gentleman seated next
2	market, an inventory build for a launch or	2	to me, just to really go through what is
3	something, and try to ascertain what the	3	entailed in a deposition, kind of the process.
4	business rationale was for the increase in the	4	Q. Have you ever given a deposition
5	order.	5	before?
6	Q. So with regard to the justifications	6	A. Civilly. It would be many years ago.
7	that you're thinking of, when an issue was	7	Q. About when was it?
8	raised to the auditor/investigator level, if	8	A. 1990s. It was a personal injury
9	they reached out to the customer at any level,	9	thing.
10	would they be required to have some type of	10	Q. Have you ever given testimony under
11	documentary evidence or other evidence to	11	oath in a court of law?
12	demonstrate the facts that the customer was	12	A. No, sir.
13	giving them?	13	Q. All right. Did you talk with anyone
14	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.	14	other than your counsel about the deposition
15	A. I don't know if in all cases, but	15	today?
16	there you know, where we could obtain	16	A. My wife.
17	documented information, we we could.	17	Q. All right. Anyone else?
18	Q. All right. You can set this document	18	A. No.
19	aside.	19	Q. Okay. So I'm going to hand you what
20	(Witness complies.)	20	we'll mark as Exhibit 5.
21	BY MR. EGLER:	21	A. Can I correct I did have a
22	Q. And before we get to the next	22	conversation with Mary Woods.
23	document, I wanted to ask you, before today, did	23	MR. LUXTON: You should correct that,
24	you do any preparation for the deposition?	24	yeah.
	Page 91		Page 92
	3		
1	A I think that needs to be corrected	1	for identification as of this date)
1 2	A. I think that needs to be corrected.  O. Sure	1 2	for identification, as of this date.)
2	Q. Sure.	2	A. Yes.
2	<ul><li>Q. Sure.</li><li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with</li></ul>	2	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while
2	<ul><li>Q. Sure.</li><li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li></ul>	2 3 4	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Sure.</li><li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li><li>Q. What did she tell you?</li></ul>	2	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program?
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Sure.</li><li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li><li>Q. What did she tell you?</li><li>A. She was just looking for some</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say she asked you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this appears to be a document that's formatted as a OneNote document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this appears to be a document that's formatted as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say she asked you questions in preparation for her deposition?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this appears to be a document that's formatted as a OneNote document. But that said, as you look at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say she asked you questions in preparation for her deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this appears to be a document that's formatted as a OneNote document. But that said, as you look at this document, it's dated the first entry at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say she asked you questions in preparation for her deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Great. Thank you.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this appears to be a document that's formatted as a OneNote document. But that said, as you look at this document, it's dated the first entry at the top of the document is dated Wednesday,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say she asked you questions in preparation for her deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Great. Thank you.</li> <li>A. You're welcome.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this appears to be a document that's formatted as a OneNote document. But that said, as you look at this document, it's dated the first entry at the top of the document is dated Wednesday, June 23rd, 2010.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say she asked you questions in preparation for her deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Great. Thank you.</li> <li>A. You're welcome.</li> <li>Q. And I'll hand you what we'll mark as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this appears to be a document that's formatted as a OneNote document. But that said, as you look at this document, it's dated the first entry at the top of the document is dated Wednesday, June 23rd, 2010. A. Um-hmm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say she asked you questions in preparation for her deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Great. Thank you.</li> <li>A. You're welcome.</li> <li>Q. And I'll hand you what we'll mark as Exhibit 5.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this appears to be a document that's formatted as a OneNote document.  But that said, as you look at this document, it's dated the first entry at the top of the document is dated Wednesday, June 23rd, 2010. A. Um-hmm. Q. And it states, "Customer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say she asked you questions in preparation for her deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Great. Thank you.</li> <li>A. You're welcome.</li> <li>Q. And I'll hand you what we'll mark as Exhibit 5.</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this appears to be a document that's formatted as a OneNote document. But that said, as you look at this document, it's dated the first entry at the top of the document is dated Wednesday, June 23rd, 2010. A. Um-hmm. Q. And it states, "Customer communications for SOMS."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say she asked you questions in preparation for her deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Great. Thank you.</li> <li>A. You're welcome.</li> <li>Q. And I'll hand you what we'll mark as Exhibit 5.</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look generally at</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this appears to be a document that's formatted as a OneNote document. But that said, as you look at this document, it's dated the first entry at the top of the document is dated Wednesday, June 23rd, 2010. A. Um-hmm. Q. And it states, "Customer communications for SOMS." As you read through this, it has the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say she asked you questions in preparation for her deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Great. Thank you.</li> <li>A. You're welcome.</li> <li>Q. And I'll hand you what we'll mark as Exhibit 5.</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look generally at Exhibit 5. As you're looking at it, I'll read</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this appears to be a document that's formatted as a OneNote document. But that said, as you look at this document, it's dated the first entry at the top of the document is dated Wednesday, June 23rd, 2010. A. Um-hmm. Q. And it states, "Customer communications for SOMS." As you read through this, it has the word or the name "Laura Pinti?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say she asked you questions in preparation for her deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Great. Thank you.</li> <li>A. You're welcome.</li> <li>Q. And I'll hand you what we'll mark as</li> <li>Exhibit 5.</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look generally at</li> <li>Exhibit 5. As you're looking at it, I'll read into the record. It's Bates-stamped</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this appears to be a document that's formatted as a OneNote document. But that said, as you look at this document, it's dated the first entry at the top of the document is dated Wednesday, June 23rd, 2010. A. Um-hmm. Q. And it states, "Customer communications for SOMS." As you read through this, it has the word or the name "Laura Pinti? A. Um-hmm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say she asked you questions in preparation for her deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Great. Thank you.</li> <li>A. You're welcome.</li> <li>Q. And I'll hand you what we'll mark as Exhibit 5.</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look generally at Exhibit 5. As you're looking at it, I'll read into the record. It's Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03738524 through 8528.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this appears to be a document that's formatted as a OneNote document. But that said, as you look at this document, it's dated the first entry at the top of the document is dated Wednesday, June 23rd, 2010. A. Um-hmm. Q. And it states, "Customer communications for SOMS." As you read through this, it has the word or the name "Laura Pinti? A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Laura Pinti?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Last week I had a half-hour call with counsel and Mary Woods regarding deposition.</li> <li>Q. What did she tell you?</li> <li>A. She was just looking for some clarification, procedural clarifications from when we administered the SOMS program. Nothing about the deposition.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say she asked you questions in preparation for her deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. All right. Great. Thank you.</li> <li>A. You're welcome.</li> <li>Q. And I'll hand you what we'll mark as Exhibit 5.</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look generally at Exhibit 5. As you're looking at it, I'll read into the record. It's Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03738524 through 8528.  (Napoli Exhibit 5, Document entitled</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. When you're ready, I ask you, while you were at Actavis and Watson, did you ever use the, use Microsoft note taking program? A. Not that I recall. Q. We had a conversation last week with Ms. Woods about Microsoft OneNote, and this appears to be a document that's formatted as a OneNote document. But that said, as you look at this document, it's dated the first entry at the top of the document is dated Wednesday, June 23rd, 2010. A. Um-hmm. Q. And it states, "Customer communications for SOMS." As you read through this, it has the word or the name "Laura Pinti? A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Laura Pinti? A. Laura Pinti also worked in Mary

Page 93 Page 94 language be sent to customers when a SOMS order 1 around this time, mid-2010? 1 2 2 A. I don't. pended? 3 O. And Ms. Pinti writes, "Effective 3 A. Sure. 4 today, please implement the following format for 4 In addition to the header that is 5 all SOMS orders that require additional 5 indicated in the "Dear Customer" highlighted 6 information from the customer." 6 paragraph, the Tom's paragraph is essentially a 7 Then it has, "Dear Customer," and 7 verbatim quote from CFR 1301.74 which details a 8 then it says, "Merge Tom's email into this 8 registrant's obligation to report orders of 9 paragraph. Thank you for your recent controlled 9 suspicious, order of pattern of frequency and 10 substance order. The order is currently under 10 size, and just detailing the regulations for the 11 review. In effort to complete the review 11 customers to why we're doing it. And it's a 12 process, please take a moment to complete the regulatory requirement. It needs to be complied 12 13 following questions below that will assist us in 13 14 completing the necessary review process. Your 14 Q. All right. So then there are various 15 immediate response is required to expedited the 15 entries underneath that paragraph and it says, 16 order review procedure." 16 "Reason for Increase." And then there are 17 And then it states, "In accordance 17 numbered explanations there. Two under "New 18 with CFR and then an ellipsis, and then it says, Contract" and two under "Promotion" and two 18 19 in parentheses, "Tom's paragraph." 19 under "New Customer" and two for "Adding Product 20 Do you think the "Tom" they're 20 to Product Line." 21 referring to there is you? 21 Do you know who would have come up 22 A. Yes. 22 with that data there? 23 Q. All right. So do you remember having 23 A. Likely Mary and her team. 2.4 a discussion around this time of what type of 24 Q. All right. And then going down Page 95 Page 96 1 further in this page, it's highlighted there, it 1 Q. Do you remember writing that 2 states, "Tie the 852 data to Suspicious Order 2 paragraph that appears there starting with, "In 3 Monitoring." 3 accordance with 21 CFR 1301.74"? 4 In the context of your work at Watson 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. So this is June 2010, right? 5 and Actavis, do you have an understanding of 6 what that would mean? 6 A. Um-hmm. 7 7 Q. And at this time, you had been in A. 852 data in the parlance of SAP or 8 it's called EDI, electronic data interchange, so 8 your position with the DEA affairs group for 9 it's a standard language or a protocol for a 9 about a year, is that fair to say? 10 supply chain. I don't know if it's exclusive 10 A. Just about, yes. 11 to, to the pharma industry. But essentially I 11 Q. Before you started your position with 12 believe 852 data tells you what a specific 12 the DEA affairs, what training, if any, did you 13 customer has on hand in their warehouse. 13 have with regard to the Suspicious Order 14 Q. As you think of the 852 data, would 14 Monitoring processes? 15 15 that be information about the -- Watson's direct A. Consistent attendance with the 16 customers or would it be information about the 16 Cegedim/Buzzeo group, their education. And they 17 17 spent a lot of time on Suspicious Order distributor's customers or something else? 18 18 A. Direct customers. We would only have Monitoring. It was very important to them. 19 19 Obviously as a consultant. But they provided access to that. 20 20 Q. And then go on to the next page of excellent training. 21 this document. It has the word "Tom's verbiage" 21 Also the DEA on almost an -- at one 22 22 time, was almost on an annual or biannual basis, there. 2.3 Do you see that? 23 the DEA would host conferences for the various 24 A. Um-hmm. 24 registrant populations, whether it be

1	Page 97		Page 98
	manufacturers, distributors, importers,	1	systems?
2	exporters. And I attended consistently those	2	A. I don't recall any conversations
3	conferences as well too dealing directly with	3	in-depth regarding systems or practices in place
4	the DEA in understanding the regulations and the	4	at for individual pharmaceutical
5	expectations of the Drug Enforcement	5	manufacturers.
6	Administration.	6	Q. All right. Do you remember whether
7	Q. Can you think of any other training	7	that, the Suspicious Order Monitoring System was
8	you had?	8	ever discussed as a topic at any NJPIG meeting?
9	A. There may have been some other	9	A. It could have been a topic of
10	private industry training, but also, you know,	10	Suspicious Order Monitoring, as a topic, could
11	certainly a large component of any job is	11	have been discussed at the meeting.
12	on-the-job training as well too. So when my	12	Q. Okay. All right. Turning further
13	predecessor was transitioning out, as well as	13	into this document, on the next page, it's
14	folks I had on staff, I would learn from them as	14	38526?
15	well, too.	15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Then after you took the job as the	16	Q. And it states, "SOMS Agreement," and
17		17	it appears to be an email that you were cc'd on.
18	head of DEA affairs, did you have any further training on Suspicious Order Monitoring systems?	18	Do you see that there?
19	A. Just the continued education through	19	A. Yes.
20	Buzzeo, DEA, and practical experience as I got	20	
			Q. So I think we had talked about it
21	into the role.	21	before, but who is Lisa Scott?
22	Q. With regard to the NJPIG group that	22	A. She was a compliance
23	we had been discussing, at their meetings, did	23	auditor/investigator for our security and DEA
24	they discuss Suspicious Order Monitoring	24	affairs team.
	Page 99		Page 100
1	Q. And then she writes an email to	1	Q. Do you remember
2	Victoria Lepore, L-e-p-o-r-e, and Larry E.	2	A. It was a long time ago.
3	Schaffer?	3	Q. All right. And do you remember this
4	A. Schaffer.	4	event that of June of 2010, for three days in
5	Q. And she cc's Mary Woods, Laura Pinti,	5	June 2010, orders from four distributors would
6	you, Lynn DaCunha, and Ione Graziosi can	6	be not asked for justifications if they pended
7	you	7	in the SOMS system?
8	A. Graziosi.	8	A. I don't recall the specific event.
9	Q. That's G-r-a-z-i-o-s-i.	9	But looking at the dates, it's just prior to 4th
10	A. Correct.	10	of July holiday. These are large customers.
11	Q. And she states, Ms. Scotts states,	11	Likely not doing business over that period, so
12	"FYI, as discussed during our 10:00 [sic] a m.	12	they may have been had a meeting with us as
13	EST meeting today, we have come to the following	13	our customers to talk about we're going to be
14	agreement. Any order placed on June 21st, 22nd,	14	ordering quantities that are not consistent with
15	or 23rd by ABC, Cardinal, McKesson or HD Smith	15	our ordering patterns because we're building an
16	that is held by the system pending on SOMS	16	inventory because we're going to be shut down,
17	review will not require customer contact for	17	so they would be missing a normal ordering
18	order justification and DEA affairs reviews.	18	pattern. So I would it would be speculation,
19	These orders may be released. This agreement	19	but that there was a conversation that took
1 00	applies specifically to these customers and	20	place where they discussed what those quantities
20	order dates only."	21	would be and rationalizing them and
21	Do you remember this email from	22	understanding that next order cycle, there
	Do you remember this email from		
21	Ms. Scott?	23	-
21 22	-		wouldn't be an order because it would it would flatten out.

	Page 101		Page 102
1	So just discussing discussing the	1	With regard to the SOMS system at
2	rationale prior to a holiday, it's not uncommon	2	Watson and then at Actavis, the SOMS system
3	at the end of year or midyear.	3	applied to every controlled substance drug; is
4	Q. Do you remember whether this type	4	that right?
5	of this type of process was used every year	5	A. Yes, sir.
6	around the 4th of July holiday as you mentioned?	6	Q. And that would be Schedule II through
7	A. There, there typically were	7	V; is that right?
8	conversations with customers regarding when	8	A. Correct.
9	there was going to be a period of shutdown or an	9	Q. And as you think of it, were was
10	interruption to the normal order ordering	10	there any time at Watson or Actavis where one
11	pattern.	11	schedule of drug was treated differently from
12	Q. So I think you mentioned the 4th of	12	the other schedules of drugs by the Suspicious
13	July and then the winter holidays.	13	Order Monitoring System?
14	Is there any other time of year that	14	A. Within the Suspicious Order
15	you can think of that this would occur?	15	Monitoring System, it was all you know, a
16	A. Not necessarily, unless a product was	16	controlled drug was a controlled drug.
17	seasonal. You know, you had some products that	17	Q. So, for example, Schedule II drugs
18	were, you know, during a particular cold and flu	18	wouldn't be treated any differently from
19	season or if children are going back to	19	Schedule III, IV or V drugs?
20	school, for certain products, there would be a	20	A. Right.
21	seasonality, so increases might be out of the	21	Q. Do you remember whether particular
22	ordinary.	22	opioids were ever treated differently from all
23	Q. And that leads me to the next	23	the other scheduled drugs?
24	question.	24	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.
	Page 103		Page 104
1	A. No.	1	(Document review.)
1 2	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. So just so we're clear, you don't</li></ul>	1 2	(Document review.) A. I definitely recognize the document.
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't	2	A. I definitely recognize the document.
2	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember	2	A. I definitely recognize the document.  Q. So starting with the first page of
2 3 4	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?	2 3 4	A. I definitely recognize the document.  Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from
2 3 4 5	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.	2 3 4 5	A. I definitely recognize the document.  Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you?  A. Yes.  Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February
2 3 4 5 6	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.	2 3 4 5 6	A. I definitely recognize the document.  Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I definitely recognize the document.  Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you?  A. Yes.  Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I definitely recognize the document. Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you? A. Yes. Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I definitely recognize the document.  Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you?  A. Yes.  Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the subject is "Presentation," and it says, "Tom,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 6, Email dated 2/2/10	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I definitely recognize the document.  Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you?  A. Yes.  Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the subject is "Presentation," and it says, "Tom, see attached, Scotty."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 6, Email dated 2/2/10 from L. Scott to T. Napoli with attachment,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I definitely recognize the document. Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you? A. Yes. Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the subject is "Presentation," and it says, "Tom, see attached, Scotty." A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 6, Email dated 2/2/10 from L. Scott to T. Napoli with attachment, ALLERGAN_MDL_01236063 through 6094, marked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I definitely recognize the document. Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you? A. Yes. Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the subject is "Presentation," and it says, "Tom, see attached, Scotty." A. Yes. Q. Do you remember whether Ms. Scott sent you this particular presentation around that time, early February, 2010?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 6, Email dated 2/2/10 from L. Scott to T. Napoli with attachment,  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236063 through 6094, marked for identification, as of this date.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I definitely recognize the document. Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you? A. Yes. Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the subject is "Presentation," and it says, "Tom, see attached, Scotty." A. Yes. Q. Do you remember whether Ms. Scott sent you this particular presentation around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 6, Email dated 2/2/10 from L. Scott to T. Napoli with attachment,  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236063 through 6094, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I definitely recognize the document. Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you? A. Yes. Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the subject is "Presentation," and it says, "Tom, see attached, Scotty." A. Yes. Q. Do you remember whether Ms. Scott sent you this particular presentation around that time, early February, 2010?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 6, Email dated 2/2/10 from L. Scott to T. Napoli with attachment, ALLERGAN_MDL_01236063 through 6094, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. I'm going to hand you what we will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I definitely recognize the document. Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you? A. Yes. Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the subject is "Presentation," and it says, "Tom, see attached, Scotty." A. Yes. Q. Do you remember whether Ms. Scott sent you this particular presentation around that time, early February, 2010? A. I don't have a specific recollection of it. Q. So on the next page, page 064 begins
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 6, Email dated 2/2/10 from L. Scott to T. Napoli with attachment,  ALLERGAN_MDL_ 01236063 through 6094, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. I'm going to hand you what we will mark as Exhibit 6.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I definitely recognize the document. Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you? A. Yes. Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the subject is "Presentation," and it says, "Tom, see attached, Scotty." A. Yes. Q. Do you remember whether Ms. Scott sent you this particular presentation around that time, early February, 2010? A. I don't have a specific recollection of it. Q. So on the next page, page 064 begins the attachment to the email, which appears to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 6, Email dated 2/2/10 from L. Scott to T. Napoli with attachment,  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236063 through 6094, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. I'm going to hand you what we will mark as Exhibit 6.  Mr. Napoli, can you look at what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I definitely recognize the document. Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you? A. Yes. Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the subject is "Presentation," and it says, "Tom, see attached, Scotty." A. Yes. Q. Do you remember whether Ms. Scott sent you this particular presentation around that time, early February, 2010? A. I don't have a specific recollection of it. Q. So on the next page, page 064 begins the attachment to the email, which appears to be a PowerPoint presentation, and states, "DEA
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 6, Email dated 2/2/10 from L. Scott to T. Napoli with attachment,  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236063 through 6094, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. I'm going to hand you what we will mark as Exhibit 6.  Mr. Napoli, can you look at what we'll mark Exhibit 6? While you're looking at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I definitely recognize the document.  Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you?  A. Yes.  Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the subject is "Presentation," and it says, "Tom, see attached, Scotty."  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember whether Ms. Scott sent you this particular presentation around that time, early February, 2010?  A. I don't have a specific recollection of it.  Q. So on the next page, page 064 begins the attachment to the email, which appears to be a PowerPoint presentation, and states, "DEA affairs organizational overview," and it says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 6, Email dated 2/2/10 from L. Scott to T. Napoli with attachment,  ALLERGAN_MDL_ 01236063 through 6094, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. I'm going to hand you what we will mark as Exhibit 6.  Mr. Napoli, can you look at what we'll mark Exhibit 6? While you're looking at it, I'll read into the record. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I definitely recognize the document. Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you? A. Yes. Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the subject is "Presentation," and it says, "Tom, see attached, Scotty." A. Yes. Q. Do you remember whether Ms. Scott sent you this particular presentation around that time, early February, 2010? A. I don't have a specific recollection of it. Q. So on the next page, page 064 begins the attachment to the email, which appears to be a PowerPoint presentation, and states, "DEA affairs organizational overview," and it says "Thomas Napoli, CCP, U.S. generics, February
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 6, Email dated 2/2/10 from L. Scott to T. Napoli with attachment,  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236063 through 6094, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. I'm going to hand you what we will mark as Exhibit 6.  Mr. Napoli, can you look at what we'll mark Exhibit 6? While you're looking at it, I'll read into the record. It's ALLERGAN_MDL_01236063 through 6094.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I definitely recognize the document. Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you? A. Yes. Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the subject is "Presentation," and it says, "Tom, see attached, Scotty." A. Yes. Q. Do you remember whether Ms. Scott sent you this particular presentation around that time, early February, 2010? A. I don't have a specific recollection of it. Q. So on the next page, page 064 begins the attachment to the email, which appears to be a PowerPoint presentation, and states, "DEA affairs organizational overview," and it says "Thomas Napoli, CCP, U.S. generics, February 2nd, 2010."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 6, Email dated 2/2/10 from L. Scott to T. Napoli with attachment,  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236063 through 6094, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. I'm going to hand you what we will mark as Exhibit 6.  Mr. Napoli, can you look at what we'll mark Exhibit 6? While you're looking at it, I'll read into the record. It's ALLERGAN_MDL_01236063 through 6094.  When you're ready, can you tell me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I definitely recognize the document.  Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you?  A. Yes.  Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the subject is "Presentation," and it says, "Tom, see attached, Scotty."  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember whether Ms. Scott sent you this particular presentation around that time, early February, 2010?  A. I don't have a specific recollection of it.  Q. So on the next page, page 064 begins the attachment to the email, which appears to be a PowerPoint presentation, and states, "DEA affairs organizational overview," and it says "Thomas Napoli, CCP, U.S. generics, February 2nd, 2010."  Do you see that there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So just so we're clear, you don't think they ever were, or you don't remember either way?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 6, Email dated 2/2/10 from L. Scott to T. Napoli with attachment,  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236063 through 6094, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. I'm going to hand you what we will mark as Exhibit 6.  Mr. Napoli, can you look at what we'll mark Exhibit 6? While you're looking at it, I'll read into the record. It's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236063 through 6094.  When you're ready, can you tell me whether you remember ever seeing the document	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I definitely recognize the document. Q. So starting with the first page of this exhibit, page 063, is that an email from Lisa Scott to you? A. Yes. Q. And she sends it on Tuesday, February 2nd, 2010, at 11:47 a m., which says the subject is "Presentation," and it says, "Tom, see attached, Scotty." A. Yes. Q. Do you remember whether Ms. Scott sent you this particular presentation around that time, early February, 2010? A. I don't have a specific recollection of it. Q. So on the next page, page 064 begins the attachment to the email, which appears to be a PowerPoint presentation, and states, "DEA affairs organizational overview," and it says "Thomas Napoli, CCP, U.S. generics, February 2nd, 2010."

	Page 105		Page 106
1	Q. So did you make this presentation to	1	there any training on Suspicious Order
2	somebody or a group of people in Florida in	2	Monitoring systems?
3	early February of 2010?	3	A. No.
4	A. It's possible.	4	Q. When did you receive the
5	Q. Do you remember making this	5	certification from the American Society of
6	presentation to a group of people in early 2010?	6	Industrial Security?
7	A. I don't.	7	A. Mid-2000s.
8	Q. Okay. So next to your name there, it	8	Q. So then below there it states, "U.S.
9	says "CPP."	9	generics."
10	What does that stand for?	10	As you think about that term, what
11	A. That is a board certification in	11	does that mean in the context of this
12	security management from the American Society of	12	presentation?
13	Industrial Security, so the highest designation	13	A. That indicates the division that I
14	you can get in security management.	14	worked for.
15	Q. So that designation from the American	15	Q. All right. As you think of Watson
16	Society of Industrial Security, what was the	16	around this time, early 2010, you identified the
17	subject matter of the certification that you	17	U.S. generics division.
18	received from them?	18	What were the other divisions that
19	A. The subject matter were all aspects	19	you can think of?
20	of physical security.	20	A. There would be generics and, I guess
21	Q. Was the certification specifically	21	brand or commercial.
22	related to pharmaceutical drugs?	22	Q. Okay. Do you know whether any of the
23	A. No.	23	brand or commercial drugs that Watson made at
24	Q. As part of the certification, was	24	this time were controlled substances?
	Page 107		Page 108
1	A. There were but very few.	1	goals and objectives."
2	Q. Do you know whether those controlled	2	Reading that, do you does this
3	substances from the brand division would have	3	help you remember who you might have presented
4	been governed by the same Suspicious Order	4	this to in early 2010?
5	Monitoring System that applied to the generics	5	A. No. This was probably presented to a
6	drugs?	6	lot of folks.
7	A. Of course.	7	Q. When you say "a lot of folks," is
8	Q. And as you think of it, was it the	8	that a lot of folks at once or you made this
9	same system or two similar systems?	9	presentation to different groups at different
10	A. Same exact system.	10	times?
11	Q. All right. And would they all let	11	A. Different groups, different times.
12	me start over.	12	Q. All right. As you think of it, in
13	Would all the orders for the	13	2010, can you remember about how many times you
1 1 1		14	would have made this presentation?
14	controlled substances, as you think of them, be		
15	passed through that SAP system that we were	15	A. No.
15 16	passed through that SAP system that we were talking about earlier today?	15 16	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. So turning two pages in on 067, your</li></ul>
15 16 17	passed through that SAP system that we were talking about earlier today?  A. Correct.	15 16 17	A. No. Q. So turning two pages in on 067, your name appears there. And at the upper left-hand
15 16 17 18	passed through that SAP system that we were talking about earlier today?  A. Correct.  Q. So the next page on this exhibit, 65	15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. So turning two pages in on 067, your name appears there. And at the upper left-hand corner it states, "DEA Affairs Organization
15 16 17 18 19	passed through that SAP system that we were talking about earlier today?  A. Correct.  Q. So the next page on this exhibit, 65 states, "Objective" and "Provide an overview of	15 16 17 18 19	A. No. Q. So turning two pages in on 067, your name appears there. And at the upper left-hand corner it states, "DEA Affairs Organization current state"?
15 16 17 18 19 20	passed through that SAP system that we were talking about earlier today?  A. Correct.  Q. So the next page on this exhibit, 65 states, "Objective" and "Provide an overview of the DEA affairs team"	15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Q. So turning two pages in on 067, your name appears there. And at the upper left-hand corner it states, "DEA Affairs Organization current state"? A. Um-hmm.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	passed through that SAP system that we were talking about earlier today?  A. Correct.  Q. So the next page on this exhibit, 65 states, "Objective" and "Provide an overview of the DEA affairs team"  A. Um-hmm.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So turning two pages in on 067, your</li> <li>name appears there. And at the upper left-hand</li> <li>corner it states, "DEA Affairs Organization</li> <li>current state"?</li> <li>A. Um-hmm.</li> <li>Q. And so you were the manager of</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	passed through that SAP system that we were talking about earlier today?  A. Correct.  Q. So the next page on this exhibit, 65 states, "Objective" and "Provide an overview of the DEA affairs team"  A. Um-hmm.  Q "who we are, what we do, origins	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. So turning two pages in on 067, your name appears there. And at the upper left-hand corner it states, "DEA Affairs Organization current state"? A. Um-hmm. Q. And so you were the manager of security in DEA affairs; is that right?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	passed through that SAP system that we were talking about earlier today?  A. Correct.  Q. So the next page on this exhibit, 65 states, "Objective" and "Provide an overview of the DEA affairs team"  A. Um-hmm.  Q "who we are, what we do, origins and path forward, achievements to date,	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So turning two pages in on 067, your name appears there. And at the upper left-hand corner it states, "DEA Affairs Organization current state"?</li> <li>A. Um-hmm.</li> <li>Q. And so you were the manager of security in DEA affairs; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	passed through that SAP system that we were talking about earlier today?  A. Correct.  Q. So the next page on this exhibit, 65 states, "Objective" and "Provide an overview of the DEA affairs team"  A. Um-hmm.  Q "who we are, what we do, origins	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. So turning two pages in on 067, your name appears there. And at the upper left-hand corner it states, "DEA Affairs Organization current state"? A. Um-hmm. Q. And so you were the manager of security in DEA affairs; is that right?

	Page 109		Page 110
1	the organizational chart that appears there, the	1	Gurnee," and then "import control, R&D/new
2	various people and groups, which, if any, would	2	product support."
3	have a responsibility for portions of the	3	A. Right.
4	Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this	4	Q. Of those, which relate to the
5	time, early 2010?	5	Suspicious Order Monitoring System?
6	A. It would have been Ione and Lisa.	6	A. Actually none of them, so it looks
7	Q. And Ione is listed there, Ione	7	like there was a typo and it was left off.
8	Graziosi?	8	Q. Okay. And the term "SLC" for
9	A. Um-hmm.	9	reporting, is that Salt Lake City?
10	Q. And she's a manager DEA affairs?	10	A. Correct.
11	A. Yup.	11	Q. What was in Salt Lake City for Watson
12	Q. And then Lisa Scott is CS auditor?	12	around this time, early 2010?
13	A. Yes.	13	A. They had a broad portfolio of
14	Q. And under Ms. Scott's name, it says,	14	products, controlled and not controlled. From a
15	"Audit program, investigations, agency request,	15	controlled substance standpoint, it would have
16	policy development and inspection support."	16	been the fentanyl patch.
17	A. Um-hmm.	17	Q. And how about Gurnee?
18	Q. As you think of those various terms,	18	A. Gurnee was a distribution center.
19	which of those relate to the Suspicious Order	19	Q. And that is in Illinois; is that
20	Monitoring System?	20	right?
21	A. The auditing investigations.	21	A. Yes. Chicago area.
22	Q. And then under Ms. Graziosi, it says	22	Q. Turning to the next page, which would
23	"quota, site liaison," and then "reporting."	23	be 068, it says "DEA affairs organization, 2010"
24	And then underneath there, it says, "SLC and	24	and your name again appears there.
	Page 111		Page 112
1	A. Um-hmm.	1	Q. And you write, "Department renamed
1 2	Q. As you look at this organizational	1 2	security and DEA affairs and that created
	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any		
2	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order	2	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term
2	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any	2 3	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and	2 3 4	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos
2 3 4 5	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part	2 3 4 5	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term  A. Sure.  Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean?
2 3 4 5 6	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.	2 3 4 5 6	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?</li> <li>A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.</li> <li>Q. All right. So going further into</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So 072 and 073 face each other and it states at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you look at the DEA regulations and the code of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So 072 and 073 face each other and it states at the top of 072 "Origins."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you look at the DEA regulations and the code of and the CFR, there is a very strong security
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So 072 and 073 face each other and it states at the top of 072 "Origins."  Do you see that there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you look at the DEA regulations and the code of and the CFR, there is a very strong security component to it. So it made sense because of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So 072 and 073 face each other and it states at the top of 072 "Origins."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you look at the DEA regulations and the code of and the CFR, there is a very strong security component to it. So it made sense because of those synergies that the large security
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So 072 and 073 face each other and it states at the top of 072 "Origins."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. It says, "Senior management decision	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you look at the DEA regulations and the code of and the CFR, there is a very strong security component to it. So it made sense because of those synergies that the large security component to the CFR to merge the two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So 072 and 073 face each other and it states at the top of 072 "Origins."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. It says, "Senior management decision was made to integrate the former C/S compliance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you look at the DEA regulations and the code of and the CFR, there is a very strong security component to it. So it made sense because of those synergies that the large security component to the CFR to merge the two organizations because previously reporting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So 072 and 073 face each other and it states at the top of 072 "Origins."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. It says, "Senior management decision was made to integrate the former C/S compliance department into the security department in April	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you look at the DEA regulations and the code of and the CFR, there is a very strong security component to it. So it made sense because of those synergies that the large security component to the CFR to merge the two organizations because previously reporting through separate organizations, there it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So 072 and 073 face each other and it states at the top of 072 "Origins."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. It says, "Senior management decision was made to integrate the former C/S compliance department into the security department in April of 2009."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you look at the DEA regulations and the code of and the CFR, there is a very strong security component to it. So it made sense because of those synergies that the large security component to the CFR to merge the two organizations because previously reporting through separate organizations, there it could inhibit effective communication.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So 072 and 073 face each other and it states at the top of 072 "Origins."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. It says, "Senior management decision was made to integrate the former C/S compliance department into the security department in April of 2009."  That date, April of 2009, is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you look at the DEA regulations and the code of and the CFR, there is a very strong security component to it. So it made sense because of those synergies that the large security component to the CFR to merge the two organizations because previously reporting through separate organizations, there it could inhibit effective communication. Q. So if you go further into this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So 072 and 073 face each other and it states at the top of 072 "Origins."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. It says, "Senior management decision was made to integrate the former C/S compliance department into the security department in April of 2009."  That date, April of 2009, is that when you became involved in the DEA affairs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you look at the DEA regulations and the code of and the CFR, there is a very strong security component to it. So it made sense because of those synergies that the large security component to the CFR to merge the two organizations because previously reporting through separate organizations, there it could inhibit effective communication.  Q. So if you go further into this document, going to, sorry, page 085, and it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So 072 and 073 face each other and it states at the top of 072 "Origins."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. It says, "Senior management decision was made to integrate the former C/S compliance department into the security department in April of 2009."  That date, April of 2009, is that when you became involved in the DEA affairs group?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you look at the DEA regulations and the code of and the CFR, there is a very strong security component to it. So it made sense because of those synergies that the large security component to the CFR to merge the two organizations because previously reporting through separate organizations, there it could inhibit effective communication. Q. So if you go further into this document, going to, sorry, page 085, and it states: "Achievements to date, continued."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So 072 and 073 face each other and it states at the top of 072 "Origins."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. It says, "Senior management decision was made to integrate the former C/S compliance department into the security department in April of 2009."  That date, April of 2009, is that when you became involved in the DEA affairs group?  A. I don't know if it was the exact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you look at the DEA regulations and the code of and the CFR, there is a very strong security component to it. So it made sense because of those synergies that the large security component to the CFR to merge the two organizations because previously reporting through separate organizations, there it could inhibit effective communication. Q. So if you go further into this document, going to, sorry, page 085, and it states: "Achievements to date, continued."  A. Yup.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So 072 and 073 face each other and it states at the top of 072 "Origins."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. It says, "Senior management decision was made to integrate the former C/S compliance department into the security department in April of 2009."  That date, April of 2009, is that when you became involved in the DEA affairs group?  A. I don't know if it was the exact time, but it would have been around then or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you look at the DEA regulations and the code of and the CFR, there is a very strong security component to it. So it made sense because of those synergies that the large security component to the CFR to merge the two organizations because previously reporting through separate organizations, there it could inhibit effective communication. Q. So if you go further into this document, going to, sorry, page 085, and it states: "Achievements to date, continued."  A. Yup. Q. If you need to, you can look at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. As you look at this organizational chart, do any of the people have any responsibility for the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. Again, it would have been Lisa and the proposed lead, because Ione, not being part of the team at the time.  Q. All right. So going further into this document, if you'll turn to page 072. So 072 and 073 face each other and it states at the top of 072 "Origins."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes, I do.  Q. It says, "Senior management decision was made to integrate the former C/S compliance department into the security department in April of 2009."  That date, April of 2009, is that when you became involved in the DEA affairs group?  A. I don't know if it was the exact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	security and DEA affairs and that created synergy where silos previously existed."  That second term A. Sure. Q "created synergies where silos previously existed," what does that mean? A. When I articulated earlier that there was a decision made to merge the compliance group with global security is because if you look at the DEA regulations and the code of and the CFR, there is a very strong security component to it. So it made sense because of those synergies that the large security component to the CFR to merge the two organizations because previously reporting through separate organizations, there it could inhibit effective communication. Q. So if you go further into this document, going to, sorry, page 085, and it states: "Achievements to date, continued."  A. Yup.

	Page 113		Page 114
1	ask you about the one that appears under ARCOS.	1	very manually intensive process, so I think
2	Do you see that there?	2	there were probably some fat finger mistakes.
3	A. Um-hmm.	3	So I think we probably reduced the amount of
4	Q. What is ARCOS?	4	mistakes because you get errors reports from the
5	A. ARCOS is the Automated Records and	5	DEA. So it's probably an enhancement in the
6	Consolidation Ordering System, I think.	6	process.
7	Q. Okay. Was your group at Watson	7	Q. All right. And then you state,
8	around this time, 2010, responsible for the	8	"Florida program implemented."
9	reporting of any ARCOS data?	9	Do you remember what that meant?
10	A. We supported yes, the, the ARCOS	10	A. Sure.
11	reporting for sites as well as for our	11	The Florida program was that there
12	distribution center.	12	were Florida and subsequently other states
		13	sought to receive ARCOS reporting for products
13	Q. All right. When you say the "sites,"	14	distributed into their states so they'd have a
14	is that the manufacturing sites?	15	view of products being distributed by a
15	A. Correct.	16	particular manufacturer into their state.
16	Q. All right. So and then there's	17	Q. All right. So is it fair to say,
17	two bullet points there, "data integrity" and	18	then, that the State of Florida would receive
18	"Florida program implemented."	19	the same data that Watson sent to the DEA?
19	Do you have a memory as to what the	20	A. Yes.
20	data integrity achievement was?	21	
21	A. Sure.	22	Q. All right. So going to the next
22	Data integrity I think was probably		page, it states "Compliance Landscape"?  A. Um-hmm.
23	a more accuracy in the reporting, because	23	
24	sometimes the at that time, it was a manual,	24	Q. This is page 086 of Exhibit 6.
	Page 115		Page 116
1	"In 2008, 6.2 Americans used		
	111 2000, 0.2 7 micricans asea	1	discussion about the non-medical use of
2	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for	1 2	discussion about the non-medical use of prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana
2	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for	2	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana
2	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.	2	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around
2 3 4	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period. 2.5	2 3 4	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?
2 3 4 5	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period. 2.5 (Interruption.)	2 3 4 5	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been
2 3 4 5 6	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period. 2.5 (Interruption.) BY MR. EGLER:	2 3 4 5 6	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.
2 3 4 5 6 7	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period. 2.5 (Interruption.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. "In 2008, 6.2 million Americans used	2 3 4 5 6 7	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5 (Interruption.)  BY MR. EGLER: Q. "In 2008, 6.2 million Americans used prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use,"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5  (Interruption.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. "In 2008, 6.2 million Americans used prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period, 2.5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use," and then in parentheses "2004 through 2006."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5 (Interruption.)  BY MR. EGLER: Q. "In 2008, 6.2 million Americans used prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period, 2.5 percent population."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use," and then in parentheses "2004 through 2006."  And can you pronounce the next word
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5 (Interruption.)  BY MR. EGLER: Q. "In 2008, 6.2 million Americans used prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period, 2.5 percent population."  And then in bullet points you say,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use," and then in parentheses "2004 through 2006."  And can you pronounce the next word that appears next to the bullet?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5  (Interruption.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. "In 2008, 6.2 million Americans used prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period, 2.5 percent population."  And then in bullet points you say,  "More than cocaine, heroin, hallucinogens"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use," and then in parentheses "2004 through 2006."  And can you pronounce the next word that appears next to the bullet?  A. Benzodiazepines.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5  (Interruption.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. "In 2008, 6.2 million Americans used prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period, 2.5 percent population."  And then in bullet points you say,  "More than cocaine, heroin, hallucinogens"  "hallucinogens and inhalants combined."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use," and then in parentheses "2004 through 2006."  And can you pronounce the next word that appears next to the bullet?  A. Benzodiazepines.  Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5  (Interruption.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. "In 2008, 6.2 million Americans used prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period, 2.5 percent population."  And then in bullet points you say,  "More than cocaine, heroin, hallucinogens"  "hallucinogens and inhalants combined."  And then in the next one, it says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use," and then in parentheses "2004 through 2006."  And can you pronounce the next word that appears next to the bullet?  A. Benzodiazepines.  Q. Okay.  MR. KNAPP: Nice job.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use," and then in parentheses "2004 through 2006."  And can you pronounce the next word that appears next to the bullet?  A. Benzodiazepines.  Q. Okay.  MR. KNAPP: Nice job.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5  (Interruption.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. "In 2008, 6.2 million Americans used prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period, 2.5 percent population."  And then in bullet points you say,  "More than cocaine, heroin, hallucinogens"  "hallucinogens and inhalants combined."  And then in the next one, it says  "Non-medical use of prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use," and then in parentheses "2004 through 2006."  And can you pronounce the next word that appears next to the bullet?  A. Benzodiazepines.  Q. Okay.  MR. KNAPP: Nice job.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  BY MR. EGLER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5  (Interruption.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. "In 2008, 6.2 million Americans used prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period, 2.5 percent population."  And then in bullet points you say,  "More than cocaine, heroin, hallucinogens"  "hallucinogens and inhalants combined."  And then in the next one, it says  "Non-medical use of prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers, 2.2 million."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use," and then in parentheses "2004 through 2006."  And can you pronounce the next word that appears next to the bullet?  A. Benzodiazepines.  Q. Okay.  MR. KNAPP: Nice job.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. So that's hydrocodone, and can you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use," and then in parentheses "2004 through 2006."  And can you pronounce the next word that appears next to the bullet?  A. Benzodiazepines.  Q. Okay.  MR. KNAPP: Nice job.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. So that's hydrocodone, and can you pronounce that word?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5  (Interruption.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. "In 2008, 6.2 million Americans used prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period, 2.5 percent population."  And then in bullet points you say,  "More than cocaine, heroin, hallucinogens"  "hallucinogens and inhalants combined."  And then in the next one, it says  "Non-medical use of prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers, 2.2 million."  Do you remember why you would have written that here?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use," and then in parentheses "2004 through 2006."  And can you pronounce the next word that appears next to the bullet?  A. Benzodiazepines.  Q. Okay.  MR. KNAPP: Nice job.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. So that's hydrocodone, and can you pronounce that word?  A. Alprazolam.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5  (Interruption.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. "In 2008, 6.2 million Americans used prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period, 2.5 percent population."  And then in bullet points you say,  "More than cocaine, heroin, hallucinogens"  "hallucinogens and inhalants combined."  And then in the next one, it says  "Non-medical use of prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers, 2.2 million."  Do you remember why you would have written that here?  A. Yeah, communicating the compliance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use," and then in parentheses "2004 through 2006."  And can you pronounce the next word that appears next to the bullet?  A. Benzodiazepines.  Q. Okay.  MR. KNAPP: Nice job.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. So that's hydrocodone, and can you pronounce that word?  A. Alprazolam.  Q. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5  (Interruption.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. "In 2008, 6.2 million Americans used prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period, 2.5 percent population."  And then in bullet points you say,  "More than cocaine, heroin, hallucinogens"  "hallucinogens and inhalants combined."  And then in the next one, it says  "Non-medical use of prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers, 2.2 million."  Do you remember why you would have written that here?  A. Yeah, communicating the compliance landscape to our audience to and to stress	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use," and then in parentheses "2004 through 2006."  And can you pronounce the next word that appears next to the bullet?  A. Benzodiazepines.  Q. Okay.  MR. KNAPP: Nice job.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. So that's hydrocodone, and can you pronounce that word?  A. Alprazolam.  Q. All right.  A. Actually, hydrocodone doesn't belong
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period.  2.5  (Interruption.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. "In 2008, 6.2 million Americans used prescription-type psychotherapeutic drugs for non-medical purposes in a one-month period, 2.5 percent population."  And then in bullet points you say,  "More than cocaine, heroin, hallucinogens"  "hallucinogens and inhalants combined."  And then in the next one, it says  "Non-medical use of prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers, 2.2 million."  Do you remember why you would have written that here?  A. Yeah, communicating the compliance landscape to our audience to and to stress the importance of, you know, our compliance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	prescription pain relievers tied with marijuana as having the highest rate of new abusers around this time?  A. No. I mean, this would have been information directly taken from DEA.  Q. The next section there states, "Drugs most frequently implicated in non-medical use," and then in parentheses "2004 through 2006."  And can you pronounce the next word that appears next to the bullet?  A. Benzodiazepines.  Q. Okay.  MR. KNAPP: Nice job.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. So that's hydrocodone, and can you pronounce that word?  A. Alprazolam.  Q. All right.  A. Actually, hydrocodone doesn't belong in there. That's, that's not a benzodiazepine.

	Page 117		Page 118
1	A. Correct.	1	hydrocodone by Watson?
2	Q. And it says "36 percent increase."	2	MR. LUXTON: Object to the form.
3	A. Um-hmm.	3	MR. EGLER: Well, let me start over.
4	Q. And then it states,	4	That was a bad question because Watson
5	"Hydrocodone/combinations, 44 percent increase"?	5	probably didn't use it as a non-medical
6	A. Um-hmm.	6	way.
7	Q. And then, "Oxycodone/combinations,	7	A. No.
8	primarily OxyContin, 56 percent increase"?	8	Q. So do you remember whether there was
9	A. Um-hmm.	9	any initiatives or endeavors to address the high
10	Q. Do you remember why you would have	10	rate of non-medical use of Americans of
11	written that down?	11	hydrocodone at Watson around this time frame?
12	A. I certainly wanted to give	12	A. Our efforts certainly at that time
13	perspective to the audience of the issues that	13	and throughout my tenure were focused on
14	were going on today in the, you know, in the	14	ensuring that, as a DEA registrant, that we had
15	landscape.	15	effective controls in place throughout our
16	Q. Do you remember around this time	16	entire controlled substance lifecycle to reduce
17	whether Watson made generic OxyContin?	17	the opportunity for theft or diversion.
18	A. We did not.	18	Q. Okay. So going further into this
19	Q. Do you remember around this time	19	document, can you look two pages in at 089?
20	whether Watson made generic hydrocodone?	20	A. Sure.
21	A. We did.	21	Q. You state, "Our products are among
		22	the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate
22	Q. Do you remember whether there were	23	medical use in the U.S."
23	any particular endeavors around this time,	24	Do you see that there?
24	February 2010, to address the non-medical use of		Bo you see that there.
	Page 119		Page 120
		1	
1	A. Yes.	1	use, but also there was a rising illicit need as
1 2	A. Yes. MR. LUXTON: 088?	1 2	use, but also there was a rising illicit need as well, too, or demand.
			_
2	MR. LUXTON: 088?	2	well, too, or demand.
2 3	MR. LUXTON: 088? MR. EGLER: This is on the previous	2 3	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next
2 3 4	MR. LUXTON: 088? MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.	2 3 4	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued."
2 3 4 5	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088. BY MR. EGLER:	2 3 4 5	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued."  Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among	2 3 4 5 6	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued."  Do you see that?  A. Um-hmm.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate	2 3 4 5 6 7	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued."  Do you see that?  A. Um-hmm.  Q. And then it says, "Products include,"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	well, too, or demand. Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued." Do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."  And it states, "Entered into evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued."  Do you see that?  A. Um-hmm.  Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the manufacturing facility in Corona, California?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."  And it states, "Entered into evidence by law enforcement."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued."  Do you see that?  A. Um-hmm.  Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the manufacturing facility in Corona, California?  A. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."  And it states, "Entered into evidence by law enforcement."  What did you mean by that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued."  Do you see that?  A. Um-hmm.  Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the manufacturing facility in Corona, California?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. It says, "Hydrocodone."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."  And it states, "Entered into evidence by law enforcement."  What did you mean by that?  A. What I meant by that was that, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	well, too, or demand. Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued." Do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the manufacturing facility in Corona, California? A. Yes, sir. Q. It says, "Hydrocodone." A. Um-hmm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."  And it states, "Entered into evidence by law enforcement."  What did you mean by that?  A. What I meant by that was that, you know, that, you know our and the goal of our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued."  Do you see that?  A. Um-hmm.  Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the manufacturing facility in Corona, California?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. It says, "Hydrocodone."  A. Um-hmm.  Q. It says, "Initial quota grant is 25
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."  And it states, "Entered into evidence by law enforcement."  What did you mean by that?  A. What I meant by that was that, you know, that, you know our and the goal of our organization, obviously, is we want to reduce,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued."  Do you see that?  A. Um-hmm.  Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the manufacturing facility in Corona, California?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. It says, "Hydrocodone."  A. Um-hmm.  Q. It says, "Initial quota grant is 25 percent of aggregate."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."  And it states, "Entered into evidence by law enforcement."  What did you mean by that?  A. What I meant by that was that, you know, that, you know our and the goal of our organization, obviously, is we want to reduce, you know, pain and suffering for those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued."  Do you see that?  A. Um-hmm.  Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the manufacturing facility in Corona, California?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. It says, "Hydrocodone."  A. Um-hmm.  Q. It says, "Initial quota grant is 25 percent of aggregate."  In the context of your work at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."  And it states, "Entered into evidence by law enforcement."  What did you mean by that?  A. What I meant by that was that, you know, that, you know our and the goal of our organization, obviously, is we want to reduce, you know, pain and suffering for those individuals who have legitimate chronic pain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued."  Do you see that?  A. Um-hmm.  Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the manufacturing facility in Corona, California?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. It says, "Hydrocodone."  A. Um-hmm.  Q. It says, "Initial quota grant is 25 percent of aggregate."  In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."  And it states, "Entered into evidence by law enforcement."  What did you mean by that?  A. What I meant by that was that, you know, that, you know our and the goal of our organization, obviously, is we want to reduce, you know, pain and suffering for those individuals who have legitimate chronic pain issues or acute pain issues and that there is a,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	well, too, or demand. Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued." Do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the manufacturing facility in Corona, California? A. Yes, sir. Q. It says, "Hydrocodone." A. Um-hmm. Q. It says, "Initial quota grant is 25 percent of aggregate." In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean? A. It would mean 25 percent of all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."  And it states, "Entered into evidence by law enforcement."  What did you mean by that?  A. What I meant by that was that, you know, that, you know our and the goal of our organization, obviously, is we want to reduce, you know, pain and suffering for those individuals who have legitimate chronic pain issues or acute pain issues and that there is a, a largely legitimate need for this product. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	well, too, or demand. Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued." Do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the manufacturing facility in Corona, California? A. Yes, sir. Q. It says, "Hydrocodone." A. Um-hmm. Q. It says, "Initial quota grant is 25 percent of aggregate." In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean? A. It would mean 25 percent of all the hydrocodone produced within the U.S. was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."  And it states, "Entered into evidence by law enforcement."  What did you mean by that?  A. What I meant by that was that, you know, that, you know our and the goal of our organization, obviously, is we want to reduce, you know, pain and suffering for those individuals who have legitimate chronic pain issues or acute pain issues and that there is a, a largely legitimate need for this product. But also we want to point out that this is not only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued."  Do you see that?  A. Um-hmm.  Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the manufacturing facility in Corona, California?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. It says, "Hydrocodone."  A. Um-hmm.  Q. It says, "Initial quota grant is 25 percent of aggregate."  In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean?  A. It would mean 25 percent of all the hydrocodone produced within the U.S. was manufactured.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."  And it states, "Entered into evidence by law enforcement."  What did you mean by that?  A. What I meant by that was that, you know, that, you know our and the goal of our organization, obviously, is we want to reduce, you know, pain and suffering for those individuals who have legitimate chronic pain issues or acute pain issues and that there is a, a largely legitimate need for this product. But also we want to point out that this is not only our product but all opioids are also found in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	well, too, or demand. Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued." Do you see that? A. Um-hmm. Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the manufacturing facility in Corona, California? A. Yes, sir. Q. It says, "Hydrocodone." A. Um-hmm. Q. It says, "Initial quota grant is 25 percent of aggregate." In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean? A. It would mean 25 percent of all the hydrocodone produced within the U.S. was manufactured. Q. Was manufactured at the Corona site?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."  And it states, "Entered into evidence by law enforcement."  What did you mean by that?  A. What I meant by that was that, you know, that, you know our and the goal of our organization, obviously, is we want to reduce, you know, pain and suffering for those individuals who have legitimate chronic pain issues or acute pain issues and that there is a, a largely legitimate need for this product. But also we want to point out that this is not only our product but all opioids are also found in illicit channels as well, too. And there was an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued."  Do you see that?  A. Um-hmm.  Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the manufacturing facility in Corona, California?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. It says, "Hydrocodone."  A. Um-hmm.  Q. It says, "Initial quota grant is 25 percent of aggregate."  In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean?  A. It would mean 25 percent of all the hydrocodone produced within the U.S. was manufactured.  Q. Was manufactured at the Corona site?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. LUXTON: 088?  MR. EGLER: This is on the previous page from that, 088.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. It states, "Our products are among the most commonly-prescribed for legitimate medical use in the U.S."  And it states, "Entered into evidence by law enforcement."  What did you mean by that?  A. What I meant by that was that, you know, that, you know our and the goal of our organization, obviously, is we want to reduce, you know, pain and suffering for those individuals who have legitimate chronic pain issues or acute pain issues and that there is a, a largely legitimate need for this product. But also we want to point out that this is not only our product but all opioids are also found in illicit channels as well, too. And there was an increase in law enforcement finding opioids in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	well, too, or demand.  Q. All right. And then 089, the next page down, it says, "Challenges continued."  Do you see that?  A. Um-hmm.  Q. And then it says, "Products include," and then under "Corona," does that refer to the manufacturing facility in Corona, California?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. It says, "Hydrocodone."  A. Um-hmm.  Q. It says, "Initial quota grant is 25 percent of aggregate."  In the context of your work at Watson, what does that mean?  A. It would mean 25 percent of all the hydrocodone produced within the U.S. was manufactured.  Q. Was manufactured at the Corona site?  A. Yes.  Q. And then oxycodone, what does that

	Page 121		Page 122
1	at that facility.	1	Q. Was the fentanyl produced at well,
2	Q. Do you remember whether Watson	2	let me start over.
3	marketed oxycodone around this time frame, early	3	A. Right.
4	2010?	4	Q. Were the fentanyl-based products
5	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.	5	produced at the Corona, California, site for
6	MR. LUXTON: Object to form.	6	Watson in 2010 patches or something else?
7	A. Our products were mainly generic. We	7	A. No, I think those that would have
8	didn't market those products.	8	been very small quantity, if my, if my memory
9	Q. Do you remember whether Watson sold	9	serves. And I think there may have been a
10	generic oxycodone around this time frame?	10	sublingual, but I I'm not 100 percent sure.
11	A. Yes.	11	Q. And a sublingual is something you put
12	Q. With regard to fentanyl, what is	12	under your tongue?
13	fentanyl?	13	A. Yes, sir.
14	A. Fentanyl is a is an opioid. It's	14	Q. And the next word there,
15	a powerful pain management medication. It's	15	methylphenidate?
16	largely used in, you know, serious chronic pain	16	A. Methylphenidate.
17	issues, cancer patients to relieve suffering.	17	Q. Is that an opioid?
18	It was delivered through a Duragesic or a patch	18	A. No. That's Ritalin.
19	so it can last for longer periods so it could	19	Q. And then the next one there, diazepam
20	deliver a sustained dose to a patient so there	20	and lorazepam?
21	would be no lull in the dosage as far as if you	21	A. Yes.
22	took a tablet where we don't want these patients	22	Q. Are those opioids?
23	to have any sufferings. We want them to	23	A. No. They're benzodiazepines.
24	maintain a steady stream of the product.	24	They're muscle relaxers.
	Page 123		Page 124
1	Q. And then the next one is F-line and	1	A. Yes.
2	Butalbital?	2	Q. And testosterone?
3	A. Fiorinal, Fioricet, Butalbital.	3	A. Right.
4	Q. Are those opioids?	4	Q. Neither of those are opioids; is that
5	A. No.	5	right?
6	Q. Then the last word under "Corona" is	6	A. Correct.
7	Pentazocine?	7	Q. But the first one there is an opioid?
8	A. Pentazocine.	8	
1			A. Yeah.
9	Q. All right. Is that an opioid?	9	Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a
	<ul><li>Q. All right. Is that an opioid?</li><li>A. No. It's a benzodiazapine.</li></ul>	9 10	
9	1		Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a
9 10	<ul><li>A. No. It's a benzodiazapine.</li><li>Q. So out of the seven entries under</li><li>Corona, three of them, the top three are</li></ul>	10	<ul><li>Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a manufacturing plant in Florida?</li><li>A. Yes. It was part of the Actavis acquisition.</li></ul>
9 10 11	A. No. It's a benzodiazapine. Q. So out of the seven entries under Corona, three of them, the top three are opioids; is that right?	10 11	<ul><li>Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a manufacturing plant in Florida?</li><li>A. Yes. It was part of the Actavis</li></ul>
9 10 11 12	A. No. It's a benzodiazapine. Q. So out of the seven entries under Corona, three of them, the top three are opioids; is that right? MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.	10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a manufacturing plant in Florida?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was part of the Actavis acquisition.</li> <li>Q. All right. It states hydrocodone and amphetamine and</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13	A. No. It's a benzodiazapine. Q. So out of the seven entries under Corona, three of them, the top three are opioids; is that right?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. A. Three of the listed are opioids.	10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a manufacturing plant in Florida?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was part of the Actavis acquisition.</li> <li>Q. All right. It states hydrocodone and amphetamine and</li> <li>A. Actually, let me back that up.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. It's a benzodiazapine. Q. So out of the seven entries under Corona, three of them, the top three are opioids; is that right?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. A. Three of the listed are opioids. Q. And the first three, right?	10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a manufacturing plant in Florida?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was part of the Actavis acquisition.</li> <li>Q. All right. It states hydrocodone and amphetamine and</li> <li>A. Actually, let me back that up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. It's a benzodiazapine. Q. So out of the seven entries under Corona, three of them, the top three are opioids; is that right?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. A. Three of the listed are opioids. Q. And the first three, right? A. Right.	10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a manufacturing plant in Florida?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was part of the Actavis acquisition.</li> <li>Q. All right. It states hydrocodone and amphetamine and</li> <li>A. Actually, let me back that up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It wasn't part Actavis acquisition.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. It's a benzodiazapine. Q. So out of the seven entries under Corona, three of them, the top three are opioids; is that right?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. A. Three of the listed are opioids. Q. And the first three, right? A. Right. Q. And then the next one is Salt Lake	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a manufacturing plant in Florida?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was part of the Actavis acquisition.</li> <li>Q. All right. It states hydrocodone and amphetamine and</li> <li>A. Actually, let me back that up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It wasn't part Actavis acquisition.</li> <li>It was part of the Andrx acquisition.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. It's a benzodiazapine. Q. So out of the seven entries under Corona, three of them, the top three are opioids; is that right?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. A. Three of the listed are opioids. Q. And the first three, right? A. Right. Q. And then the next one is Salt Lake City.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a manufacturing plant in Florida?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was part of the Actavis acquisition.</li> <li>Q. All right. It states hydrocodone and amphetamine and</li> <li>A. Actually, let me back that up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It wasn't part Actavis acquisition.</li> <li>It was part of the Andrx acquisition.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So let's get to that in a</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. It's a benzodiazapine. Q. So out of the seven entries under Corona, three of them, the top three are opioids; is that right?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. Three of the listed are opioids. Q. And the first three, right? A. Right. Q. And then the next one is Salt Lake City.  Fentanyl	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a manufacturing plant in Florida?</li> <li>A. Yes. It was part of the Actavis acquisition.</li> <li>Q. All right. It states hydrocodone and amphetamine and</li> <li>A. Actually, let me back that up.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It wasn't part Actavis acquisition.</li> <li>It was part of the Andrx acquisition.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So let's get to that in a second.</li> </ul>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. It's a benzodiazapine. Q. So out of the seven entries under Corona, three of them, the top three are opioids; is that right?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. A. Three of the listed are opioids. Q. And the first three, right? A. Right. Q. And then the next one is Salt Lake City.  Fentanyl A. Yes.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a manufacturing plant in Florida?  A. Yes. It was part of the Actavis acquisition.  Q. All right. It states hydrocodone and amphetamine and  A. Actually, let me back that up.  Q. Okay.  A. It wasn't part Actavis acquisition.  It was part of the Andrx acquisition.  Q. Okay. So let's get to that in a second.  Hydrocodone, amphetamine, and
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. It's a benzodiazapine. Q. So out of the seven entries under Corona, three of them, the top three are opioids; is that right?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. A. Three of the listed are opioids. Q. And the first three, right? A. Right. Q. And then the next one is Salt Lake City.  Fentanyl A. Yes. Q do you see that there?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a manufacturing plant in Florida?  A. Yes. It was part of the Actavis acquisition.  Q. All right. It states hydrocodone and amphetamine and  A. Actually, let me back that up.  Q. Okay.  A. It wasn't part Actavis acquisition.  It was part of the Andrx acquisition.  Q. Okay. So let's get to that in a second.  Hydrocodone, amphetamine, and pseudoephedrine; is that right?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. It's a benzodiazapine. Q. So out of the seven entries under Corona, three of them, the top three are opioids; is that right?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. A. Three of the listed are opioids. Q. And the first three, right? A. Right. Q. And then the next one is Salt Lake City.  Fentanyl A. Yes. Q do you see that there? And then there are two other listed	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a manufacturing plant in Florida?  A. Yes. It was part of the Actavis acquisition.  Q. All right. It states hydrocodone and amphetamine and  A. Actually, let me back that up.  Q. Okay.  A. It wasn't part Actavis acquisition.  It was part of the Andrx acquisition.  Q. Okay. So let's get to that in a second.  Hydrocodone, amphetamine, and pseudoephedrine; is that right?  A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. It's a benzodiazapine. Q. So out of the seven entries under Corona, three of them, the top three are opioids; is that right?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. A. Three of the listed are opioids. Q. And the first three, right? A. Right. Q. And then the next one is Salt Lake City.  Fentanyl A. Yes. Q do you see that there?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And then Florida, did Watson have a manufacturing plant in Florida?  A. Yes. It was part of the Actavis acquisition.  Q. All right. It states hydrocodone and amphetamine and  A. Actually, let me back that up.  Q. Okay.  A. It wasn't part Actavis acquisition.  It was part of the Andrx acquisition.  Q. Okay. So let's get to that in a second.  Hydrocodone, amphetamine, and pseudoephedrine; is that right?

	Page 125		Page 126
1	word?	1	the, the manufacturer of controlled substances
2	A. It can be carisoprodol or	2	for Watson was moved out of Florida?
3	carisoprodol, depending on how you want to	3	A. Can you repeat that question?
4	pronounce it.	4	Q. Do you remember whether, while you
5	Q. All right. So are any of those in	5	were at Watson or Actavis, the company stopped
6	that list opioids?	6	making controlled substances at a Florida plant?
7	A. Hydrocodone.	7	A. No.
8	Q. So the first one there.	8	Q. So staying on this page
9	With regard to the opioids that we've	9	A. Sure.
10	read on these lists, do you know why they were	10	Q the Corona entry states
11	listed as challenges?	11	hydrocodone, and then it states initial quota
12	A. I think probably because the amount	12	grant is 25 percent of aggregate.
13	of regulation, the quota implications for some	13	A. Right.
14	of the products as well.	14	Q. And then it states, hydrocodone under
15	Q. So with regard to the Florida	15	Florida as well.
16	manufacturing facility that you were talking	16	Do you see that there?
17	about, the purchase of Actavis didn't take place	17	A. Yes.
18	until sometime in 2012; is that right?	18	Q. So the 25 percent of aggregate quota
19	A. Right. Yeah, this would have been	19	that you had explained before, was the Florida
20	Andrx, which I think was in 2009.	20	plant in addition to the 25 percent or included
21	Q. What was Andrx?	21	in that?
22	A. Andrx was a generic pharmaceutical	22	A. It would have been exclusive of it.
23	manufacturer.	23	But from my recollection, the hydrocodone
24	Q. Do you remember at some point whether	24	production in Florida was minimal.
	Page 127		Page 128
1	Q. Moving on to the next page it states,	1	to DEA and also to articulate quantities to
2	"Product development."	2	for the, the build of test batches, exhibit
3	Do you see that there?	3	batches that would be submitted to FDA.
4	A. Yes, I do.	4	So it was really the challenges
5	Q. And this is page 090 in Exhibit 6.	5	that I'm speaking about here are, are challenges
6	And there are eight chemicals or drugs listed	6	administratively from a quota standpoint where,
7	there.	7	you know, that these these are not and the
8	Can you go through that list and tell	8	quota process, especially at that time, took a
9	me which of them are opioids?	9	long period of time. So, you know, in any type
10	A. Sure.	10	of new product development in any pharmaceutical
11	Fentanyl citrate, hydromorphone,	11	organization, you want to be first to file for a
12	morphine sulfate, oxymorphone.	12	generic patent challenge. So any type of delays
13	Q. Okay. So four out of the eight	13	in the quota process, these things could affect
14	product development?	14	your timeline in getting your, your product
15	A. Yes.	15	approved and being able to have affordable
16	Q. Do you remember why these eight drugs	16	products in the generic market for, for
			nationta
17	or chemicals were listed as challenges?	17	patients.
17 18	A. Sure.	18	Q. So as you've just described the
17 18 19	A. Sure. Q. Why?	18 19	Q. So as you've just described the challenges, are those more business challenges
17 18 19 20	<ul><li>A. Sure.</li><li>Q. Why?</li><li>A. With product development efforts, you</li></ul>	18 19 20	Q. So as you've just described the challenges, are those more business challenges than, say, security challenges?
17 18 19 20 21	<ul><li>A. Sure.</li><li>Q. Why?</li><li>A. With product development efforts, you would have to still apply for quota with the</li></ul>	18 19 20 21	Q. So as you've just described the challenges, are those more business challenges than, say, security challenges?  A. Yes.
17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul><li>A. Sure.</li><li>Q. Why?</li><li>A. With product development efforts, you would have to still apply for quota with the</li><li>DEA. It was a very challenging process in that</li></ul>	18 19 20 21 22	Q. So as you've just described the challenges, are those more business challenges than, say, security challenges?  A. Yes.  Q. So do you remember there being any
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Sure. Q. Why? A. With product development efforts, you would have to still apply for quota with the DEA. It was a very challenging process in that you'd have to work closely with your R&D folks	18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So as you've just described the challenges, are those more business challenges than, say, security challenges?  A. Yes.  Q. So do you remember there being any security challenges for the fentanyl citrate EQ
17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul><li>A. Sure.</li><li>Q. Why?</li><li>A. With product development efforts, you would have to still apply for quota with the</li><li>DEA. It was a very challenging process in that</li></ul>	18 19 20 21 22	Q. So as you've just described the challenges, are those more business challenges than, say, security challenges?  A. Yes.  Q. So do you remember there being any

Page 129 oxymorphone?		Page 130
oxymorphone/	1	A. I can't be sure.
A. No.	2	Q. Do you remember whether Watson ever
		undertook to make generic Kadian?
-		A. I believe with the Actavis
		acquisition, I believe that was a legacy Actavis
		product, Kadian.
		Q. But do you remember whether Watson
*		ever made generic Kadian?
		A. I don't have a specific recollection.
		Q. All right. So moving on in this
		document, get to page 091, and it states
		"Suspicious Order Monitoring, SOM," it says,
* *		"The registered shall design and operate a
_		system to disclose suspicious orders of
		controlled substances." And there are two
		bullet points that states "unusual size,
		pattern, frequency," and then, "suspicious order
		should not be identified on
-		benchmarks/thresholds only."
		A. Um-hmm.
		Q. So that first group of three terms
		there, "unusual size, pattern or frequency,"
		what did you mean by that?
else?	24	A. It was taken directly from 1301.74 in
Page 131		Page 132
the Code of Federal Regulations, and is just the	1	"including" word would increase the number of
	2	considerations beyond unusual size, pattern or
	3	frequency?
	4	A. I can't make that assumption.
interest.	5	Q. Was your understanding that the
Q. Did anyone ever well, let me start	6	let me start over.
over.	7	Was your understanding around this
Around this time, February of 2010,	8	time that the Suspicious Order Monitoring System
-	9	as required by the DEA was to track unusual
-	10	size, pattern or frequency and not include any
*	11	other aspects?
	12	A. I think those were key elements to
	13	look for as mandated within the regulations.
-	14	Q. Do you remember ever including
MR. KNAPP: Form.	15	aspects beyond those three?
MR. LUXTON: Same.	16	A. There are certainly other
A. No.	17	considerations, such as products that may be
	18	bought in combination that may be concerning.
	19	Q. Anything else?
-	20	A. Not to my knowledge.
	21	Q. All right. And then I just I
A. Um-hmm. I'm aware of the of the	22	hadn't said it before, but the top of this page
·		
DEA regulation.	23	is "Compliance Landscape."
_	the Code of Federal Regulations, and is just the DEA's verbiage for, you know, what, you know, what could comprise, potentially comprise an order that should be flagged as of potential interest.  Q. Did anyone ever well, let me start over.  Around this time, February of 2010, do you remember ever having a conversation that the CFR in question listed unusual size, pattern or frequency, but also could include other aspects or other things that a company should look for in a Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. No.  Q. For example, did anyone ever tell you that the CFR in question, before it states unusual size, pattern of frequency has the word "including" in it?	BY MR. EGLER: Q. All right. So as you think about it, the fentanyl citrate EQ oral, do you know what the brand name equivalent of that would be? A. I don't off the top of my head. Q. How about hydromorphone? A. No. Q. How about morphine sulfate? A. Hydromorphone I believe is Dilaudid. These, these were all products that were not high-volume products. If you look at hydromorphone, morphine sulfate, they're—oxymorphone, but I would say are institutional products that we used in hospital settings or long-term care. Q. Have you ever heard of the drug Kadian? A. I have. Q. Is the morphine sulfate that's listed there the same thing as Kadian or something else?  Page 131  the Code of Federal Regulations, and is just the DEA's verbiage for, you know, what, you know, what could comprise, potentially comprise an order that should be flagged as of potential interest. Q. Did anyone ever—well, let me start over. Around this time, February of 2010, do you remember ever having a conversation that the CFR in question listed unusual size, pattern or frequency, but also could include other aspects or other things that a company should look for in a Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. KNAPP: Form. MR. LUXTON: Same. A. No. Q. For example, did anyone ever tell you that the CFR in question, before it states unusual size, pattern of frequency has the word "including" in it?

	Page 133		Page 134
_			
1	"SOM as well as 'Know Your Customer' efforts are	1	compliance aspect, we would have a set of
2	key to DEA's effort to curb diversion of C/S in	2	questions that would be answered by the
3	listed chemicals."	3	customer.
4	Do you see that there?	4	Q. Who in your group, as you think of it
5	A. Yes, sir.	5	around this time, early 2010, would have been
6	Q. Do you remember well, that term,	6	responsible for the Know Your Customer part?
7	"Know Your Customer," what does that mean to	7	A. Me.
8	you?	8	Q. Okay. Anybody else?
9	A. It implies due diligence to me and	9	A. I would overall be responsible.
10	understanding who your business partner is.	10	Probably compliance auditor would be responsible
11	Q. And with regard to the due diligence	11	for procedurally.
12	processes that you're thinking of, who, if	12	Q. Beyond what you referred to as the
13	anyone, or what group at Watson around this	13	onboarding process when Watson took on a new
14	time, early 2010, was responsible for the Know	14	customer, were there ongoing Know Your Customer
15	Your Customer function?	15	efforts?
16	A. Primarily from a DEA perspective, it	16	A. We maintained strong relationships
17	would be my group but also order management or	17	with our, with our customers. We also I know
18	the customer service side also had a role as	18	in the process when we acquired Actavis, we did
19	well, too. If onboarding a new customer, they	19	a revisit with our customers. We asked for, for
20	would have a process that they would go to, a	20	new compliance information.
21	licensed validation process. You know, Dun &	21	And when I was leaving the
22	Bradstreet, they would do a due diligence on a	22	organization, we were again doing another
23	customer prior to onboarding them on a customer.	23	refresh as well to update our records.
24	And from a security aspect or from a DEA	24	Q. So with regard to this term, Know
	Page 135		Page 136
			3
1	Your Customer, have you ever heard an additional	1	A. No.
1 2	Your Customer, have you ever heard an additional term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?	1 2	A. No.
			A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson
2	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?	2	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the
2	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you?	2 3	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was
2 3 4	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have.  Q. What does that mean to you?  A. That implies that you should not only	2 3 4	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an
2 3 4 5	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your	2 3 4 5	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market
2 3 4 5 6	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with.	2 3 4 5 6	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?
2 3 4 5 6 7	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have.  Q. What does that mean to you?  A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with.  Q. So with regard to this time frame,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have.  Q. What does that mean to you?  A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with.  Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more pages in to 093?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson? A. No. Q. With regard to the SOM system, have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more pages in to 093? A. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson? A. No. Q. With regard to the SOM system, have you ever heard the term "indirect customer SOM"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more pages in to 093? A. Sure. Q. And it states, "2010 Goals and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson? A. No. Q. With regard to the SOM system, have you ever heard the term "indirect customer SOM"? A. I've heard "indirect customer," but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more pages in to 093? A. Sure. Q. And it states, "2010 Goals and Objectives." 093.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson? A. No. Q. With regard to the SOM system, have you ever heard the term "indirect customer SOM"? A. I've heard "indirect customer," but not "indirect customer SOM."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more pages in to 093? A. Sure. Q. And it states, "2010 Goals and Objectives." 093.  And under 2010 Goals and Objectives,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson? A. No. Q. With regard to the SOM system, have you ever heard the term "indirect customer SOM"? A. I've heard "indirect customer," but not "indirect customer SOM." Q. So what does that mean to you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more pages in to 093? A. Sure. Q. And it states, "2010 Goals and Objectives." 093.  And under 2010 Goals and Objectives, it states, SOM.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson? A. No. Q. With regard to the SOM system, have you ever heard the term "indirect customer SOM"? A. I've heard "indirect customer," but not "indirect customer SOM." Q. So what does that mean to you, indirect customer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more pages in to 093? A. Sure. Q. And it states, "2010 Goals and Objectives." 093.  And under 2010 Goals and Objectives, it states, SOM.  Do you remember what the 2010 goals
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson? A. No. Q. With regard to the SOM system, have you ever heard the term "indirect customer SOM"? A. I've heard "indirect customer," but not "indirect customer SOM." Q. So what does that mean to you, indirect customer? A. Indirect customer, again, would be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more pages in to 093? A. Sure. Q. And it states, "2010 Goals and Objectives." 093.  And under 2010 Goals and Objectives, it states, SOM.  Do you remember what the 2010 goals and objectives for Suspicious Order Monitoring
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson? A. No. Q. With regard to the SOM system, have you ever heard the term "indirect customer SOM"? A. I've heard "indirect customer," but not "indirect customer SOM." Q. So what does that mean to you, indirect customer? A. Indirect customer, again, would be a customer of our customer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more pages in to 093? A. Sure. Q. And it states, "2010 Goals and Objectives." 093.  And under 2010 Goals and Objectives, it states, SOM.  Do you remember what the 2010 goals and objectives for Suspicious Order Monitoring System were at Watson?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson? A. No. Q. With regard to the SOM system, have you ever heard the term "indirect customer SOM"? A. I've heard "indirect customer," but not "indirect customer SOM." Q. So what does that mean to you, indirect customer? A. Indirect customer, again, would be a customer of our customer. Q. At any time during your time at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more pages in to 093? A. Sure. Q. And it states, "2010 Goals and Objectives." 093.  And under 2010 Goals and Objectives, it states, SOM.  Do you remember what the 2010 goals and objectives for Suspicious Order Monitoring System were at Watson? A. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson? A. No. Q. With regard to the SOM system, have you ever heard the term "indirect customer SOM"? A. I've heard "indirect customer," but not "indirect customer SOM." Q. So what does that mean to you, indirect customer? A. Indirect customer, again, would be a customer of our customer. Q. At any time during your time at Watson and Actavis, was the automatic part, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more pages in to 093? A. Sure. Q. And it states, "2010 Goals and Objectives." 093.  And under 2010 Goals and Objectives, it states, SOM.  Do you remember what the 2010 goals and objectives for Suspicious Order Monitoring System were at Watson? A. Sure.  It was likely again, it was almost
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson? A. No. Q. With regard to the SOM system, have you ever heard the term "indirect customer SOM"? A. I've heard "indirect customer," but not "indirect customer SOM." Q. So what does that mean to you, indirect customer? A. Indirect customer, again, would be a customer of our customer. Q. At any time during your time at Watson and Actavis, was the automatic part, the SOM system, the part that was contained in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more pages in to 093? A. Sure. Q. And it states, "2010 Goals and Objectives." 093.  And under 2010 Goals and Objectives, it states, SOM.  Do you remember what the 2010 goals and objectives for Suspicious Order Monitoring System were at Watson?  A. Sure.  It was likely again, it was almost nine years ago. It was an effort to enhance our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson? A. No. Q. With regard to the SOM system, have you ever heard the term "indirect customer SOM"? A. I've heard "indirect customer," but not "indirect customer SOM." Q. So what does that mean to you, indirect customer? A. Indirect customer, again, would be a customer of our customer. Q. At any time during your time at Watson and Actavis, was the automatic part, the SOM system, the part that was contained in the SAP system designed to track indirect customer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more pages in to 093? A. Sure. Q. And it states, "2010 Goals and Objectives." 093.  And under 2010 Goals and Objectives, it states, SOM.  Do you remember what the 2010 goals and objectives for Suspicious Order Monitoring System were at Watson?  A. Sure.  It was likely again, it was almost nine years ago. It was an effort to enhance our already compliant system. So to that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	term "Know Your Customer's Customer"?  A. I have. Q. What does that mean to you? A. That implies that you should not only understand who your customers are, but who your customer is doing business with. Q. So with regard to this time frame, early 2010, do you remember any "Know Your Customer's Customers" efforts at Watson? A. No. Q. With regard to the SOM system, have you ever heard the term "indirect customer SOM"? A. I've heard "indirect customer," but not "indirect customer SOM." Q. So what does that mean to you, indirect customer? A. Indirect customer, again, would be a customer of our customer. Q. At any time during your time at Watson and Actavis, was the automatic part, the SOM system, the part that was contained in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. At any time when you were at Watson or Actavis, was the automatic part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that was contained in the SAP system designed to get an understanding of the broader secondary market for the controlled substances it was tracking?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. No. Q. All right. So can you turn two more pages in to 093? A. Sure. Q. And it states, "2010 Goals and Objectives." 093.  And under 2010 Goals and Objectives, it states, SOM.  Do you remember what the 2010 goals and objectives for Suspicious Order Monitoring System were at Watson?  A. Sure.  It was likely again, it was almost nine years ago. It was an effort to enhance our

	Page 137		Page 138
1	because of what we were seeing on the landscape	1	Q. Do you have any particular memory
2	and what DEA was indicating as important as well	2	about that?
3	as our consultants as Know Your Customer, that's	3	A. No, but we've, we've done many
4	probably when we really started to, to build up	4	placebo manufacturing runs for DEA or law
5	our Know Your Customer aspect of our system.	5	enforcement.
6	Q. All right. And then the last one	6	Q. Do you remember ever having an
7	there that's listed, "Placebo Program"	7	understanding of, of the demand in the illicit
8	A. Yes.	8	market for Watson's drug Norco?
9	O tell me what that means.	9	A. No, I don't think it it was not a
10	A. Placebo program is because of our	10	high-volume product being a branded product. As
11	long relationship with law enforcement and the	11	far as a demand for it illicitly, I there may
12	DEA, we had a formal program where if DEA	12	have been because there was an illicit desire
13	requested, we would be very happy to manufacture	13	for all opioids.
14	placebo of our products that they could use in	14	Q. Okay. All right. You can set this
15	operations to combat illicit traffic of	15	document aside.
16	controlled substances.	16	(Witness complies.)
17	Q. With regard to that issue, do you	17	BY MR. EGLER:
18	remember ever hearing of an opioid called Norco,	18	Q. I'll hand you what I'll mark as
19	N-o-r-c-o?	19	Exhibit 7.
20	A. Yes.	20	
21	Q. Do you remember participating in a	21	(Napoli Exhibit 7, Email chain
22	DEA program to provide placebos of the drug	22	beginning with email dated 4/12/10 from S.
23	Norco?	23	Soltis to Napoli, Bates-stamped
24	A. It's possible.	24	ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098, marked for
	Page 139		Page 140
	J		1430 110
1	identification, as of this date.)	1	before.
1 2	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER:	1 2	before. A. Um-hmm.
2	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what	2	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis?
2 3 4	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7.	2 3 4	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of
2 3 4 5	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as	2 3 4 5	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs.
2 3 4 5	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the	2 3 4 5 6	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart
2 3 4 5 6 7	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097	2 3 4 5 6 7	before.  A. Um-hmm.  Q. Who is Scott Soltis?  A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs.  Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	before.  A. Um-hmm.  Q. Who is Scott Soltis?  A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs.  Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you.  A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? A. It looks like it's a document in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization. Q. And then you cc Gary Stewart?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? A. It looks like it's a document in reference to a DEA request for a placebo product	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization. Q. And then you cc Gary Stewart? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? A. It looks like it's a document in reference to a DEA request for a placebo product and setting them up within our distribution	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization. Q. And then you cc Gary Stewart? A. Yes. Q. Who is Gary Stewart?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? A. It looks like it's a document in reference to a DEA request for a placebo product and setting them up within our distribution system as a customer to receive a no charge.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization. Q. And then you cc Gary Stewart? A. Yes. Q. Who is Gary Stewart? A. Gary Stewart was our supply chain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? A. It looks like it's a document in reference to a DEA request for a placebo product and setting them up within our distribution system as a customer to receive a no charge. Q. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization. Q. And then you cc Gary Stewart? A. Yes. Q. Who is Gary Stewart? A. Gary Stewart was our supply chain security manager based out of Gurnee, Illinois,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? A. It looks like it's a document in reference to a DEA request for a placebo product and setting them up within our distribution system as a customer to receive a no charge. Q. Right. As you think about that, an entity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization. Q. And then you cc Gary Stewart? A. Yes. Q. Who is Gary Stewart? A. Gary Stewart was our supply chain security manager based out of Gurnee, Illinois, distribution center.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? A. It looks like it's a document in reference to a DEA request for a placebo product and setting them up within our distribution system as a customer to receive a no charge. Q. Right. As you think about that, an entity that is being set up as a customer, is that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	before.  A. Um-hmm.  Q. Who is Scott Soltis?  A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs.  Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you.  A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization.  Q. And then you cc Gary Stewart?  A. Yes.  Q. Who is Gary Stewart?  A. Gary Stewart was our supply chain security manager based out of Gurnee, Illinois, distribution center.  Q. And then Ed J. Grover?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? A. It looks like it's a document in reference to a DEA request for a placebo product and setting them up within our distribution system as a customer to receive a no charge. Q. Right. As you think about that, an entity that is being set up as a customer, is that the DEA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization. Q. And then you cc Gary Stewart? A. Yes. Q. Who is Gary Stewart? A. Gary Stewart was our supply chain security manager based out of Gurnee, Illinois, distribution center. Q. And then Ed J. Grover? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? A. It looks like it's a document in reference to a DEA request for a placebo product and setting them up within our distribution system as a customer to receive a no charge. Q. Right. As you think about that, an entity that is being set up as a customer, is that the DEA? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization. Q. And then you cc Gary Stewart? A. Yes. Q. Who is Gary Stewart? A. Gary Stewart was our supply chain security manager based out of Gurnee, Illinois, distribution center. Q. And then Ed J. Grover? A. Yes. Q. Who is Mr. Grover?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? A. It looks like it's a document in reference to a DEA request for a placebo product and setting them up within our distribution system as a customer to receive a no charge. Q. Right. As you think about that, an entity that is being set up as a customer, is that the DEA? A. Yes. Q. So at the earliest email in time on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization. Q. And then you cc Gary Stewart? A. Yes. Q. Who is Gary Stewart? A. Gary Stewart was our supply chain security manager based out of Gurnee, Illinois, distribution center. Q. And then Ed J. Grover? A. Yes. Q. Who is Mr. Grover? A. Ed Grover was our head of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? A. It looks like it's a document in reference to a DEA request for a placebo product and setting them up within our distribution system as a customer to receive a no charge. Q. Right. As you think about that, an entity that is being set up as a customer, is that the DEA? A. Yes. Q. So at the earliest email in time on this exhibit, and it's at the bottom of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization. Q. And then you cc Gary Stewart? A. Yes. Q. Who is Gary Stewart? A. Gary Stewart was our supply chain security manager based out of Gurnee, Illinois, distribution center. Q. And then Ed J. Grover? A. Yes. Q. Who is Mr. Grover? A. Ed Grover was our head of distribution in Gurnee, Illinois.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? A. It looks like it's a document in reference to a DEA request for a placebo product and setting them up within our distribution system as a customer to receive a no charge. Q. Right. As you think about that, an entity that is being set up as a customer, is that the DEA? A. Yes. Q. So at the earliest email in time on this exhibit, and it's at the bottom of the first page, you write to Scott Soltis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization. Q. And then you cc Gary Stewart? A. Yes. Q. Who is Gary Stewart? A. Gary Stewart was our supply chain security manager based out of Gurnee, Illinois, distribution center. Q. And then Ed J. Grover? A. Yes. Q. Who is Mr. Grover? A. Ed Grover was our head of distribution in Gurnee, Illinois. Q. And you write, "Scott, in regards to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7.  Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098.  And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be?  A. It looks like it's a document in reference to a DEA request for a placebo product and setting them up within our distribution system as a customer to receive a no charge.  Q. Right.  As you think about that, an entity that is being set up as a customer, is that the DEA?  A. Yes.  Q. So at the earliest email in time on this exhibit, and it's at the bottom of the first page, you write to Scott Soltis.  A. Um-hmm.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization. Q. And then you cc Gary Stewart? A. Yes. Q. Who is Gary Stewart? A. Gary Stewart was our supply chain security manager based out of Gurnee, Illinois, distribution center. Q. And then Ed J. Grover? A. Yes. Q. Who is Mr. Grover? A. Ed Grover was our head of distribution in Gurnee, Illinois. Q. And you write, "Scott, in regards to the recent DEA request for product, I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, I've just handed you what we will mark as Exhibit 7. Can you look through it? And as you're looking through it, I'll read into the record the Bates numbers. ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097 and 6098. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? A. It looks like it's a document in reference to a DEA request for a placebo product and setting them up within our distribution system as a customer to receive a no charge. Q. Right. As you think about that, an entity that is being set up as a customer, is that the DEA? A. Yes. Q. So at the earliest email in time on this exhibit, and it's at the bottom of the first page, you write to Scott Soltis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	before.  A. Um-hmm. Q. Who is Scott Soltis? A. Scott was our executive director of securities and DEA affairs. Q. So was he on an organizational chart above you or below you. A. Above me. He was responsible for security and DEA compliance for the organization. Q. And then you cc Gary Stewart? A. Yes. Q. Who is Gary Stewart? A. Gary Stewart was our supply chain security manager based out of Gurnee, Illinois, distribution center. Q. And then Ed J. Grover? A. Yes. Q. Who is Mr. Grover? A. Ed Grover was our head of distribution in Gurnee, Illinois. Q. And you write, "Scott, in regards to

	Page 141		Page 142
1	Agent Warpness should provide the request for	1	Mark."
2	product on official letterhead," and then in	2	From the context of this email, can
3	parentheses, "include reg number," close	3	you tell who the Mark is that he's referring to?
4	parentheses, "and fax to Watson DEA affairs.	4	A. That's Mark Warpness, a DEA
5	But if time is of the essence, I would suggest	5	investigator.
6	fax going to Gary at the DC since most of us	6	O. And
7	will be at the DEA conference this week."	7	A. Or special agent. I'm sorry.
8	Do you remember going to a DEA	8	Q. And Mr. Soltis writes, "He wants ten
9	conference in April of 2010?	9	bottles, which I told him no problem and that we
10	A. Yeah. I've been to many of them.	10	will not charge him."
11	Q. And you say, "Faxing to Gary at the	11	And then Mr. Soltis writes, "He did
12	DC."	12	indicate that Norco is the most abused
13	What does that mean?	13	painkiller of preference on the street and it is
14	A. If I'm going to be out of the office,	14	just known on the street as 'Watson.'"
15	it probably would make sense, if this DEA agent	15	Do you remember ever hearing that
16	wants the placebo as soon as practical, send it	16	before this time, April 2010, that people from
17	directly to our security manager in the	17	the DEA indicated that Norco is the most abused
18	distribution center so the process can be, the	18	painkiller of preference on the street?
19	request can be processed without delay.	19	A. We certainly heard from the DEA that
20	Q. All right. And then Scott Soltis	20	hydrocodone was one of the most abused products.
21	writes back on the same day, April 12, 2010, and	21	Q. So and that's what Norco is,
22	that is the email above.	22	hydrocodone?
23	A. Um-hmm.	23	-
24	Q. He says, "FYI, I just talked to	24	A. Norco is a branded product, so and, again, I don't know if he was saying Norco
		24	and, again, I don't know it he was saying Noico
	Page 143		Page 144
1	or hydrocodone there, so I can't be inside his	1	out from a placebo standpoint. Or if there are
2	mind but	2	any type of information requests, we would be
3	Q. Okay. Well, do you remember ever	3	happy to fulfill those for DEA.
4	hearing that on the, quote/unquote, street, that	4	Q. Do you remember ever let me start
5	one of the opioids that Watson manufactured was	5	over.
6	known simply as "Watson"?	6	
	A T Cd (FFI	1	A. Sure.
7	A. I was aware of that. There were	7	A. Sure.     Q. Beyond this event that is listed here
7 8	A. I was aware of that. There were many opioids and controlled drugs had many		
		7	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here
8	many opioids and controlled drugs had many	7 8	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo
8 9	many opioids and controlled drugs had many illicit street, street names. It's very common.	7 8 9	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo Norco, do you remember particularly any other
8 9 10	many opioids and controlled drugs had many illicit street, street names. It's very common.  Q. Have you ever seen a pill of the drug	7 8 9 10	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo Norco, do you remember particularly any other requests or provision of that type of placebo to the DEA?  A. I don't recall specific requests, but
8 9 10 11	many opioids and controlled drugs had many illicit street, street names. It's very common.  Q. Have you ever seen a pill of the drug Norco, the brand name drug Norco?  A. I have.  Q. Do you know whether it has the word	7 8 9 10 11	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo Norco, do you remember particularly any other requests or provision of that type of placebo to the DEA?  A. I don't recall specific requests, but I am positive that there were multiple requests
8 9 10 11 12	many opioids and controlled drugs had many illicit street, street names. It's very common.  Q. Have you ever seen a pill of the drug Norco, the brand name drug Norco?  A. I have.  Q. Do you know whether it has the word "Watson" written on it?	7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo Norco, do you remember particularly any other requests or provision of that type of placebo to the DEA?  A. I don't recall specific requests, but I am positive that there were multiple requests for drugs throughout my tenure for placebo.
8 9 10 11 12 13	many opioids and controlled drugs had many illicit street, street names. It's very common.  Q. Have you ever seen a pill of the drug Norco, the brand name drug Norco?  A. I have.  Q. Do you know whether it has the word "Watson" written on it?  A. It may. It's been a while since I've	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo Norco, do you remember particularly any other requests or provision of that type of placebo to the DEA?  A. I don't recall specific requests, but I am positive that there were multiple requests for drugs throughout my tenure for placebo.  Q. And after you heard or read this
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	many opioids and controlled drugs had many illicit street, street names. It's very common.  Q. Have you ever seen a pill of the drug Norco, the brand name drug Norco?  A. I have.  Q. Do you know whether it has the word "Watson" written on it?  A. It may. It's been a while since I've seen a tablet.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo Norco, do you remember particularly any other requests or provision of that type of placebo to the DEA?  A. I don't recall specific requests, but I am positive that there were multiple requests for drugs throughout my tenure for placebo.  Q. And after you heard or read this email and found that one of the DEA agents
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	many opioids and controlled drugs had many illicit street, street names. It's very common.  Q. Have you ever seen a pill of the drug Norco, the brand name drug Norco?  A. I have.  Q. Do you know whether it has the word "Watson" written on it?  A. It may. It's been a while since I've seen a tablet.  Q. Do you remember ever participating	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo Norco, do you remember particularly any other requests or provision of that type of placebo to the DEA?  A. I don't recall specific requests, but I am positive that there were multiple requests for drugs throughout my tenure for placebo.  Q. And after you heard or read this email and found that one of the DEA agents indicated that Norco was the most abused
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	many opioids and controlled drugs had many illicit street, street names. It's very common.  Q. Have you ever seen a pill of the drug Norco, the brand name drug Norco?  A. I have.  Q. Do you know whether it has the word "Watson" written on it?  A. It may. It's been a while since I've seen a tablet.  Q. Do you remember ever participating with the DEA beyond what's listed here in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo Norco, do you remember particularly any other requests or provision of that type of placebo to the DEA?  A. I don't recall specific requests, but I am positive that there were multiple requests for drugs throughout my tenure for placebo. Q. And after you heard or read this email and found that one of the DEA agents indicated that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street, did you
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	many opioids and controlled drugs had many illicit street, street names. It's very common.  Q. Have you ever seen a pill of the drug Norco, the brand name drug Norco?  A. I have.  Q. Do you know whether it has the word "Watson" written on it?  A. It may. It's been a while since I've seen a tablet.  Q. Do you remember ever participating with the DEA beyond what's listed here in Exhibit 7 to address the, the, quote/unquote,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo Norco, do you remember particularly any other requests or provision of that type of placebo to the DEA?  A. I don't recall specific requests, but I am positive that there were multiple requests for drugs throughout my tenure for placebo. Q. And after you heard or read this email and found that one of the DEA agents indicated that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street, did you take any action internally to address that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	many opioids and controlled drugs had many illicit street, street names. It's very common.  Q. Have you ever seen a pill of the drug Norco, the brand name drug Norco?  A. I have.  Q. Do you know whether it has the word "Watson" written on it?  A. It may. It's been a while since I've seen a tablet.  Q. Do you remember ever participating with the DEA beyond what's listed here in Exhibit 7 to address the, the, quote/unquote, street abuse of Norco?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo Norco, do you remember particularly any other requests or provision of that type of placebo to the DEA?  A. I don't recall specific requests, but I am positive that there were multiple requests for drugs throughout my tenure for placebo.  Q. And after you heard or read this email and found that one of the DEA agents indicated that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street, did you take any action internally to address that issue?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	many opioids and controlled drugs had many illicit street, street names. It's very common.  Q. Have you ever seen a pill of the drug Norco, the brand name drug Norco?  A. I have.  Q. Do you know whether it has the word "Watson" written on it?  A. It may. It's been a while since I've seen a tablet.  Q. Do you remember ever participating with the DEA beyond what's listed here in Exhibit 7 to address the, the, quote/unquote, street abuse of Norco?  A. As far as efforts that we made to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo Norco, do you remember particularly any other requests or provision of that type of placebo to the DEA?  A. I don't recall specific requests, but I am positive that there were multiple requests for drugs throughout my tenure for placebo. Q. And after you heard or read this email and found that one of the DEA agents indicated that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street, did you take any action internally to address that issue?  A. We were already aware that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	many opioids and controlled drugs had many illicit street, street names. It's very common.  Q. Have you ever seen a pill of the drug Norco, the brand name drug Norco?  A. I have.  Q. Do you know whether it has the word "Watson" written on it?  A. It may. It's been a while since I've seen a tablet.  Q. Do you remember ever participating with the DEA beyond what's listed here in Exhibit 7 to address the, the, quote/unquote, street abuse of Norco?  A. As far as efforts that we made to help combat?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo Norco, do you remember particularly any other requests or provision of that type of placebo to the DEA?  A. I don't recall specific requests, but I am positive that there were multiple requests for drugs throughout my tenure for placebo. Q. And after you heard or read this email and found that one of the DEA agents indicated that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street, did you take any action internally to address that issue?  A. We were already aware that hydrocodone and opioids were products of abuse
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	many opioids and controlled drugs had many illicit street, street names. It's very common.  Q. Have you ever seen a pill of the drug Norco, the brand name drug Norco?  A. I have.  Q. Do you know whether it has the word "Watson" written on it?  A. It may. It's been a while since I've seen a tablet.  Q. Do you remember ever participating with the DEA beyond what's listed here in Exhibit 7 to address the, the, quote/unquote, street abuse of Norco?  A. As far as efforts that we made to help combat?  Q. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo Norco, do you remember particularly any other requests or provision of that type of placebo to the DEA?  A. I don't recall specific requests, but I am positive that there were multiple requests for drugs throughout my tenure for placebo. Q. And after you heard or read this email and found that one of the DEA agents indicated that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street, did you take any action internally to address that issue?  A. We were already aware that hydrocodone and opioids were products of abuse in illicit markets, as you can see through some
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	many opioids and controlled drugs had many illicit street, street names. It's very common.  Q. Have you ever seen a pill of the drug Norco, the brand name drug Norco?  A. I have.  Q. Do you know whether it has the word "Watson" written on it?  A. It may. It's been a while since I've seen a tablet.  Q. Do you remember ever participating with the DEA beyond what's listed here in Exhibit 7 to address the, the, quote/unquote, street abuse of Norco?  A. As far as efforts that we made to help combat?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Beyond this event that is listed here with the request for 10 bottles of placebo Norco, do you remember particularly any other requests or provision of that type of placebo to the DEA?  A. I don't recall specific requests, but I am positive that there were multiple requests for drugs throughout my tenure for placebo. Q. And after you heard or read this email and found that one of the DEA agents indicated that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street, did you take any action internally to address that issue?  A. We were already aware that hydrocodone and opioids were products of abuse

	Page 145		Page 146
1	clearly communicated regarding the landscape.	1	Watson used with regard to other drugs?
2	You know, we took the responsibility	2	MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.
3	very seriously. And to the extent that a	3	A. Yes.
4	manufacturer can prevent the illicit diversion	4	Q. Were they higher than security
5	of products, we made every effort to ensure that	5	processes used for other Schedule II drugs?
6	we had a robust security program that was not	6	MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.
7	only met the basic requirement of DEA	7	A. No.
8	regulations, but exceeded them to make sure that	8	Q. Were they higher than security
9	we were doing our part.	9	processes used for Schedule II opioids?
10	Q. Where was, as you think of it, where	10	MR. LUXTON: Same objection.
11	was Watson's Norco physically made?	11	A. They were consistent.
12	A. Corona.	12	Q. Do you remember ever talking with the
13	Q. And, you know, we saw before that	13	FDA about this agent's opinion or indication
14	there was a notation that Watson's Corona	14	that Norco was the most abused painkiller of
15	facility had 25 percent of the nationwide quota	15	preference on the street?
16	for a particular drug?	16	A. It would not be within my role to
17	A. Yes.	17	have conversations with the FDA about that.
18	Q. Is that the same drug as Norco?	18	Q. Would it be within the role of anyone
19	A. It would be included within that	19	at Watson to have that type of a conversation?
20	product, but Norco would not comprise 25 percent	20	MR. KNAPP: Just real quick, was that
21	of the market.	21	last question about that was about the
22	Q. Do you know whether any of the	22	FDA?
23	security processes used with regard to Norco by	23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24	Watson were more than the security processes	24	MR. KNAPP: I believe Mr. Napoli
	Page 147		Page 148
			1490 110
1	answered based upon the FDA.	1	A. Okay.
1 2	answered based upon the FDA.  MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again.	1 2	<ul><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it</li></ul>
	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER:		A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it,
2	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER: Q. Do you remember ever talking with	2	A. Okay.  Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's
2	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER: Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that	2 3	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it,
2 3 4	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER: Q. Do you remember ever talking with	2 3 4	A. Okay.  Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's
2 3 4 5	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER: Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street?	2 3 4 5	A. Okay.  Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096.  And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be?
2 3 4 5 6	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER: Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street? A. Not about Norco in particular.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER: Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street? A. Not about Norco in particular. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096.  And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be?  (Document review.)  A. Looks like a question from one of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER: Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street? A. Not about Norco in particular. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Okay.  Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096.  And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be?  (Document review.)  A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER: Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street? A. Not about Norco in particular. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with anyone from the DEA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.) A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER: Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street? A. Not about Norco in particular. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with anyone from the DEA? MR. KNAPP: Foundation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.) A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators conference about placebo programs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER: Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street? A. Not about Norco in particular. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with anyone from the DEA? MR. KNAPP: Foundation. A. I don't recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.) A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators conference about placebo programs. Q. So that National Association of Drug
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER: Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street? A. Not about Norco in particular. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with anyone from the DEA? MR. KNAPP: Foundation. A. I don't recall. Q. All right. You can set that document	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.) A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators conference about placebo programs. Q. So that National Association of Drug Diversion can you say that again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER: Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street? A. Not about Norco in particular. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with anyone from the DEA? MR. KNAPP: Foundation. A. I don't recall. Q. All right. You can set that document aside.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.) A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators conference about placebo programs. Q. So that National Association of Drug Diversion can you say that again? A. National Association of Drug
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street?  A. Not about Norco in particular.  Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with anyone from the DEA?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set that document aside.  (Witness complies.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.) A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators conference about placebo programs. Q. So that National Association of Drug Diversion can you say that again? A. National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street?  A. Not about Norco in particular. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with anyone from the DEA?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I don't recall. Q. All right. You can set that document aside.  (Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 8, Email chain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.) A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators conference about placebo programs. Q. So that National Association of Drug Diversion can you say that again? A. National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators. Q. Investigators.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street?  A. Not about Norco in particular. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with anyone from the DEA?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I don't recall. Q. All right. You can set that document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 8, Email chain beginning with email dated 4/29/10 from L.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.) A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators conference about placebo programs. Q. So that National Association of Drug Diversion can you say that again? A. National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators. Q. Investigators. That's the NADDI
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER: Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street? A. Not about Norco in particular. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with anyone from the DEA? MR. KNAPP: Foundation. A. I don't recall. Q. All right. You can set that document aside. (Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 8, Email chain beginning with email dated 4/29/10 from L. Scott to Napoli, ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.) A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators conference about placebo programs. Q. So that National Association of Drug Diversion can you say that again? A. National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators. Q. Investigators. That's the NADDI A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street?  A. Not about Norco in particular. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with anyone from the DEA?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I don't recall. Q. All right. You can set that document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 8, Email chain beginning with email dated 4/29/10 from L. Scott to Napoli, ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096, marked for identification, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.) A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators conference about placebo programs. Q. So that National Association of Drug Diversion can you say that again? A. National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators. Q. Investigators. Q. Investigators. That's the NADDI A. Correct. Q that's referred to in the earliest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street?  A. Not about Norco in particular. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with anyone from the DEA?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I don't recall. Q. All right. You can set that document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 8, Email chain beginning with email dated 4/29/10 from L. Scott to Napoli, ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096, marked for identification, as of this date.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.) A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators conference about placebo programs. Q. So that National Association of Drug Diversion can you say that again? A. National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators. Q. Investigators. That's the NADDI A. Correct. Q that's referred to in the earliest email in time on the second page of Exhibit 8?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street?  A. Not about Norco in particular. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with anyone from the DEA?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I don't recall. Q. All right. You can set that document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 8, Email chain beginning with email dated 4/29/10 from L. Scott to Napoli, ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.) A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators conference about placebo programs. Q. So that National Association of Drug Diversion can you say that again? A. National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators. Q. Investigators. Q. Investigators. That's the NADDI A. Correct. Q that's referred to in the earliest email in time on the second page of Exhibit 8? A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street?  A. Not about Norco in particular.  Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with anyone from the DEA?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I don't recall.  Q. All right. You can set that document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 8, Email chain beginning with email dated 4/29/10 from L. Scott to Napoli, ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, I'll hand you what we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.) A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators conference about placebo programs. Q. So that National Association of Drug Diversion can you say that again? A. National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators. Q. Investigators. Q. Investigators. That's the NADDI A. Correct. Q that's referred to in the earliest email in time on the second page of Exhibit 8? A. Yeah. Q. And it's Pete J. Herrera?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. EGLER: Let me ask this again. BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Do you remember ever talking with anyone at the DEA about this indication that Norco was the most abused painkiller of preference on the street?  A. Not about Norco in particular. Q. Okay. Do you remember whether anyone at Watson had that type of a conversation with anyone from the DEA?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I don't recall. Q. All right. You can set that document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 8, Email chain beginning with email dated 4/29/10 from L. Scott to Napoli, ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Okay. Q. Exhibit 8, can you look through it generally. And as you're looking through it, I'll read on the record it's  ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096. And when you're ready, can you tell me what this appears to you to be? (Document review.) A. Looks like a question from one of our security supervisors who attended a National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators conference about placebo programs. Q. So that National Association of Drug Diversion can you say that again? A. National Association of Drug Diversion Investigators. Q. Investigators. Q. Investigators. That's the NADDI A. Correct. Q that's referred to in the earliest email in time on the second page of Exhibit 8? A. Yeah.

Page 149 Page 150 1 Q. Mr. Herrera worked at Watson; is that 1 electronic channels and tend not to get their 2 2 right? hands dirty with diverse buys, et cetera." 3 3 A. Yes. And then on the next page, 4 O. What was his role around this time, 4 Mr. Herrera writes to you, "Thank you for your 5 5 prompt reply. I hear you about the FBI's MO April 2010? 6 Security supervisor of the Corona 6 with reverses. The agents were" -- "The agents A. 7 facility. 7 that were interested were from the San Diego 8 O. And he writes to you and Ms. Scott, 8 field office. And there was one presentation by 9 "Just got back from NADDI last night and wanted 9 an SD County prosecutor that keyed on the some clarification on how Watson, (DEA affairs) 10 10 diversion wave in SD, especially Watson hydro administers the placebo program for law and Norco really hard. Maybe I heard it wrong 11 11 12 enforcement." 12 at the DEA seminar, but didn't the DEA people 13 A. Um-hmm. 13 say they had the problem under control down there?" Q. And he goes on from there. 14 14 15 And you respond to him on April 29th 15 A. Um-hmm. 16 and say, "Hi, Pete. It is not uncommon to 16 Q. So do you remember there being 17 return from NADDI with a pocket full of placebo 17 something that can be referred to as a requests. We will be establishing a placebo 18 "diversion wave" in San Diego that especially 18 19 program this year, though, so you can forward 19 related to Watson's hydrocodone and Norco 20 the contact names to Lisa and they will be added 20 products? 21 to the list." 21 A. No. 22 And then continuing, "Interesting 22 Q. Do you remember ever hearing that a 23 that the FBI inquired. They usually follow the 23 San Diego County prosecutor had contacted -- let 24 money trail on high-profile RX cases through 24 me start over. Page 151 Page 152 1 Did you ever hear that a San Diego 1 mean? 2 2 County prosecutor had made a presentation about A. I think because of the way that the 3 diversion of Watson's hydrocodone and Norco 3 current tact was. And in that email, it 4 products in San Diego County, California? 4 expresses probably a feeling within industry 5 A. No, I don't recall ever receiving any 5 that the approach by DEA was aggressive 6 requests from San Diego or contact by a 6 enforcement on industry, where this not -- this 7 7 prosecutor. is a complex societal problem; it's not just the 8 Q. So you know that San Diego County is 8 manufacturers. But the least amount of 9 just to the south of the Riverside County where 9 registrants are manufacturers, so I think it's 10 10 Corona is? easier to approach that population rather than 11 A. Correct. I mean, it may be possible. 11 going down the supply chain. 12 I just don't recall if there was a placebo 12 A lot of these products that are on 13 effort. 13 the market are in illicit channels are because 14 Q. And then you write back to 14 of, quote/unquote, legitimate prescriptions that 15 Mr. Herrera and Ms. Scott, "I don't think it 15 are written at the prescriber level, and there will ever been under control. The bad boys are 16 16 are -- there was at the time seemingly no 17 always a step ahead. This is why" -- "This is 17 efforts to focus on that. 18 why DEA likes to beat up on legitimate industry. 18 So it just probably indicating just 19 Is all they can control." 19 that the their -- the focus, although well 20 20 Then you have exclamation point intended, is I think heavily on the wrong part 21 there. 21 of the system of distribution. 22 22 A. Um-hmm. Q. And you write there as well, "The bad Q. So when you wrote, "I don't think it 23 23 boys are always a step ahead." will ever been under control," what did you 24 24 A. Right.

Page 153 Page 154 1 Q. What did you mean by that? 1 pharmaceuticals. 2 2 A. I think in any type of criminal And then you saw it went from the 3 endeavor, it's -- where there's profit to be 3 diversion investigator concept to we're going to 4 made, there's always -- criminals always seem to 4 take diversion investigators and now add special 5 be one step ahead of law enforcement. 5 agents from the criminal side in with those 6 Q. So with regard to the "bad boys" 6 groups and be more aggressive with the 7 there, who are you referring to? 7 registrant population. 8 8 A. Anybody who engages in the illicit O. So with regard to this email that you 9 9 trafficking of a controlled drug. sent to Mr. Herrera and Ms. Scott, you said, "I 10 Q. And then you write, "This is why DEA 10 don't think it will ever be under control." 11 likes to beat up on legitimate industry." 11 This is April 29, 2010. 12 12 Around this time, April 2010, why did A. Um-hmm. 13 you think DEA likes to beat up on legitimate 13 When you had that belief, did you 14 industry? 14 ever try to change Watson's internal processes 15 A. Because there was a change -- as you 15 to try to control the diversion that was going on of Norco? 16 probably saw in one of the landscape slides that 16 17 I did earlier, that there was kind of a change 17 MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. 18 from -- previously, the DEA was more of a 18 A. We continually ensure that we had 19 partner with industry and we worked 19 appropriate controls in place to mitigate theft 20 20 collaboratively. and diversion for what was under our control. 21 And then as you saw a shift in where 21 Q. So with regard to the Norco drug, 22 the DEA was making efforts to combat the illicit 22 after this time, April 29, 2010, did you ever 23 drugs and making headway there, then you saw 23 undertake to have higher security processes than 24 abusers migrating over to the legitimate 24 other drugs that Watson made in the same Page 155 Page 156 controlled substance class? 1 1 undertake to have a greater understanding of the 2 2 indirect customers for Norco? A. Well, we sought to always have a 3 3 MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. strong program for all of our controlled 4 substances from the time we received the 4 MR. LUXTON: Form. 5 5 material on our loading dock until we produced a A. We at, at Watson had -- through our 6 6 solid dosage form. So we had a layered and Know Your Customer program, we sought to find 7 7 out about our customers, about their -- we had a balanced approach to physical security 8 throughout our entire product lifecycle through 8 form letter that went out to our customers and 9 distribution. 9 indicated that we were interested in finding out 10 O. And with regard to the diversion 10 information from them of -- specifically of 11 issues, did you or -- is it your understanding 11 hydrocodone and oxycodone products that they 12 12 that anyone at Norco -- I'm sorry. purchased from us, a customer list, and who 13 With regard to the diversion issues, 13 their most significant customers were. So it 14 is it your understanding that you or anyone else 14 was all part of our Know Your Customer process. 15 at Watson ever made special efforts to 15 Q. So when those letters went out, was 16 understand and reduce or stop the diversion of 16 that at the onboarding process that you were 17 its Norco drug? 17 talking about or at some other time? 18 18 MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. A. It would be at the onboarding time. 19 MR. LUXTON: Form. 19 It would also be when we did a periodic refresh, 20 2.0 A. It's not within the scope of our, of which would have been, again -- probably that 21 our power to do that. 21 next juncture would have been 2012, when we had

22

23

24

22

23

24

Q. With regard to what we've been

talking about before, that indirect customer

concept, did you or anyone you know at Watson

But throughout my tenure with, with Watson/Actavis, we didn't onboard too many

the, the Actavis acquisition.

	Page 157		Page 158
1	customers. We did not have a big customer list,	1	this time were selling the Norco drug to other
2	so there wasn't too much addition to that	2	people; is that right?
3	customer base.	3	A. They would be selling them to a
4	Q. So when you wrote that you didn't	4	pharmacy.
5	think it would ever been under control, did you	5	Q. And do you remember ever undertaking
6	renew the process of trying to understand your	6	a project or directing someone else to undertake
7	customers' customers to understand any part of	7	a project to gain a greater understanding of the
8	the diversion process?	8	pharmacies to which the Norco drugs were being
9	A. What we sought to do was to	9	sold?
10	understand to work with our customers to	10	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.
11	ensure that they had programs in place, that	11	A. We actually looked at through our
12	they were ensuring that they understood their	12	Know Your Customer process at all of our
13	customers and their ordering behaviors, and they	13	customers and and gaining an understanding of
14	had effective controls in place to detect any	14	where all of our controlled substances, but
15	type of unusual behavior.	15	specifically hydrocodone and oxycodone, were
16	Q. And as are you wrote here, "I don't	16	going.
17	think it will ever been under control," do you	17	As far as the daily day-to-day
18	think that the your customers' processes were	18	management of those customers, that was not
19	effective?	19	within our role. And if you look at some of our
20	A. I don't think that was any indictment	20	customers, they had just one customer maybe
21	of a customer's process. I just think it's a	21	had thousands upon thousands upon pharmacy
22	probably a societal note about the demand for	22	locations. It would be untenable to do a
23	drugs in this country.	23	realtime monitoring of those activities.
24	Q. But the customers that Watson had at	24	Q. With regard to the time frame that
	Page 159		Page 160
1	is that appears in this email, April 29th, do	1	As you sit here today, what's your
2	you remember or April 29th, 2012, do you	2	understanding of what Mr. Herrera meant?
3	remember whether there was any particular	3	MR. LUXTON: Objection
4	endeavor to look at any of the pharmacies that	4	MR. KNAPP: Foundation.
5	were selling Norco?	5	MR. LUXTON: calls for
6	MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.	6	speculation.
7	MR. KNAPP: I believe you said 2012.	7	A. I can't speculate
8	A. It's 2010.	8	Q. As you read it.
9	Q. 2010.	9	A. I can't speculate
10	A. Again, through our Know Your Customer	10	MR. KNAPP: Same objection.
11	efforts, we would gain an understanding of where	11	A. I can't speculate what Mr. Herrera
12	our customers were distributing. But if there	12	was thinking.
13	was a suspicious order that pended, we would	13	Q. Okay. So when he wrote, "I felt like
14	take a deeper dive and we would look to see	14	I was a class president or something at the
15	where these products were going.	15	seminar," do you remember ever having a
16	Q. In response to this process at the	16	follow-up conversation with him about that?
17	end of April of 2010, do you remember any	17	A. No.
18	particular effort being made in that regard?	18	Q. What do you think he meant by that?
19	A. No.	19	MR. KNAPP: Objection.
20	Q. So Mr. Herrera writes back to you on	20	MR. LUXTON: Objection calls for
21	that day, April 29th, "I felt like I was a class	21	speculation.
22	president or something at the seminar. Pretty	22	A. I, again, I can't speculate as to
23	popular guy as soon as someone would read my	23	someone else's thoughts.
24	name badge and see I was from Watson."	24	Q. I'm not asking about his thoughts.
	-		

	Page 161		Page 162
1	I'm asking what you thought he meant by that.	1	MR. KNAPP: Objection.
2	MR. KNAPP: Same objection.	2	A. I can't speculate.
3	MR. LUXTON: Foundation.	3	Q. If someone writes, "Ug, vomit," do
4	A. Again, I can't.	4	you think they agree with what Mr. Herrera has
5	Q. And he writes, "pretty popular guy	5	said?
6	as soon as someone would read my name badge and	6	MR. LUXTON: Same objection.
7	see I was from Watson."	7	MR. KNAPP: Objection.
8	Do you remember ever having a	8	A. I don't know.
9	follow-up conversation about that issue?	9	Q. Do you remember ever having a
10	A. No.	10	discussion with Ms. Scott about the diversion
11	Q. And as you sit here today, do you	11	wave in San Diego that was referred to in this
12	have any memory of what you thought at the time	12	email?
13	he meant by saying that?	13	A. No.
14	MR. LUXTON: Objection. Calls for	14	Q. Do you remember ever having a
15	speculation.	15	discussion with Ms. Scott about the impression
16	A. No. I don't.	16	of the DEA that there was a substantial
17	Q. And then the first email on the page,	17	diversion of the Watson hydro and Norco drugs?
18	the last one in time, Ms. Scott responds to you	18	MR. KNAPP: Foundation
19	only and leaves Mr. Herrera off and she says,	19	A. We
20	"Ug, vomit."	20	MR. KNAPP: and form.
21	As you sit here today, what do you	21	A. We had an understanding, as I
22	think she meant?	22	articulated earlier, from DEA that there was
23	MR. LUXTON: Objection. Calls for	23	a an increased illicit demand or use for
24	speculation.	24	hydrocodone in general, just not Watson.
	Page 163		Page 164
	5		rage 104
1	Q. And based on that understanding, do	1	special process inside the Suspicious Order
1 2	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in	1 2	
	Q. And based on that understanding, do		special process inside the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco
2	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in	2	special process inside the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that would address any of the
2	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard	2 3	special process inside the Suspicious Order  Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.
2 3 4	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order	2 3 4	special process inside the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?
2 3 4 5	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else?	2 3 4 5	special process inside the Suspicious Order  Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else? MR. KNAPP: Form. MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. From a security perspective, again we	2 3 4 5 6	special process inside the Suspicious Order  Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security	2 3 4 5 6 7	special process inside the Suspicious Order  Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order  Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	special process inside the Suspicious Order  Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order  Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else? MR. KNAPP: Form. MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would do. We assessed the current risk, and we looked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	special process inside the Suspicious Order  Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order  Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying with DEA regulations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	special process inside the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying with DEA regulations.  Q. You can set that document aside.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would do. We assessed the current risk, and we looked to make enhancements where we can to our systems.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	special process inside the Suspicious Order  Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order  Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying with DEA regulations.  Q. You can set that document aside.  MR. KNAPP: Can we take a break?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else? MR. KNAPP: Form. MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would do. We assessed the current risk, and we looked to make enhancements where we can to our systems. And we had a very robust security	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	special process inside the Suspicious Order  Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order  Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying with DEA regulations.  Q. You can set that document aside.  MR. KNAPP: Can we take a break?  MR. EGLER: You want to break for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else? MR. KNAPP: Form. MR. LUXTON: Objection to form. A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would do. We assessed the current risk, and we looked to make enhancements where we can to our systems.  And we had a very robust security program at Corona and in all our facilities, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	special process inside the Suspicious Order  Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order  Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying with DEA regulations.  Q. You can set that document aside.  MR. KNAPP: Can we take a break?  MR. EGLER: You want to break for lunch?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would do. We assessed the current risk, and we looked to make enhancements where we can to our systems.  And we had a very robust security program at Corona and in all our facilities, and we were frequent recipients of DEA inspections	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	special process inside the Suspicious Order  Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying with DEA regulations.  Q. You can set that document aside.  MR. KNAPP: Can we take a break?  MR. EGLER: You want to break for lunch?  MR. LUXTON: Is it being brought in?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would do. We assessed the current risk, and we looked to make enhancements where we can to our systems.  And we had a very robust security program at Corona and in all our facilities, and we were frequent recipients of DEA inspections from the Riverside office and other offices that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	special process inside the Suspicious Order  Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order  Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying with DEA regulations.  Q. You can set that document aside.  MR. KNAPP: Can we take a break?  MR. EGLER: You want to break for lunch?  MR. LUXTON: Is it being brought in?  MR. EGLER: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would do. We assessed the current risk, and we looked to make enhancements where we can to our systems.  And we had a very robust security program at Corona and in all our facilities, and we were frequent recipients of DEA inspections from the Riverside office and other offices that were heavily focused on the security aspect and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	special process inside the Suspicious Order  Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order  Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying with DEA regulations.  Q. You can set that document aside.  MR. KNAPP: Can we take a break?  MR. EGLER: You want to break for lunch?  MR. LUXTON: Is it being brought in?  MR. EGLER: Yes.  MR. LUXTON: Hopefully if it's here,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would do. We assessed the current risk, and we looked to make enhancements where we can to our systems.  And we had a very robust security program at Corona and in all our facilities, and we were frequent recipients of DEA inspections from the Riverside office and other offices that were heavily focused on the security aspect and control of that you had over your product.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	special process inside the Suspicious Order  Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order  Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying with DEA regulations.  Q. You can set that document aside.  MR. KNAPP: Can we take a break?  MR. EGLER: You want to break for lunch?  MR. LUXTON: Is it being brought in?  MR. EGLER: Yes.  MR. LUXTON: Hopefully if it's here, we'll break for lunch.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would do. We assessed the current risk, and we looked to make enhancements where we can to our systems.  And we had a very robust security program at Corona and in all our facilities, and we were frequent recipients of DEA inspections from the Riverside office and other offices that were heavily focused on the security aspect and control of that you had over your product.  And we had outstanding results with DEA in our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	special process inside the Suspicious Order  Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying with DEA regulations.  Q. You can set that document aside.  MR. KNAPP: Can we take a break?  MR. EGLER: You want to break for lunch?  MR. LUXTON: Is it being brought in?  MR. EGLER: Yes.  MR. LUXTON: Hopefully if it's here, we'll break for lunch.  MR. EGLER: I'll check if it's here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would do. We assessed the current risk, and we looked to make enhancements where we can to our systems.  And we had a very robust security program at Corona and in all our facilities, and we were frequent recipients of DEA inspections from the Riverside office and other offices that were heavily focused on the security aspect and control of that you had over your product.  And we had outstanding results with DEA in our inspections.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	special process inside the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying with DEA regulations.  Q. You can set that document aside.  MR. KNAPP: Can we take a break?  MR. EGLER: You want to break for lunch?  MR. LUXTON: Is it being brought in?  MR. EGLER: Yes.  MR. LUXTON: Hopefully if it's here, we'll break for lunch.  MR. EGLER: I'll check if it's here. If it's not, we'll take a ten-minute break.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would do. We assessed the current risk, and we looked to make enhancements where we can to our systems.  And we had a very robust security program at Corona and in all our facilities, and we were frequent recipients of DEA inspections from the Riverside office and other offices that were heavily focused on the security aspect and control of that you had over your product.  And we had outstanding results with DEA in our inspections.  Q. Do you remember whether Watson,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	special process inside the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying with DEA regulations.  Q. You can set that document aside.  MR. KNAPP: Can we take a break?  MR. EGLER: You want to break for lunch?  MR. LUXTON: Is it being brought in?  MR. EGLER: Yes.  MR. LUXTON: Hopefully if it's here, we'll break for lunch.  MR. EGLER: I'll check if it's here. If it's not, we'll take a ten-minute break.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would do. We assessed the current risk, and we looked to make enhancements where we can to our systems.  And we had a very robust security program at Corona and in all our facilities, and we were frequent recipients of DEA inspections from the Riverside office and other offices that were heavily focused on the security aspect and control of that you had over your product.  And we had outstanding results with DEA in our inspections.  Q. Do you remember whether Watson, around this time or at any point after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	special process inside the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying with DEA regulations.  Q. You can set that document aside.  MR. KNAPP: Can we take a break?  MR. EGLER: You want to break for lunch?  MR. LUXTON: Is it being brought in?  MR. EGLER: Yes.  MR. LUXTON: Hopefully if it's here, we'll break for lunch.  MR. EGLER: I'll check if it's here. If it's not, we'll take a ten-minute break.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 12:23 p m., and we are going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And based on that understanding, do you remember ever taking any special steps in regard to the Watson hydro and Norco with regard to security issues or Suspicious Order Monitoring System or anything else?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. From a security perspective, again we continually looked to enhance our security process as any good security practitioner would do. We assessed the current risk, and we looked to make enhancements where we can to our systems.  And we had a very robust security program at Corona and in all our facilities, and we were frequent recipients of DEA inspections from the Riverside office and other offices that were heavily focused on the security aspect and control of that you had over your product.  And we had outstanding results with DEA in our inspections.  Q. Do you remember whether Watson,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	special process inside the Suspicious Order Monitoring System that would address any of the diversion issues that Watson's hydro and Norco were facing?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. As I stated, our Suspicious Order Monitoring System program was a holistic and, we feel, an effective program that was complying with DEA regulations.  Q. You can set that document aside.  MR. KNAPP: Can we take a break?  MR. EGLER: You want to break for lunch?  MR. LUXTON: Is it being brought in?  MR. EGLER: Yes.  MR. LUXTON: Hopefully if it's here, we'll break for lunch.  MR. EGLER: I'll check if it's here. If it's not, we'll take a ten-minute break.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is

	Page 165		Page 166
1	(Recess is taken.)	1	Q. Can you look through Exhibit 9? And
2	()	2	as you're reading through it, I'll note for the
3		3	record that the first page has no Bates stamps.
4	AFTERNOON SESSION	4	The second page is
5	(Time noted: 1:10 p.m.)	5	ALLERGAN MDL 02467984 through 7998.
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	6	And when you're finished looking
7	record. The time is approximately	7	through this document, can you tell me what it
8	1:10 p.m.	8	appears to you to be?
9	* * *	9	(Document review.)
10	THOMAS P. NAPOLI, resumed and	10	A. Okay.
11	testified as follows:	11	Q. All right. Do you recognize the
12	EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.)	12	document that appears after the first page?
13	MR. EGLER:	13	A. I do.
14	Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are	14	Q. What is it?
15	still under oath?	15	A. This appears to be a presentation
16	A. Yes, sir.	16	that I put together that talks about
17	Q. And for I'm going to hand you what	17	achievements and accomplishments during a
18	we will mark as Exhibit 9.	18	calendar year and also what goals for the
19	(Napoli Exhibit 9, PowerPoint	19	upcoming year of 2011 were for our DEA affairs
20	presentation entitled "DEA affairs	20	organization.
21	Organizational Achievements,	21	Q. So the achievements were for the
22	ALLERGAN MDL 02467984 through 7998, marked	22	calendar year 2010; is that right?
23	for identification, as of this date.)	23	A. Yes, sir.
24	BY MR. EGLER:	24	Q. Do you remember who the audience for
	Page 167		Page 168
1	this presentation was?	1	on the record.
2	A. No, I don't.	2	BY MR. EGLER:
3	Q. So that author on the first page in	3	Q. So would you make this type of a
4	the metadata page says Steve G. Sost.	4	presentation annually?
5	Do you see that there?	5	A. It's likely that I would.
6	A. Steve Sost.	6	Q. Do you remember making these types of
7	Q. Who is he?	7	presentations?
8	A. Steve Sost was one of our	8	A. I do.
9	communications folks, corporation	9	Q. And who would you make them to?
10	communications. He may have put this into a	10	A. Likely to my department management
11	company-approved format for me.	11	
			and perhaps organizational management.
12	Q. So as you think about this	12	Q. So your department management would
13	Q. So as you think about this presentation, would you regularly give a	13	Q. So your department management would be who?
13 14	Q. So as you think about this presentation, would you regularly give a presentation like this at the beginning or at	13 14	Q. So your department management would be who?  A. My executive director Scott Soltis.
13 14 15	Q. So as you think about this presentation, would you regularly give a presentation like this at the beginning or at the end of each year?	13 14 15	Q. So your department management would be who?  A. My executive director Scott Soltis.  Then eventually we were part of supply chain as
13 14 15 16	Q. So as you think about this presentation, would you regularly give a presentation like this at the beginning or at the end of each year?  A. It would likely	13 14 15 16	Q. So your department management would be who?  A. My executive director Scott Soltis.  Then eventually we were part of supply chain as well. So that that wasn't at that time. So
13 14 15 16 17	Q. So as you think about this presentation, would you regularly give a presentation like this at the beginning or at the end of each year?  A. It would likely (Interruption.)	13 14 15 16 17	Q. So your department management would be who?  A. My executive director Scott Soltis.  Then eventually we were part of supply chain as well. So that that wasn't at that time. So this would have been Scott Soltis and perhaps
13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So as you think about this presentation, would you regularly give a presentation like this at the beginning or at the end of each year?  A. It would likely (Interruption.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So your department management would be who?  A. My executive director Scott Soltis.  Then eventually we were part of supply chain as well. So that that wasn't at that time. So this would have been Scott Soltis and perhaps higher levels of management.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. So as you think about this presentation, would you regularly give a presentation like this at the beginning or at the end of each year?  A. It would likely (Interruption.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off	13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So your department management would be who?  A. My executive director Scott Soltis.  Then eventually we were part of supply chain as well. So that that wasn't at that time. So this would have been Scott Soltis and perhaps higher levels of management.  Q. All right. So if you turn to page
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So as you think about this presentation, would you regularly give a presentation like this at the beginning or at the end of each year?  A. It would likely (Interruption.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So your department management would be who?  A. My executive director Scott Soltis.  Then eventually we were part of supply chain as well. So that that wasn't at that time. So this would have been Scott Soltis and perhaps higher levels of management.  Q. All right. So if you turn to page 990 of this document, it's about halfway
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So as you think about this presentation, would you regularly give a presentation like this at the beginning or at the end of each year?  A. It would likely (Interruption.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record.  MR. EGLER: I can ask a better	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So your department management would be who?  A. My executive director Scott Soltis.  Then eventually we were part of supply chain as well. So that that wasn't at that time. So this would have been Scott Soltis and perhaps higher levels of management.  Q. All right. So if you turn to page 990 of this document, it's about halfway through, a little bit less, on the document, at
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So as you think about this presentation, would you regularly give a presentation like this at the beginning or at the end of each year?  A. It would likely (Interruption.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record.  MR. EGLER: I can ask a better question. Yeah, let's go back on.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So your department management would be who?  A. My executive director Scott Soltis.  Then eventually we were part of supply chain as well. So that that wasn't at that time. So this would have been Scott Soltis and perhaps higher levels of management.  Q. All right. So if you turn to page 990 of this document, it's about halfway through, a little bit less, on the document, at the top of the page there, 990, it states,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So as you think about this presentation, would you regularly give a presentation like this at the beginning or at the end of each year?  A. It would likely (Interruption.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record.  MR. EGLER: I can ask a better question. Yeah, let's go back on.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So your department management would be who?  A. My executive director Scott Soltis.  Then eventually we were part of supply chain as well. So that that wasn't at that time. So this would have been Scott Soltis and perhaps higher levels of management.  Q. All right. So if you turn to page 990 of this document, it's about halfway through, a little bit less, on the document, at the top of the page there, 990, it states, "Suspicious Order Monitoring."
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So as you think about this presentation, would you regularly give a presentation like this at the beginning or at the end of each year?  A. It would likely (Interruption.)  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 1:14 p.m. We are going off the record.  MR. EGLER: I can ask a better question. Yeah, let's go back on.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So your department management would be who?  A. My executive director Scott Soltis.  Then eventually we were part of supply chain as well. So that that wasn't at that time. So this would have been Scott Soltis and perhaps higher levels of management.  Q. All right. So if you turn to page 990 of this document, it's about halfway through, a little bit less, on the document, at the top of the page there, 990, it states,

	Page 169		Page 170
1	A. Um-hmm.	1	efforts. And by doing so, it's ensuring that we
2	Q. As you see that page there that	2	had a strong relationships with internal
3	appears under Suspicious Order Monitoring, what	3	customers, such as sales or marketing folks that
4	does that mean to you in the context of this	4	we could garner information from about customer
5	document?	5	ordering behavior, maybe upcoming awards of
6	(Document review.)	6	contracts or types of changes within the market,
7	A. It really just looks like kind of a	7	if somebody dropped out, if somebody is picked
8	status update on the Suspicious Order	8	up. Just another source of gathering
9	Monitoring.	9	information so we can be most effective and also
10	Q. So this would be looking back at year	10	ensuring we maintained our strong partnership
11	2010; is that right?	11	with the customer relations folks who we
12	A. Yes.	12	partnered with on the SOMS initiative.
13	Q. It states, "Evaluation and	13	Q. So with regard to the customer
14	enhancement," and then a dash, "effective	14	relations entity that's referred to there, at
15	relationship with internal customers."	15	Watson at this time, end of year 2010, was the
16	A. Yes.	16	customer relations group part of the sales and
17	Q. And it states, "Sales and marketing"	17	marketing group?
18	and "customer relations."	18	A. Yes.
19	What does that group of words mean,	19	Q. Was there any other entity within
20	"Effective relationship with internal	20	Watson that you think of as an internal customer
21	customers," and "sales and marketing" and then	21	that you or your group worked with in 2010 to
22	"customer relations"?	22	evaluate and enhance the Suspicious Order
23	A. It's indicative of our continuous	23	Monitoring program?
24	desire to enhance and improve our compliance	24	A. As far as internal customers?
1		1	
	Q. Yes.		
2	A I'm cure there was a commonent with		A. No, they, they wouldn't really have a
2	A. I'm sure there was a component with	2	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order
3	perhaps with legal.	2	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe,
3 4	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking	2 3 4	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have
3 4 5	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of	2 3 4 5	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective
3 4 5 6	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order	2 3 4 5 6	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.
3 4 5 6 7	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?	2 3 4 5 6 7	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with
3 4 5 6 7 8	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with
3 4 5 6 7	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or DEA you're referring to?	2 3 4 5 6 7	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with regard to the data that they collected on opioid
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or DEA you're referring to?  Q. FDA.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with regard to the data that they collected on opioid drugs?  A. I don't recall.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or DEA you're referring to?  Q. FDA.  A. FDA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with regard to the data that they collected on opioid drugs?  A. I don't recall.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or DEA you're referring to?  Q. FDA.  A. FDA?  Q. So let's back up a little bit. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with regard to the data that they collected on opioid drugs?  A. I don't recall. Q. Then you state "increase security
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or DEA you're referring to?  Q. FDA.  A. FDA?  Q. So let's back up a little bit. So and we had talked about this before earlier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with regard to the data that they collected on opioid drugs?  A. I don't recall. Q. Then you state "increase security visibility," and it says "order of interest
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or DEA you're referring to?  Q. FDA.  A. FDA?  Q. So let's back up a little bit. So and we had talked about this before earlier.  As you think about it, what group do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with regard to the data that they collected on opioid drugs?  A. I don't recall. Q. Then you state "increase security visibility," and it says "order of interest review," and then "investigation," and then
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or DEA you're referring to?  Q. FDA.  A. FDA?  Q. So let's back up a little bit. So and we had talked about this before earlier.  As you think about it, what group do you identify at Watson around this time frame,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with regard to the data that they collected on opioid drugs?  A. I don't recall. Q. Then you state "increase security visibility," and it says "order of interest review," and then "investigation," and then "communication with customers."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or DEA you're referring to?  Q. FDA.  A. FDA?  Q. So let's back up a little bit. So and we had talked about this before earlier.  As you think about it, what group do you identify at Watson around this time frame, 2010, to be most responsible for dealing with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with regard to the data that they collected on opioid drugs?  A. I don't recall. Q. Then you state "increase security visibility," and it says "order of interest review," and then "investigation," and then "communication with customers." A. Um-hmm.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or DEA you're referring to?  Q. FDA.  A. FDA?  Q. So let's back up a little bit. So and we had talked about this before earlier.  As you think about it, what group do you identify at Watson around this time frame, 2010, to be most responsible for dealing with FDA regulation of drugs?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with regard to the data that they collected on opioid drugs?  A. I don't recall. Q. Then you state "increase security visibility," and it says "order of interest review," and then "investigation," and then "communication with customers."  A. Um-hmm. Q. What was the "increase security
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or DEA you're referring to?  Q. FDA.  A. FDA?  Q. So let's back up a little bit. So and we had talked about this before earlier.  As you think about it, what group do you identify at Watson around this time frame, 2010, to be most responsible for dealing with FDA regulation of drugs?  A. Quality assurance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with regard to the data that they collected on opioid drugs?  A. I don't recall. Q. Then you state "increase security visibility," and it says "order of interest review," and then "investigation," and then "communication with customers."  A. Um-hmm. Q. What was the "increase security visibility" in 2010?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or DEA you're referring to?  Q. FDA.  A. FDA?  Q. So let's back up a little bit. So and we had talked about this before earlier.  As you think about it, what group do you identify at Watson around this time frame, 2010, to be most responsible for dealing with FDA regulation of drugs?  A. Quality assurance.  Q. So do you remember whether you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with regard to the data that they collected on opioid drugs?  A. I don't recall. Q. Then you state "increase security visibility," and it says "order of interest review," and then "investigation," and then "communication with customers." A. Um-hmm. Q. What was the "increase security visibility" in 2010? A. That was, you know again, 2010 is,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or DEA you're referring to?  Q. FDA.  A. FDA?  Q. So let's back up a little bit. So and we had talked about this before earlier.  As you think about it, what group do you identify at Watson around this time frame, 2010, to be most responsible for dealing with FDA regulation of drugs?  A. Quality assurance.  Q. So do you remember whether you ever well, let me start over.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with regard to the data that they collected on opioid drugs?  A. I don't recall. Q. Then you state "increase security visibility," and it says "order of interest review," and then "investigation," and then "communication with customers."  A. Um-hmm. Q. What was the "increase security visibility" in 2010?  A. That was, you know again, 2010 is, depending on what time it was, it was a little
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or DEA you're referring to?  Q. FDA.  A. FDA?  Q. So let's back up a little bit. So and we had talked about this before earlier.  As you think about it, what group do you identify at Watson around this time frame, 2010, to be most responsible for dealing with FDA regulation of drugs?  A. Quality assurance.  Q. So do you remember whether you ever well, let me start over.  Do you remember whether you or your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with regard to the data that they collected on opioid drugs?  A. I don't recall. Q. Then you state "increase security visibility," and it says "order of interest review," and then "investigation," and then "communication with customers."  A. Um-hmm. Q. What was the "increase security visibility" in 2010?  A. That was, you know again, 2010 is, depending on what time it was, it was a little over a year or a year since I transitioned in.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	perhaps with legal.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever talking with anyone involved with FDA regulation part of Watson with regard to the Suspicious Order Monitoring System in 2010?  A. It's a little vague. Is that FDA or DEA you're referring to?  Q. FDA.  A. FDA?  Q. So let's back up a little bit. So and we had talked about this before earlier.  As you think about it, what group do you identify at Watson around this time frame, 2010, to be most responsible for dealing with FDA regulation of drugs?  A. Quality assurance.  Q. So do you remember whether you ever well, let me start over.  Do you remember whether you or your group ever identified quality assurance as an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	relevant stake, I think, in Suspicious Order Monitoring because they're more focused on safe, pure and effective drugs, ensuring that we have quality systems around safe, pure and effective drugs.  Q. Do you remember ever meeting with anyone from the quality assurance group with regard to the data that they collected on opioid drugs?  A. I don't recall.  Q. Then you state "increase security visibility," and it says "order of interest review," and then "investigation," and then "communication with customers."  A. Um-hmm.  Q. What was the "increase security visibility" in 2010?  A. That was, you know again, 2010 is, depending on what time it was, it was a little over a year or a year since I transitioned in. So it was really getting more involved from a

	Page 173		Page 174
1	taking an active role in the order of interest	1	Q. All right. And then can you turn to
2	review investigation and, you know, and the	2	page 995. It looks like this (indicating).
3	communication with the customers about orders.	3	A. Um-hmm.
4	Q. And the "order of interest," that	4	Q. And it says, "2011 goals and
5	term, is that the same as you understand it as a	5	objectives continued."
6	pending order?	6	So if you need to look before there,
7	A. Correct.	7	feel free, but I'm just going to ask you about
8	Q. Then the next dash down there it	8	this page.
9	says, "systemic upgrade and enhanced system	9	A. Yup.
10	logic and increase efficiency."	10	Q. It states, "SOMS" and that's
11	Do you see that there?	11	Suspicious Order Monitoring System, right?
12	A. Yes.	12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. Do you remember during 2010 what	13	Q. And your the goal at Watson for
14	steps you and your group at Watson took with	14	2011 was to improve the system and enhanced
15	regards to the systemic upgrade of the	15	automation?
16	Suspicious Order Monitoring System?	16	A. Yes.
17	A. I think that this may have been	17	Q. Do you remember what you meant by
18	around the time where we engaged with Cegedim to	18	that?
19	look at our system and, and maybe propose some	19	A. Yeah, just what we just spoke of, is,
20	enhancements to the, to the system itself. And	20	is looking at our current compliance system but
21	we were looking to increase the efficiency and	21	also finding ways to make it to look at more
22	again enhance some of the system logic in	22	parameters regarding an order of interest and to
23	building in an additional or enhancing an	23	increase the efficiency of the program.
24	algorithm.	24	Q. Okay. Then the next one says,
	D 175		D 176
	Page 175		Page 176
1	"Enhance investigation process," and it says	1	that process ever change?
2	"Procedure in cross-training."	2	A. No.
3	What did you mean by that group of	3	Q. All right. You can set that aside.
4	words?	4	(Witness complies.)
5	A. Creation of a more formal procedure	5	BY MR. EGLER:
6	on the investigation process of an order of	6	Q. I will hand you what we will mark as
7	interest. And cross-training meaning, as I	7	Exhibit 10.
8 9	referred to earlier, we had an individual in our	8	(Napoli Exhibit 10, Watson 210
10	global security department, Jeff Collins, who was a trained, seasoned investigator to provide	9	Performance Review Form - Exempt,
11	cross-training in this area for him so he could	10	Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535275 through
12	serve as a backup or a supplement to performing	11	283, marked for identification, as of this
13	investigations for the team.	12	date.)
14	Q. And in this time frame, 2011, the	14	(Document review.) BY MR. EGLER:
15	initial investigation of a pending order would	15	
16	take place in the customer service group?	16	Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what's been marked as Exhibit 10?
17	A. The order management side, yeah.		
18	Q. And if it was escalated, it would	17	A. Yes.
19	come to your group; is that right?	19	Q. And look at it generally. And while you're checking it out, I'll read into the
20	A. Yes, sir.	20	record, it's Allergan MDL 03535275 through 283.
21	Q. All right. Do you, as you think	21	And you can look through the whole
22	about it, as long as there is an internal	22	document. I'm going to ask you about text that
23	Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson or	23	appears on the third page, which is 5277.
24	the part of Actavis that you worked with, did	24	A. Sure.

	Page 177		Page 178
1	(Document review.)	1	with minimum impact to the business while
2	Q. So first, to get the big picture, do	2	maintaining DEA compliance."
3	you recognize this document?	3	And you write, "Overall objectives:
4	A. I do.	4	Utilize identified vendor to evaluate the
5	Q. What is it?	5	current system. An evaluation will consider
6	A. It's a performance review form.	6	Watson's approach of design, parameters
7	Q. So is this your performance review?	7	inherently unique to account types, and
8	A. It appears that it is.	8	validation process."
9	Q. And do you remember filling out a	9	Do you see that?
10	performance review at the end of 2010?	10	A. Um-hmm. Yes.
11	A. I'm sure that I did.	11	Q. So it says, "Evaluation will consider
12	Q. All right. Could you look at the top	12	Watson's approach and design."
13	of page 5277? It states, "Key goal No. 1."	13	What did you mean by that?
14	Do you see that?	14	A. That we would be utilizing a vendor,
15	A. Yes, sir.	15	Cegedim in this case, to come in and do an
16	Q. And it says, "Suspicious Order	16	assessment or an overall valuation of our
17	Monitoring program, SOM, upgrade Phase II."	17	current system and our approach and design of
18	And did you write the text that	18	our system.
19	appears in this box?	19	Q. All right. And "parameters
20	A. Yes.	20	inherently unique to account types," do you have
21	Q. All right. And you state, "Lead a	21	an understanding of what that means?
22	cross functional team with the goal of enhancing	22	A. Likely the different differences
23	the program logic within SAP to accurately and	23	between different types of account types. So
24		24	
24	efficiently determine legitimacy of CS orders	24	different types of customers, whether it's a
	Page 179		Page 180
1	large distributor, midsize distributor or, you	1	A. Yes.
2	know different class of trade.	2	Q. And then at the very bottom it
3	Q. When you use the term "class of	1 2	
		3	states, "Complete evaluation of current system V
4	trade," what does that mean?	4	states, "Complete evaluation of current system V  DEA requirements and prepare executive summary
4 5	trade," what does that mean?  A. Class of trade, is, you know, the		
		4	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary
5	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the	4 5	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present
5 6	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So	4 5 6	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with
5 6 7	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize	4 5 6 7	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by
5 6 7 8	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain	4 5 6 7 8	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."
5 6 7 8 9	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain distributor. So it's just the differentiator	4 5 6 7 8 9	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."  Do you remember who set that schedule
5 6 7 8 9	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain distributor. So it's just the differentiator for the different types of business classes.	4 5 6 7 8 9	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."  Do you remember who set that schedule for the Phase II of the upgrade of Watson's
5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain distributor. So it's just the differentiator for the different types of business classes.  Q. So would one class of trade be, as	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."  Do you remember who set that schedule for the Phase II of the upgrade of Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring program?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain distributor. So it's just the differentiator for the different types of business classes.  Q. So would one class of trade be, as you said, a large distributor?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."  Do you remember who set that schedule for the Phase II of the upgrade of Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring program?  A. It's likely myself, my manager.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain distributor. So it's just the differentiator for the different types of business classes.  Q. So would one class of trade be, as you said, a large distributor?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."  Do you remember who set that schedule for the Phase II of the upgrade of Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring program?  A. It's likely myself, my manager.  Q. Again, who was your manager?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain distributor. So it's just the differentiator for the different types of business classes.  Q. So would one class of trade be, as you said, a large distributor?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. Yes?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."  Do you remember who set that schedule for the Phase II of the upgrade of Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring program?  A. It's likely myself, my manager.  Q. Again, who was your manager?  A. Scott Soltis.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain distributor. So it's just the differentiator for the different types of business classes.  Q. So would one class of trade be, as you said, a large distributor?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. Yes?  A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."  Do you remember who set that schedule for the Phase II of the upgrade of Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring program?  A. It's likely myself, my manager.  Q. Again, who was your manager?  A. Scott Soltis.  Q. All right. So let's set this
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain distributor. So it's just the differentiator for the different types of business classes.  Q. So would one class of trade be, as you said, a large distributor?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. Yes?  A. Yes.  Q. And then the last one there says	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."  Do you remember who set that schedule for the Phase II of the upgrade of Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring program?  A. It's likely myself, my manager.  Q. Again, who was your manager?  A. Scott Soltis.  Q. All right. So let's set this document aside.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain distributor. So it's just the differentiator for the different types of business classes.  Q. So would one class of trade be, as you said, a large distributor?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. Yes?  A. Yes.  Q. And then the last one there says "validation process."	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."  Do you remember who set that schedule for the Phase II of the upgrade of Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring program?  A. It's likely myself, my manager.  Q. Again, who was your manager?  A. Scott Soltis.  Q. All right. So let's set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain distributor. So it's just the differentiator for the different types of business classes.  Q. So would one class of trade be, as you said, a large distributor?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. Yes?  A. Yes.  Q. And then the last one there says "validation process."  Then there is a bullet point, and it	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."  Do you remember who set that schedule for the Phase II of the upgrade of Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring program?  A. It's likely myself, my manager.  Q. Again, who was your manager?  A. Scott Soltis.  Q. All right. So let's set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 11, Customer Services Agreement - Statement of Work No. 1,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain distributor. So it's just the differentiator for the different types of business classes.  Q. So would one class of trade be, as you said, a large distributor?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. Yes?  A. Yes.  Q. And then the last one there says "validation process."  Then there is a bullet point, and it states, "Based on evaluation, a report will be	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."  Do you remember who set that schedule for the Phase II of the upgrade of Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring program?  A. It's likely myself, my manager. Q. Again, who was your manager? A. Scott Soltis. Q. All right. So let's set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 11, Customer Services Agreement - Statement of Work No. 1, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535028 through
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain distributor. So it's just the differentiator for the different types of business classes.  Q. So would one class of trade be, as you said, a large distributor?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. Yes?  A. Yes.  Q. And then the last one there says "validation process."  Then there is a bullet point, and it states, "Based on evaluation, a report will be generated that identifies any gaps and	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."  Do you remember who set that schedule for the Phase II of the upgrade of Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring program?  A. It's likely myself, my manager.  Q. Again, who was your manager?  A. Scott Soltis.  Q. All right. So let's set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 11, Customer Services Agreement - Statement of Work No. 1,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain distributor. So it's just the differentiator for the different types of business classes.  Q. So would one class of trade be, as you said, a large distributor?  A. Um-hmm. Yes. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. And then the last one there says "validation process."  Then there is a bullet point, and it states, "Based on evaluation, a report will be generated that identifies any gaps and recommended actions to ensure that the system is	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."  Do you remember who set that schedule for the Phase II of the upgrade of Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring program?  A. It's likely myself, my manager.  Q. Again, who was your manager?  A. Scott Soltis.  Q. All right. So let's set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 11, Customer Services Agreement - Statement of Work No. 1,  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535028 through 5030, marked for identification, as of this
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Class of trade, is, you know, the various different types of, of customers. So you might have a customer that is a midsize distributor, a large distributor, a chain distributor. So it's just the differentiator for the different types of business classes.  Q. So would one class of trade be, as you said, a large distributor?  A. Um-hmm. Yes. Q. Yes? A. Yes. Q. And then the last one there says "validation process."  Then there is a bullet point, and it states, "Based on evaluation, a report will be generated that identifies any gaps and recommended actions to ensure that the system is statistically defensible in compliance" "and	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DEA requirements and prepare executive summary by 4/30, 2011. Develop action plan and present to management by 6/1, and implement system with automated business system test environment by end of fourth quarter."  Do you remember who set that schedule for the Phase II of the upgrade of Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring program?  A. It's likely myself, my manager.  Q. Again, who was your manager?  A. Scott Soltis.  Q. All right. So let's set this document aside.  (Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 11, Customer Services Agreement - Statement of Work No. 1,  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535028 through 5030, marked for identification, as of this date.)

	Page 181		Page 182
1	Exhibit 11.	1	that?
2	(Handing.)	2	A. It was our, our project.
3	A. Thank you.	3	Q. And so speaking generally about the
4	Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've	4	Buzzeo entity, I think you had said today that
5	marked as Exhibit 11? While you're looking at	5	you had worked with them previously in the
6	it, I'll read into the record it's	6	course of your work at Watson; is that right?
7	ALLERGAN_MDL_03535028 through 5030.	7	A. Correct.
8	When you're ready, when you're ready,	8	Q. And as you think of it, did you work
9	can you tell me what this appears to you to be?	9	with consultants other than Buzzeo that provided
10	(Document review.)	10	similar services while you were at Watson?
11	A. Yes. It's a Statement of Work from	11	A. I mean primarily from a consulting
12	Buzzeo PDMA, also known as Cegedim. And it's to	12	standpoint, we would utilize Buzzeo. I'm trying
13	conduct what we discussed in my, my goals and my	13	to think if there are any other
14	performance review to do an analysis of our SOM	14	There may have been another firm, but
15	program and discuss our approach, meet with IT	15	I'm just drawing a blank right now.
16	and compliance teams, discuss data, and the, the	16	Q. Do you remember whether with regards
17	current model and any improvements that can be	17	to the Phase II that you talked about in your
18	made.	18	annual review for the Suspicious Order
19	Q. So with regard to this Statement of	19	Monitoring program at Watson, whether you
20	Work No. 1, do you know who would have who	20	entertained bids or proposals from anyone other
21	would have, at Watson, negotiated this statement	21	than Buzzeo PDMA?
22	of work with Buzzeo?	22	A. Perhaps ValueCentric, but I can't be
23	A. Likely myself and Scott Soltis.	23	100 percent sure.
24	Q. All right. And what makes you think	24	Q. So what do you know about
	Page 183		Page 184
	5	1	1030 101
1	ValueCentric?	1	
1 2		1 2	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me
	ValueCentric?		
2	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization	2	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it
2	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the	2 3	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?
2 3 4	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.	2 3 4	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm.
2 3 4 5	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it</li><li>July 28th, 2011?</li><li>A. Um-hmm.</li><li>(Document review.)</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?	2 3 4 5	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm.  (Document review.)  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm.  (Document review.)  A. Yes.  Q. So before that time, as the agreement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm. (Document review.)  A. Yes. Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when you were at Watson or Actavis, your group ever contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  A. My group did not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm.  (Document review.)  A. Yes.  Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in particular at Buzzeo PDMA that you worked with to get a mutual understanding of the scope of the project and the cost?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when you were at Watson or Actavis, your group ever contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  A. My group did not.  Q. Do you know if anyone at Watson	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm. (Document review.)  A. Yes. Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in particular at Buzzeo PDMA that you worked with to get a mutual understanding of the scope of the project and the cost?  A. Yeah. Likely it was an individual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when you were at Watson or Actavis, your group ever contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  A. My group did not.  Q. Do you know if anyone at Watson contracted with the ValueCentric entity?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm. (Document review.)  A. Yes. Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in particular at Buzzeo PDMA that you worked with to get a mutual understanding of the scope of the project and the cost?  A. Yeah. Likely it was an individual named Paul Hamby, H-a-m-b-y, and Bob Williamson,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when you were at Watson or Actavis, your group ever contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  A. My group did not.  Q. Do you know if anyone at Watson contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm. (Document review.)  A. Yes. Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in particular at Buzzeo PDMA that you worked with to get a mutual understanding of the scope of the project and the cost?  A. Yeah. Likely it was an individual named Paul Hamby, H-a-m-b-y, and Bob Williamson, common spelling.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when you were at Watson or Actavis, your group ever contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  A. My group did not.  Q. Do you know if anyone at Watson contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I believe sales and marketing may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm. (Document review.)  A. Yes. Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in particular at Buzzeo PDMA that you worked with to get a mutual understanding of the scope of the project and the cost?  A. Yeah. Likely it was an individual named Paul Hamby, H-a-m-b-y, and Bob Williamson, common spelling.  Q. And Mr. Hamby I think we mentioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when you were at Watson or Actavis, your group ever contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  A. My group did not.  Q. Do you know if anyone at Watson contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I believe sales and marketing may have utilized their services.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm. (Document review.)  A. Yes. Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in particular at Buzzeo PDMA that you worked with to get a mutual understanding of the scope of the project and the cost?  A. Yeah. Likely it was an individual named Paul Hamby, H-a-m-b-y, and Bob Williamson, common spelling.  Q. And Mr. Hamby I think we mentioned earlier today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when you were at Watson or Actavis, your group ever contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  A. My group did not.  Q. Do you know if anyone at Watson contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I believe sales and marketing may have utilized their services.  Q. Okay. Anybody else that you know of?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm. (Document review.)  A. Yes. Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in particular at Buzzeo PDMA that you worked with to get a mutual understanding of the scope of the project and the cost?  A. Yeah. Likely it was an individual named Paul Hamby, H-a-m-b-y, and Bob Williamson, common spelling. Q. And Mr. Hamby I think we mentioned earlier today.  When did you first meet Mr. Hamby?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when you were at Watson or Actavis, your group ever contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  A. My group did not.  Q. Do you know if anyone at Watson contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I believe sales and marketing may have utilized their services.  Q. Okay. Anybody else that you know of?  A. No, I don't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm. (Document review.)  A. Yes. Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in particular at Buzzeo PDMA that you worked with to get a mutual understanding of the scope of the project and the cost?  A. Yeah. Likely it was an individual named Paul Hamby, H-a-m-b-y, and Bob Williamson, common spelling. Q. And Mr. Hamby I think we mentioned earlier today.  When did you first meet Mr. Hamby?  A. Likely in the mid-2000s at a Buzzeo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when you were at Watson or Actavis, your group ever contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  A. My group did not.  Q. Do you know if anyone at Watson contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I believe sales and marketing may have utilized their services.  Q. Okay. Anybody else that you know of?  A. No, I don't.  Q. All right. So with regard to this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm. (Document review.)  A. Yes. Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in particular at Buzzeo PDMA that you worked with to get a mutual understanding of the scope of the project and the cost?  A. Yeah. Likely it was an individual named Paul Hamby, H-a-m-b-y, and Bob Williamson, common spelling.  Q. And Mr. Hamby I think we mentioned earlier today.  When did you first meet Mr. Hamby?  A. Likely in the mid-2000s at a Buzzeo conference.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when you were at Watson or Actavis, your group ever contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  A. My group did not.  Q. Do you know if anyone at Watson contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I believe sales and marketing may have utilized their services.  Q. Okay. Anybody else that you know of?  A. No, I don't.  Q. All right. So with regard to this Statement of Work No. 1, it's marked as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm. (Document review.)  A. Yes. Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in particular at Buzzeo PDMA that you worked with to get a mutual understanding of the scope of the project and the cost?  A. Yeah. Likely it was an individual named Paul Hamby, H-a-m-b-y, and Bob Williamson, common spelling.  Q. And Mr. Hamby I think we mentioned earlier today.  When did you first meet Mr. Hamby?  A. Likely in the mid-2000s at a Buzzeo conference.  Q. How about Mr. Williamson?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when you were at Watson or Actavis, your group ever contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  A. My group did not.  Q. Do you know if anyone at Watson contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I believe sales and marketing may have utilized their services.  Q. Okay. Anybody else that you know of?  A. No, I don't.  Q. All right. So with regard to this Statement of Work No. 1, it's marked as Exhibit 11, who in particular, if anyone, at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm. (Document review.)  A. Yes. Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in particular at Buzzeo PDMA that you worked with to get a mutual understanding of the scope of the project and the cost?  A. Yeah. Likely it was an individual named Paul Hamby, H-a-m-b-y, and Bob Williamson, common spelling. Q. And Mr. Hamby I think we mentioned earlier today.  When did you first meet Mr. Hamby?  A. Likely in the mid-2000s at a Buzzeo conference. Q. How about Mr. Williamson? A. Same. Mr. Caverly we talked about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when you were at Watson or Actavis, your group ever contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  A. My group did not.  Q. Do you know if anyone at Watson contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I believe sales and marketing may have utilized their services.  Q. Okay. Anybody else that you know of?  A. No, I don't.  Q. All right. So with regard to this Statement of Work No. 1, it's marked as Exhibit 11, who in particular, if anyone, at the Buzzeo PDMA group did you negotiate with?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm. (Document review.)  A. Yes. Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in particular at Buzzeo PDMA that you worked with to get a mutual understanding of the scope of the project and the cost?  A. Yeah. Likely it was an individual named Paul Hamby, H-a-m-b-y, and Bob Williamson, common spelling. Q. And Mr. Hamby I think we mentioned earlier today.  When did you first meet Mr. Hamby?  A. Likely in the mid-2000s at a Buzzeo conference. Q. How about Mr. Williamson? A. Same. Mr. Caverly we talked about earlier now. This is the first introduction to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when you were at Watson or Actavis, your group ever contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  A. My group did not.  Q. Do you know if anyone at Watson contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I believe sales and marketing may have utilized their services.  Q. Okay. Anybody else that you know of?  A. No, I don't.  Q. All right. So with regard to this Statement of Work No. 1, it's marked as Exhibit 11, who in particular, if anyone, at the Buzzeo PDMA group did you negotiate," can you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm. (Document review.)  A. Yes. Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in particular at Buzzeo PDMA that you worked with to get a mutual understanding of the scope of the project and the cost?  A. Yeah. Likely it was an individual named Paul Hamby, H-a-m-b-y, and Bob Williamson, common spelling. Q. And Mr. Hamby I think we mentioned earlier today.  When did you first meet Mr. Hamby?  A. Likely in the mid-2000s at a Buzzeo conference. Q. How about Mr. Williamson? A. Same. Mr. Caverly we talked about earlier now. This is the first introduction to Paul, I believe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ValueCentric?  A. ValueCentric is another organization that is in the business of providing data to the pharmaceutical industry.  Q. Can you think of a particular person that you recognize as a contact at ValueCentric?  A. No.  Q. Do you know whether you were when you were at Watson or Actavis, your group ever contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  A. My group did not.  Q. Do you know if anyone at Watson contracted with the ValueCentric entity?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I believe sales and marketing may have utilized their services.  Q. Okay. Anybody else that you know of?  A. No, I don't.  Q. All right. So with regard to this Statement of Work No. 1, it's marked as Exhibit 11, who in particular, if anyone, at the Buzzeo PDMA group did you negotiate with?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. So this document appears to me to be signed by Mr. Soltis, I think, is it July 28th, 2011?  A. Um-hmm. (Document review.)  A. Yes. Q. So before that time, as the agreement was coming together, is there anyone in particular at Buzzeo PDMA that you worked with to get a mutual understanding of the scope of the project and the cost?  A. Yeah. Likely it was an individual named Paul Hamby, H-a-m-b-y, and Bob Williamson, common spelling. Q. And Mr. Hamby I think we mentioned earlier today.  When did you first meet Mr. Hamby?  A. Likely in the mid-2000s at a Buzzeo conference. Q. How about Mr. Williamson? A. Same. Mr. Caverly we talked about earlier now. This is the first introduction to

	Page 185		Page 186
1	this document aside for now.	1	Cegedim Dendrite," and it states "Thursday,
2	(Witness complies.)	2	September 8th, 2011."
3	BY MR. EGLER:	3	Do you remember this particular
4	Q. I'll hand you what we will mark as	4	meeting?
5	Exhibit 12.	5	A. I don't.
6	(Napoli Exhibit 12, Meeting Minutes	6	Q. Can you, can you tell from the
7	dated 9/8/11 ALLERGAN_MDL_02176488 through	7	context of this where this meeting would have
8	6492, marked for identification, as of this	8	been held?
9	date.)	9	A. Likely in our Parsippany office.
10	BY MR. EGLER:	10	Q. Do you remember having a meeting like
11	Q. And Mr. Napoli, can you look at what	11	this with people from Cegedim Dendrite in
12	I've marked as Exhibit 12?	12	September 2011?
13	And while you're looking at it, I'll	13	A. Yes.
14	note for the record that it's numbered	14	Q. And there's a person there under
15	ALLERGAN_MDL_02176488 through 6492.	15	attendees, Robert C. Williamson.
16	When you're ready, can you tell me if	16	Is that Bob Williamson Bob
		17	Williamson that you were talking about before?
17 18	you recognize this document?  A. I do recognize it.	18	A. Bob Williamson, yes.
	Q. What is it?	19	Q. And there's the name underneath
19	-	20	there Jonathan Kuhn, Ph.D.
20	A. It looks like a meeting minutes from	21	Do you know Mr. Kuhn or Dr. Kuhn?
21	an initial meeting that we had with Cegedim	22	A. Yes. He's a statistician who worked
22	regarding the SOMS assessment.	23	for Cegedim.
23	Q. So in the subject, on the first page,		
24	page 88 states, "SOMS meeting system evaluation,	24	Q. And then there are various people
	Page 187		Page 188
1	listed beyond there; Scott Soltis, Mary Woods,	1	Q. As you read this, do you know who
2	Larry Schaffer, Justin Park, Laura Pinti, Sandra	2	would have typed the text in there that appears
3	Simmons, Lisa Scott, Lynn DaCunha, Jaydeep	3	there?
4	Shukla, Rick Robbins, and Napoleon Clarke.	4	A. No.
5	Did all those people that I just read	5	Q. Do you think it was you?
6	their names, did all those people work at	6	A. No.
7	Watson?	7	Q. So it states, "Overview of
8	A. Yes.	8	organization," and it says, "Anda not included
9	Q. All right. I don't think we have	9	in the scope of this project."
10	seen the name Jaydeep Shukla earlier today. Who	10	What is Anda, as you understand it in
11	is is it Mr. or Ms. Shukla?	11	the context of Watson?
12	A. Jaydeep eventually joined our DEA	12	A. Anda is a pharmaceutical distributor
13	affairs team as an associate or a DEA compliance	13	that, when we acquired Andrx, they were a part
14	specialist.	14	of that organization. But they were treated as
15	Q. All right. And then Rick Robbins,	15	a separate entity. They weren't part of our
16	who is Rick Robbins?	16	when it came to compliance or anything, they
17	A. Rick Robbins and Napoleon were both	17	were a separate entity.
18	in sales and marketing.	18	Q. And then the next line down there, it
19	Q. All right. So and the front seems	19	states, "Enable and sustain growth of our
20	to be a discussion of what is going on at the	20	business" and, dash, "\$498 million of C/S
21	meeting.	21	products sold in 2010 with top 5 products,
22	And the third entry down there, it	22	hydrocodone, oxycodone, fentanyl and
23	say, "Agenda," and then in parentheses, "Tom."	23	methylphenidate."
24	A. Um-hmm.	24	A. Yes.
1			

	Page 193		Page 194
1	the system wasn't pulling up enough suspicious	1	A. Not specifically. I mean, we already
2	orders?	2	looked at hydrocodone as a molecule, which would
3	MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.	3	include Norco.
4	A. The system wasn't pulling up	4	Q. Was there any discussion of whether
5	suspicious orders. The system was pulling up	5	to add more variables or data to examine the
6	orders of interest that were pending and it I	6	known diversion issues with the hydrocodone
7	would not say it didn't pull up enough. I think	7	molecule?
8	we pulled up a lot of orders that were, I would	8	A. Again, known diversion issues what
9	say, false positives that we had to had to	9	known diversion issues are you talking about?
10	work through.	10	Q. So we are talking about the Exhibit,
11	Q. Was there ever any consideration that	11	I think, 8 before, the email
12	the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson	12	(Document review.)
13	around this time in 2011 was not pulling up or	13	BY MR. EGLER:
14	not pending orders that were suspicious or would	14	Q. What we marked as Exhibit 8, there is
15	be suspicious if examined?	15	a statement from Mr. Herrera that says, "The
16	A. No.	16	agents were" "that were interested were from
17	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.	17	the San Diego field office, and there was a
18	BY MR. EGLER:	18	presentation by SD County prosecutor that keyed
19	Q. Was there ever any discussion of the,	19	on the diversion wave in SD, especially Watson
20	for example, the Norco diversion issue and	20	hydro and Norco really hard."
21	whether the Suspicious Order Monitoring System	21	Do you remember, and this is
22	could be tuned to better examine issues raised	22	April 2010, do you remember in 2011 when
23	to the diversion of Norco?	23	recalibrating the Suspicious Order Monitoring
24	MR. KNAPP: Form and foundation.	24	System at Watson, whether there was ever any
	Page 195		Page 196
1	discussion of finding data or variables that	1	they say they heard in a conversation with, with
1 2	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego	1 2	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.
2	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?	2 3	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication
2 3 4	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS	2 3 4	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as
2 3 4 5	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA	2 3 4 5	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is
2 3 4 5 6	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated	2 3 4 5 6	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's
2 3 4 5 6 7	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our	2 3 4 5 6 7	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants on the formulas or algorithms they were to use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me that they are having a real tough time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants on the formulas or algorithms they were to use in constructing their Suspicious Order	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me that they are having a real tough time hearing, so I wanted to slide this over, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants on the formulas or algorithms they were to use in constructing their Suspicious Order Monitoring systems; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me that they are having a real tough time hearing, so I wanted to slide this over, if you don't mine, a little.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants on the formulas or algorithms they were to use in constructing their Suspicious Order Monitoring systems; is that right?  A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me that they are having a real tough time hearing, so I wanted to slide this over, if you don't mine, a little.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants on the formulas or algorithms they were to use in constructing their Suspicious Order Monitoring systems; is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. And was there any consideration at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me that they are having a real tough time hearing, so I wanted to slide this over, if you don't mine, a little.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sure.  MR. KNAPP: If you can just try to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants on the formulas or algorithms they were to use in constructing their Suspicious Order Monitoring systems; is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. And was there any consideration at Watson at this time after having been told by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me that they are having a real tough time hearing, so I wanted to slide this over, if you don't mine, a little.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sure.  MR. KNAPP: If you can just try to keep your voice up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants on the formulas or algorithms they were to use in constructing their Suspicious Order Monitoring systems; is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. And was there any consideration at Watson at this time after having been told by the San Diego field office of the DEA that there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me that they are having a real tough time hearing, so I wanted to slide this over, if you don't mine, a little.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sure.  MR. KNAPP: If you can just try to keep your voice up.  THE WITNESS: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants on the formulas or algorithms they were to use in constructing their Suspicious Order Monitoring systems; is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. And was there any consideration at Watson at this time after having been told by the San Diego field office of the DEA that there was a diversion wave in San Diego that there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me that they are having a real tough time hearing, so I wanted to slide this over, if you don't mine, a little.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sure.  MR. KNAPP: If you can just try to keep your voice up.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  MR. KNAPP: Thanks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants on the formulas or algorithms they were to use in constructing their Suspicious Order Monitoring systems; is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. And was there any consideration at Watson at this time after having been told by the San Diego field office of the DEA that there was a diversion wave in San Diego that there should be some analysis of how to account for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me that they are having a real tough time hearing, so I wanted to slide this over, if you don't mine, a little.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sure.  MR. KNAPP: If you can just try to keep your voice up.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  MR. KNAPP: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants on the formulas or algorithms they were to use in constructing their Suspicious Order Monitoring systems; is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. And was there any consideration at Watson at this time after having been told by the San Diego field office of the DEA that there was a diversion wave in San Diego that there should be some analysis of how to account for that wave or analyze it or anything?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me that they are having a real tough time hearing, so I wanted to slide this over, if you don't mine, a little.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sure.  MR. KNAPP: If you can just try to keep your voice up.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  MR. KNAPP: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. With regard to the, the DEA field
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants on the formulas or algorithms they were to use in constructing their Suspicious Order Monitoring systems; is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. And was there any consideration at Watson at this time after having been told by the San Diego field office of the DEA that there was a diversion wave in San Diego that there should be some analysis of how to account for that wave or analyze it or anything?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me that they are having a real tough time hearing, so I wanted to slide this over, if you don't mine, a little.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sure.  MR. KNAPP: If you can just try to keep your voice up.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  MR. KNAPP: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. With regard to the, the DEA field office and the DEA in general, Watson provided
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants on the formulas or algorithms they were to use in constructing their Suspicious Order Monitoring systems; is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. And was there any consideration at Watson at this time after having been told by the San Diego field office of the DEA that there was a diversion wave in San Diego that there should be some analysis of how to account for that wave or analyze it or anything?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered multiple times.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me that they are having a real tough time hearing, so I wanted to slide this over, if you don't mine, a little.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sure.  MR. KNAPP: If you can just try to keep your voice up.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  MR. KNAPP: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. With regard to the, the DEA field office and the DEA in general, Watson provided the the I can't remember the name.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants on the formulas or algorithms they were to use in constructing their Suspicious Order Monitoring systems; is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. And was there any consideration at Watson at this time after having been told by the San Diego field office of the DEA that there was a diversion wave in San Diego that there should be some analysis of how to account for that wave or analyze it or anything?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered multiple times.  A. And I'll state that, you know, you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me that they are having a real tough time hearing, so I wanted to slide this over, if you don't mine, a little.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sure.  MR. KNAPP: If you can just try to keep your voice up.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  MR. KNAPP: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. With regard to the, the DEA field office and the DEA in general, Watson provided the the I can't remember the name.  What are the fake pills?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	discussion of finding data or variables that would help to track diversion in, say, San Diego County, California?  A. No. Our system designed for our SOMS program was in accordance with the DEA regulations for us to identify or that deviated in size, pattern or frequency with, with our trading partners, with our partners. That's what it was geared towards.  Q. The DEA didn't instruct registrants on the formulas or algorithms they were to use in constructing their Suspicious Order Monitoring systems; is that right?  A. That's correct.  Q. And was there any consideration at Watson at this time after having been told by the San Diego field office of the DEA that there was a diversion wave in San Diego that there should be some analysis of how to account for that wave or analyze it or anything?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered multiple times.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they say they heard in a conversation with, with someone from San Diego.  There was no official communication from anyone in California or San Diego to us as an organization about a wave. So this is someone's you're taking someone's interpretation of an event that they went to.  Q. Did you  MR. LUXTON: Sorry to interrupt, but some of the people on the phone notified me that they are having a real tough time hearing, so I wanted to slide this over, if you don't mine, a little.  THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sure.  MR. KNAPP: If you can just try to keep your voice up.  THE WITNESS: Sure.  MR. KNAPP: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. With regard to the, the DEA field office and the DEA in general, Watson provided the the I can't remember the name.

	Page 197		Page 198
1	Q. Watson provided the placebo pills to	1	A. They were looking to do a reverse buy
2	the DEA as part of their processes; is that	2	because of illicit activities with product.
3	right?	3	Q. So did you ever ask the DEA what the
4	A. Right.	4	illicit activities that the DEA thought were
5	Q. And when you or whoever at Watson	5	going on were?
6	talked to the DEA agents, did you get an	6	A. The DEA nor any law enforcement
7	understanding of why they were asking for	7	agency is going to share any active
8	placebo Norco pills?	8	investigation details with you.
9	A. If they requested Norco, yes.	9	Q. After the DEA requested the Norco
10	Q. What was your understanding of why	10	placebo pills, did you do any media analysis or
11	they were asking for placebo Norco pills?	11	court docket analysis to determine whether
12	A. To perform a reverse buy because of	12	Watson's drugs were part of an indictment or
13	an illicit diversion.	13	bust or publicized investigation anywhere in the
14	Q. Did the Suspicious Order Monitoring	14	country?
15	System at Watson ever take into account the	15	A. As part of our, our security
16	issues raised by the DEA in seeking the placebo	16	department's charge, we obviously would monitor
17	pills?	17	the federal register or media for any type of
18	A. Can you clarify?	18	appearance of our product that involved in any
19	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.	19	type of activities.
20	BY MR. EGLER:	20	Q. But particularly, after the DEA asked
21	Q. Do you know why the DEA was asking	21	you for the fake pills, the placebos, did you
22	for the placebo pills?	22	ever try to follow up in the media or court
23	A. I just explained that.	23	records to determine if they busted somebody for
24	Q. Can you say it again? I just	24	trying to buy the pills?
	Page 199		7 000
	rage 199		Page 200
1	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked	1	would not be solely for Norco either. So it
1 2		1 2	
	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked		would not be solely for Norco either. So it
2	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.	2	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.
2	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall.	2 3	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was
2 3 4	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall.  Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go	2 3 4	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?
2 3 4 5	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall.  Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything	2 3 4 5	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way
2 3 4 5 6	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of	2 3 4 5 6	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was,	2 3 4 5 6 7	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of activities.  Q. Do you remember ever finding anything out about it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?  A. When you say "diversion issues,"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of activities. Q. Do you remember ever finding anything out about it?  A. Not about this particular case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of activities. Q. Do you remember ever finding anything out about it?  A. Not about this particular case. Q. Well, do you know whether all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?  A. When you say "diversion issues," where, where I'm trying to get an understanding is "diversion" is kind of a blanket term.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of activities. Q. Do you remember ever finding anything out about it?  A. Not about this particular case. Q. Well, do you know whether all the pills that the DEA got from Norco or got from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?  A. When you say "diversion issues," where, where I'm trying to get an understanding is "diversion" is kind of a blanket term.  There's different types of diversion. You can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of activities.  Q. Do you remember ever finding anything out about it?  A. Not about this particular case. Q. Well, do you know whether all the pills that the DEA got from Norco or got from Watson, all the placebo pills were used in one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?  A. When you say "diversion issues," where, where I'm trying to get an understanding is "diversion" is kind of a blanket term.  There's different types of diversion. You can have a cargo theft. You can have a loss in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of activities. Q. Do you remember ever finding anything out about it?  A. Not about this particular case. Q. Well, do you know whether all the pills that the DEA got from Norco or got from Watson, all the placebo pills were used in one case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?  A. When you say "diversion issues," where, where I'm trying to get an understanding is "diversion" is kind of a blanket term.  There's different types of diversion. You can have a cargo theft. You can have a loss in transit. You can have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of activities.  Q. Do you remember ever finding anything out about it?  A. Not about this particular case. Q. Well, do you know whether all the pills that the DEA got from Norco or got from Watson, all the placebo pills were used in one case?  A. I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?  A. When you say "diversion issues," where, where I'm trying to get an understanding is "diversion" is kind of a blanket term.  There's different types of diversion. You can have a cargo theft. You can have a loss in transit. You can have a theft. You can have a non-righteous prescription. So you it's kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of activities.  Q. Do you remember ever finding anything out about it?  A. Not about this particular case. Q. Well, do you know whether all the pills that the DEA got from Norco or got from Watson, all the placebo pills were used in one case?  A. I don't know. Q. As you think about it over, say, 2010	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?  A. When you say "diversion issues," where, where I'm trying to get an understanding is "diversion" is kind of a blanket term.  There's different types of diversion. You can have a cargo theft. You can have a loss in transit. You can have a theft. You can have a non-righteous prescription. So you it's kind of a broad term that you're using.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of activities. Q. Do you remember ever finding anything out about it?  A. Not about this particular case. Q. Well, do you know whether all the pills that the DEA got from Norco or got from Watson, all the placebo pills were used in one case?  A. I don't know. Q. As you think about it over, say, 2010 and 2011, do you have an understanding of how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?  A. When you say "diversion issues," where, where I'm trying to get an understanding is "diversion" is kind of a blanket term. There's different types of diversion. You can have a cargo theft. You can have a loss in transit. You can have a theft. You can have a non-righteous prescription. So you it's kind of a broad term that you're using.  So what we did was, with our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of activities. Q. Do you remember ever finding anything out about it?  A. Not about this particular case. Q. Well, do you know whether all the pills that the DEA got from Norco or got from Watson, all the placebo pills were used in one case?  A. I don't know. Q. As you think about it over, say, 2010 and 2011, do you have an understanding of how many bottles of placebo Norco Watson supplied to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?  A. When you say "diversion issues," where, where I'm trying to get an understanding is "diversion" is kind of a blanket term.  There's different types of diversion. You can have a cargo theft. You can have a loss in transit. You can have a theft. You can have a non-righteous prescription. So you it's kind of a broad term that you're using.  So what we did was, with our Suspicious Order Monitoring program, is that we,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of activities.  Q. Do you remember ever finding anything out about it?  A. Not about this particular case. Q. Well, do you know whether all the pills that the DEA got from Norco or got from Watson, all the placebo pills were used in one case?  A. I don't know. Q. As you think about it over, say, 2010 and 2011, do you have an understanding of how many bottles of placebo Norco Watson supplied to the DEA?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?  A. When you say "diversion issues," where, where I'm trying to get an understanding is "diversion" is kind of a blanket term.  There's different types of diversion. You can have a cargo theft. You can have a loss in transit. You can have a theft. You can have a non-righteous prescription. So you it's kind of a broad term that you're using.  So what we did was, with our Suspicious Order Monitoring program, is that we, we designed our system, as many other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of activities. Q. Do you remember ever finding anything out about it?  A. Not about this particular case. Q. Well, do you know whether all the pills that the DEA got from Norco or got from Watson, all the placebo pills were used in one case?  A. I don't know. Q. As you think about it over, say, 2010 and 2011, do you have an understanding of how many bottles of placebo Norco Watson supplied to the DEA?  A. I don't, but it wouldn't it wasn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?  A. When you say "diversion issues," where, where I'm trying to get an understanding is "diversion" is kind of a blanket term.  There's different types of diversion. You can have a cargo theft. You can have a loss in transit. You can have a theft. You can have a non-righteous prescription. So you it's kind of a broad term that you're using.  So what we did was, with our Suspicious Order Monitoring program, is that we, we designed our system, as many other registrants did, to ensure that we are meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of activities. Q. Do you remember ever finding anything out about it?  A. Not about this particular case. Q. Well, do you know whether all the pills that the DEA got from Norco or got from Watson, all the placebo pills were used in one case?  A. I don't know. Q. As you think about it over, say, 2010 and 2011, do you have an understanding of how many bottles of placebo Norco Watson supplied to the DEA?  A. I don't, but it wouldn't it wasn't a lot. We didn't get a it wasn't a high	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?  A. When you say "diversion issues," where, where I'm trying to get an understanding is "diversion" is kind of a blanket term.  There's different types of diversion. You can have a cargo theft. You can have a loss in transit. You can have a theft. You can have a non-righteous prescription. So you it's kind of a broad term that you're using.  So what we did was, with our Suspicious Order Monitoring program, is that we, we designed our system, as many other registrants did, to ensure that we are meeting our compliance under the Code of Federal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. Asked and answered.  A. I don't recall. Q. Did you ever instruct anyone to go keep this on a tickler file to see if anything ever came up about it?  A. We had a proactive posture as it was, so we continually monitored for these type of activities. Q. Do you remember ever finding anything out about it?  A. Not about this particular case. Q. Well, do you know whether all the pills that the DEA got from Norco or got from Watson, all the placebo pills were used in one case?  A. I don't know. Q. As you think about it over, say, 2010 and 2011, do you have an understanding of how many bottles of placebo Norco Watson supplied to the DEA?  A. I don't, but it wouldn't it wasn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would not be solely for Norco either. So it could be any particular product.  Q. But you are aware that the DEA was asking for placebo pills of Norco, right?  A. Right. I think it was ten bottles.  Q. And when you met with Buzzeo, the Buzzeo people, did you ask if there was a way that you could tune the Suspicious Order Monitoring System to examine any diversion issues with regard to Norco?  A. When you say "diversion issues," where, where I'm trying to get an understanding is "diversion" is kind of a blanket term.  There's different types of diversion. You can have a cargo theft. You can have a loss in transit. You can have a theft. You can have a non-righteous prescription. So you it's kind of a broad term that you're using.  So what we did was, with our Suspicious Order Monitoring program, is that we, we designed our system, as many other registrants did, to ensure that we are meeting

1 System, as you understand it, typically uses 2 retrospective data; is that right? 2		Page 201		Page 202
between us and our direct customer. And, again, our Know Your Customer aspect of our, of our program dealt with getting more into who their customers were, what the usages were, as well as any type of follow-up investigative work. But as far the expectation for a SOMS program, to be able to reach that far down into the supply chain is just not realistic.  Q. Well, Watson reached that, reached that far down into the supply chain to examine whether orders should be cleared; is that right?  A. We reached down to the distributor level. And if the distributor level. And if the distributor level were distributing to. So that would ask them for the third-party information as to who they were distributing to. So that weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies. Q. There were instances when Watson's customers service group reached out to the sales and marketing people to or weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies. Q. There were instances when Watson's customers service group reached out to the sales and marketing people for market of a generic opioid drug, that was all done with our dreet customer. We weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies.  Q. There were instances when Watson's customers service group reached out to the sales and marketing people for market diata to determine whether pended orders should be eleared; is that right?  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history is Q. Right. A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history is Q. Right. Q. An average of the past six months? A. Peah, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the average looks like. Q. And do you know how current the IMS data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010 going forward was? A. If's possible depending on the circumstance. Q. But it's your understanding that there was never an official policy that would reach out to the sales and marketing people to the there are norder should penned; is that right? A. I's in the distribution whether gene in	1	responsibility, which was the relationship	1	MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.
our Know Your Customer aspect of our, of our program dealt with getting more into who their customers were, what the usages were, as well as any type of follow-up investigative work. But as far the expectation for a SOMS program, to be able to reach that far down into the supply ehain to examine whether orders should be cleared; is that right?  O. Well, Watson reached that, reached that far down into the supply shain to examine whether orders should be cleared; is that right?  A. We reached down to the distributor level did not level. And if the distributor level did not level. And if the distributor level did not would ask them for the third-party information as to who they were distributing to. So that was all done with our direct customer. We weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies.  O. There were instances when Watson's customer service group reached out to the sales and marketing people for market data to get a customer service group reached that, reached that the subject shall be cleared; is that right?  Page 203  System, as you understand it, typically uses retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history.  Page 203  System, as you understand it, typically uses retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're actually basing a current order base on a six-month history is retrospective data; is that right?  A. Ne're actually basing a current order base on a six-month history is retrospective data; is that right?  A. Right.  O. So, for example, the IMS data that, that that Watson bought that gave a view of ath entire market of a generic opioid drug, that was never incorporated into Watson is a customer service group reached that to suspicious Order Monitoring System, the automated part; is that right?  MR. LUXTON: Foundation.  A. I'm not an expert on IMS data. I do know that there are limitations to that data. It's retrospective data; is that right?  2 based on a six-month history.  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month h	2		2	· ·
there was never an official policy that would reach out to the sales and marketing people to able to reach that far down into the supply chain is just not realistic.  Q. Well, Waston reached that, reached that far down into the supply chain is just not realistic.  A. We reached down to the distributor whether orders should be cleared; is that right? Level. And if the distributor level did not have a satisfactory data for us, we could we level and ask them for the third-party information as to who they were distributing to. So that was all done with our direct customer. We level reaching out to individual pharmacies.  Q. There were instances when Watson's customer service group reached out to the sales and marketing people to whether orders should penned; is that right?  Page 203  System, as you understand it, typically uses retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're ached penned orders should be cleared; is that right?  Page 203  System, as you understand it, typically uses retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history is giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a curre	3		3	circumstance.
there was never an official policy that would reach out to the sales and marketing people to able to reach that far down into the supply chain is just not realistic.  Q. Well, Waston reached that, reached that far down into the supply chain is just not realistic.  A. We reached down to the distributor whether orders should be cleared; is that right? Level. And if the distributor level did not have a satisfactory data for us, we could we level and ask them for the third-party information as to who they were distributing to. So that was all done with our direct customer. We level reaching out to individual pharmacies.  Q. There were instances when Watson's customer service group reached out to the sales and marketing people to whether orders should penned; is that right?  Page 203  System, as you understand it, typically uses retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're ached penned orders should be cleared; is that right?  Page 203  System, as you understand it, typically uses retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history is giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a curre	4	-	4	Q. But it's your understanding that
any type of follow-up investigative work. But as far the expectation for a SOMS program, to be able to reach that far down into the supply chain is just not realistic.  O. Well, Watson reached that, reached that far down into the supply chain to examine whether an order should penned; is that right?  A. Right. O. So, for example, the IMS data that, that Watson bought that gave a view of a the entire market of a generic opioid drug, that wa never incorporated into Watson's or Actavis's Suspicious Order Monitoring System, the automated part; is that right?  MR. KNAPP: Foundation.  A. I'm not an expert on IMS data. I do know that there are limitations to that data.  It's retrospective as well as I don't know if cleared, is that right?  A. We're actually busing a current order based on a six-month history is retrospective; is that right?  A. Yeala, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the average looks like.  Page 203  Page 204  Page 205  Page 205  Page 206  Page 207  A. We're actually busing a current order based on a six-month history is retrospective; is that right?  A. Yeala, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the average looks like.  A. Idon't. And, again, I'm just to reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody at Watson or anywhere else about what the currentness of any IMS data that was usled to world be?  20 A. Yes.	5		5	
as far the expectation for a SOMS program, to be able to reach that far down into the supply chain is just not realistic.  Q. Well, Watson reached that, reached that far down into the supply chain to examine whether orders should be cleared; is that right?  A. We reached down to the distributor to level. And if the distributor level did not as to who they were distributing to. So that was a satisfactory data for us, we could – we would ask them for the third-party information as to who they were distributing to. So that was all done with our direct customer. We weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies.  Q. There were instances when Watson's customer service group reached out to the sales and marketing people for market data to determine whether pended orders should be cleared; is that right?  Page 203  System, as you understand it, typically uses retrospective data; is that right?  Page 204  Page 205  Page 206  Page 207  Page 207  Page 208  Page 209  Page 2	6	_	6	* · ·
able to reach that far down into the supply chain is just not realistic.  Q. Well, Watson reached that, reached that far down into the supply chain to examine whether orders should be cleared; is that right?  A. We reached down to the distributor level. And if the distributor level did not level. And the analyse level. And the level. A	7		7	* · ·
9 chain is just not realistic. 10 Q. Well, Watson reached that, reached 11 that far down into the supply chain to examine 12 whether orders should be cleared; is that right? 13 A. We reached down to the distributor 14 level. And if the distributor level did not 15 have a satisfactory data for us, we could we 16 would ask them for the third-party information 17 as to who they were distributing to. So that 18 was all done with our direct customer. We 19 weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies. 20 Q. There were instances when Watson's 21 customer service group reached out to the sales 22 and marketing people for market data to 23 determine whether pended orders should be 24 cleared; is that right? 25 Page 203 26 System, as you understand it, typically uses 27 retrospective data; is that right? 28 A. We're actually basing a current order 29 based on a six-month history. 20 Q. Right. 30 A. We're actually basing a current order 40 based on a six-month history. 41 based on a six-month history. 42 giving you a current snapshot of what the, the 43 average looks like. 44 average looks like. 45 giving you a current snapshot of what the, the 46 average looks like. 46 A. Right. 47 Q. An average of the past six months? 48 A. Right. 49 Q. An advoyou know how current the IMS 40 And do you know how current the IMS 41 data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010 42 going forward was? 41 Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody 42 at Watson or anywhere clse about what the 43 currentness of any IMS data that would be 44 currentness of any IMS data that would be 45 currentness of any IMS data that would be 46 currentness of any IMS data that would be 47 currentness of any IMS data that would be 48 currentness of any IMS data that would be 49 currentness of any IMS data that would be 40 currentness of any IMS data that would be 41 currentness of any IMS data that would be 42 currentness of any IMS data that would be 43 currentness of any IMS data that would be 44 currentness of any IMS data that would be 45 currentness of	8		8	
10 Q. Well, Watson reached that, reached 11 that far down into the supply chain to examine 12 whether orders should be cleared; is that right? 13 A. We reached down to the distributor 14 level. And if the distributor level did not 15 have a satisfactory data for us, we could – we 16 would ask them for the third-party information 17 as to who they were distributing to. So that 18 was all done with our direct customer. We 19 weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies. 20 Q. There were instances when Watson's 21 customer service group reached out to the sales 22 and marketing people for market data to 23 determine whether pended orders should be 24 cleared; is that right? 25 Page 203 26 System, as you understand it, typically uses 27 retrospective data; is that right? 28 A. We're actually basing a current order 29 based on a six-month history: 20 Page 203 21 System, as you understand it, typically uses 22 retrospective data; is that right? 23 A. We're actually basing a current order 24 based on a six-month history: 25 Page 203 26 Right. 27 G. Right. 28 A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's 29 giving you a current snapshot of what the, the 20 average looks like. 21 Q. An average of the past six months? 22 A. Right. 23 Q. And do you know how current the IMS data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010 28 going forward was? 29 G. Do you remember ever asking anybody 20 at Watson or anywhere else about what the 21 currentness of any IMS data that twould be 22 available would be? 23 charled for head of the determine whether of the data to the world of the determine whether of the determine whether of the determine whether of the data to the world	9		9	
that far down into the supply chain to examine whether orders should be cleared; is that right?  A. We reached down to the distributor level. And if the distributor level did not level. And if the distributor level did not so have a satisfactory data for us, we could we would ask them for the third-party information as to who they were distributing to. So that was all done with our direct customer. We weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies.  Q. There were instances when Watson's cleared; is that right?  The substance of the third-party information as to who they were distributing to. So that was all done with our direct customer. We weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies.  Q. There were instances when Watson's cleared; is that right?  Page 203  System, as you understand it, typically uses retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history.  Q. Right.  So the six-month history is retrospective; is that right?  A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the average looks like.  Q. An average of the past six months? A. I was involved with quota request.  Q. An average of the past six months? A. I was involved with quota request.  Q. So you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been	10	-	10	· ·
12 whether orders should be cleared; is that right? 13 A. We reached down to the distributor 14 level. And if the distributor level did not 15 have a satisfactory data for us, we could — we 16 would ask them for the third-party information 17 as to who they were distributing to. So that 18 was all done with our direct customer. We 19 weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies. 20 Q. There were instances when Watson's 21 customer service group reached out to the sales 22 and marketing people for market data to 23 determine whether pended orders should be 24 cleared; is that right? 25 Page 203 26 Page 203 27 System, as you understand it, typically uses 27 retrospective data; is that right? 28 A. We're actually basing a current order 29 based on a six-month history. 20 Right. 21 So Right. 22 or Right. 23 A. Ye, and do you know how current the IMS 24 data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010 25 qo And do you know how current the IMS 26 A. I don't. And, again, I'm — just to 27 reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have 28 available would be? 29 available would be? 20 available would be? 21 currentness of any IMS data that would be 22 available would be? 23 determine market of a generic opioid drug, that was never incorporated into Watson's or Actavis's Suspicious Order Monitoring Suspicious Order Monitoring Suspicious Order Monitoring NR. LUXTON: Foundation.  4 MR. KNAPP: Foundation. 4 MR. KNAPP: Foundation. 4 MR. LUXTON: Foundation. 4 N. T'm not an expert on IMS data, it's retrospective as well as I don't know if you can get as granular as to what you many be indicating. So I can't, I can't really speak to that as an expert.  4 Use retrospective as well as I don't know if you can get as granular as to what you many be indicating. So I can't, I can't really speak to that as an expert.  4 Use retrospective data; is that right?  5 Q. Right. 5 Og Right. 6 So the six-month history. 7 Og Right. 7 Og No who at Watson was in charge of the quota request. 9 Og No who at Watson was in charge of the quota request.	11		11	*
13 A. We reached down to the distributor 14 level. And if the distributor level did not 15 have a satisfactory data for us, we could — we 16 would ask them for the third-party information 17 as to who they were distributing to. So that 18 was all done with our direct customer. We 19 weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies. 20 Q. There were instances when Watson's 21 customer service group reached out to the sales 22 and marketing people for market data to 23 determine whether pended orders should be 24 cleared; is that right? 25 Page 203 26 System, as you understand it, typically uses 27 retrospective data; is that right? 28 A. We're actually basing a current order 29 based on a six-month history. 20 Q. Right. 21 Soy the six-month history is 22 retrospective; is that right? 23 A. We're actually basing a current order 24 based on a six-month history is 25 retrospective; is that right? 26 So the six-month history is 27 retrospective; is that right? 28 A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's 29 giving you a current snapshot of what the, the 20 average looks like. 21 Q. An average of the past six months? 22 A. Right. 33 A. Im not an expert on IMS data. I don't know if 34 you can get as granular as to what you may be 35 indicating. So I can't, I can't really speak to 45 that as an expert. 40 Q. The Suspicious Order Monitoring 41 Page 203 42 Page 203 43 Page 204 44 Page 205 45 Page 205 46 Sos the six-month history is 46 The suspicious Order Monitoring optomically launching a product, what your 47 anticipated launch, You know, it sometimes was 48 used to support a quota request, but not 49 necessarily — we didn't use it as part of our 50 SoMS program. 51 Q. So who at Watson was in charge of the 52 quota request? 53 A. I was involved with quota request. 54 Q. So you would have been — in the 55 course of your work, you would have heen — in the 66 course of your work, you would have heen — in the 67 course of your work, you would have heen — in the 68 course of your work, you would have encountered 69 poly our rememb	12		12	
level. And if the distributor level did not have a satisfactory data for us, we could we would ask them for the third-party information as to who they were distributing to. So that as to who they were distributing to. So that as to who they were distributing to. So that as to who they were distributing to. So that  Q. There were instances when Watson's customer service group reached out to the sales customer service group reached out to the sales cleared; is that right?  Page 203  Page 204  Page 205  Page 207  Page 207  Page 207  Page 208  Page 209  Page 20	13		13	
have a satisfactory data for us, we could — we would ask them for the third-party information as to who they were distributing to. So that was all done with our direct customer. We 18				^
would ask them for the third-party information as to who they were distributing to. So that was all done with our direct customer. We weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies. Q. There were instances when Watson's customer service group reached out to the sales and marketing people for market data to determine whether pended orders should be cleared; is that right?  Page 203  System, as you understand it, typically uses retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history. Q. Right. So the six-month history is retrospective; is that right?  A. Yes.  A. Right. Q. And do you know how current the IMS data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010 going forward was? A. I dan't. And, again, I'm – just to reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic. Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody available would be?  Page 203  RR. KNAPP: Foundation. MR. LUXITON: Foundation. A. I'm not an expert. I don't keep a be a lot of know that there are limitations to that data. L'is retrospective as well as I don't know if you can get as granular as to what you may be indicating. So I can't, I can't really speak to that as an expert. Q. Ther was granular as to what you may be indicating. So I can't, I can't really speak to that as an expert. Q. The Suspicious Order Monitoring  Page 203  Page 204  typing to estimate market share. Or if you're potentially launching a product, what your anticipa				
as to who they were distributing to. So that was all done with our direct customer. We  Weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies.  Q. There were instances when Watson's customer service group reached out to the sales and marketing people for market data to determine whether pended orders should be cleared; is that right?  Page 203  System, as you understand it, typically uses retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history.  Q. Right.  So the six-month history is retrospective; is that right?  A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the average looks like.  Q. An ad do you know how current the IMS data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010 going forward was?  A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to reiterate, I'm not an expert on IMS data. I do A. I'm not an expert on IMS data. I do A. I'm not an expert on IMS data. I do A. I'm not an expert on IMS data. I do A. I'm not an expert on IMS data. I do A. I'm ot ma expert on IMS data. I do A. I'm ot ma expert on IMS data. I do A. I'm ot may care limitations to that data.  A. I'm ot an expert on IMS data. I do A. I'm ot may care limitations to that data.  A. I'm ot an expert on IMS data. I do A. I'm ot may care limitations to that data.  A. I'm ot an expert on IMS data. I do A. I'm recrospective as well as I don't know if you can get as granular as to what you may be indicating. So I can't, I can't really speak to that as an expert.  Q. The Suspicious Order Monitoring  Page 203  Page 203  Page 204  Page 205  Page 205  Page 205  Page 206  Page 206  Page 207  Page 207  Page 207  Page 208  Page 208  Page 209  P				1 .
was all done with our direct customer. We weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies.  Q. There were instances when Watson's customer service group reached out to the sales and marketing people for market data to determine whether pended orders should be cleared; is that right?  Page 203  System, as you understand it, typically uses retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history.  Q. Right.  A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the average looks like.  Q. An average of the past six months?  A. Right.  Q. And do you know how current the IMS data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010 reiterate, I'm not an expert on IMS data. I do know that there are limitations to that data. It's retrospective as well as I don't know if you can get as granular as to what you may be indicating. So I can't, I can't really speak to that as an expert.  Q. The Suspicious Order Monitoring  Page 203  Page 204  Page 205  Fage 206  Fage 206  Page 207  Page 207  Page 208  Page 209  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history.  So the six-month history.  G. Right.  So the six-month history is retrospective; is that right?  A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the average looks like.  Q. An average of the past six months?  A. Right.  Q. An do you know how current the IMS  A. But when you talk about IMS data, there's all different types of data. So this, you know, if it was a quota request, if it was for something like a launch, sales and marketing would provide us justification and that, based on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product.  A. Yes.				
19   weren't reaching out to individual pharmacies.   20   Q. There were instances when Watson's   20   It's retrospective as well as I don't know if   you can get as granular as to what you may be   indicating. So I can't, I can't really speak to   that as an expert.   24   Q. The Suspicious Order Monitoring   Page 203				
Q. There were instances when Watson's customer service group reached out to the sales and marketing people for market data to determine whether pended orders should be 23 determine whether pended orders should be 24 cleared; is that right? 24 cleared; is that right? 25 page 203 Page 204 Page 205 Page 205 Page 205 Page 206 Page 206 Page 206 Page 207 Page 207 Page 207 Page 207 Page 207 Page 207 Page 208 Page 209 Pag				-
customer service group reached out to the sales and marketing people for market data to determine whether pended orders should be cleared; is that right?  Page 203  Page 203  System, as you understand it, typically uses retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history.  Q. Right.  So the six-month history is retrospective; is that right?  A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the average looks like.  Q. And do you know how current the IMS A. Right.  Q. And do you know how current the IMS A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic. Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody a vailable would be?  21  you can get as granular as to what you may be indicating. So I can't, I can't really speak to that as an expert. Q. The Suspicious Order Monitoring  Page 203  Page 204  trying to estimate market share. Or if you're potentially launching a product, what your anticipated launch. You know, it sometimes was used to support a quota request, but not necessarily we didn't use it as part of our SOMS program.  Q. So who at Watson was in charge of the quota request?  A. I was involved with quota request. Q. So you would have been in the course of your work, you would have encountered IMS data, its that right?  A. But when you talk about IMS data, there's all different types of data. So this, you know, if it was a quota request, if it was for something like a launch, sales and marketing would provide us justification and that, based on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product.  Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody at Watson or anywhere else about what the currentness of any IMS data that would be  20				
22 and marketing people for market data to 23 determine whether pended orders should be 24 cleared; is that right?  25 Page 203  26 Page 203  27 Page 203  28 Page 203  29 Page 203  20 The Suspicious Order Monitoring  20 Page 203  20 Page 203  21 System, as you understand it, typically uses 22 retrospective data; is that right?  23 A. We're actually basing a current order 24 based on a six-month history.  25 Q. Right.  26 So the six-month history is 27 retrospective; is that right?  28 A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's 29 giving you a current snapshot of what the, the 20 average looks like.  21 Q. An average of the past six months?  22 A. Right.  23 trying to estimate market share. Or if you're potentially launching a product, what your 29 anticipated launch. You know, it sometimes was used to support a quota request, but not necessarily we didn't use it as part of our so SOMS program.  29 G. So who at Watson was in charge of the quota request?  20 A. I was involved with quota request.  21 Q. So you would have been in the course of your work, you would have encountered IMS data; is that right?  20 And do you know how current the IMS 21 A. But when you talk about IMS data, there's all different types of data. So this, you know, if it was a quota request, if it was for something like a launch, sales and marketing would provide us justification and that, based on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product.  20 Do you remember ever asking anybody at Watson or anywhere else about what the currentness of any IMS data that would be available would be?  21 available would be?  22 A. Yes.		`		_
23 determine whether pended orders should be 24 cleared; is that right?  24 Page 203  Page 204  Page 205  Page 206  Page 206  Page 207  System, as you understand it, typically uses retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history.  Q. Right. So the six-month history is retrospective; is that right?  A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the average looks like.  Q. An average of the past six months?  A. Right. Q. And do you know how current the IMS data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010  Q. An I don't. And, again, I'm just to reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody at Watson or anywhere else about what the currentness of any IMS data that would be?  A. Yes.				
Page 203  Page 203  System, as you understand it, typically uses retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history.  Q. Right.  So the six-month history is retrospective; is that right?  A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the average looks like.  Q. An average of the past six months?  A. Right.  Q. And do you know how current the IMS data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010 going forward was?  A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have at Op you remember ever asking anybody at Watson or anywhere else about what the currentness of any IMS data that would be available would be?  Page 203  Page 204  trying to estimate market share. Or if you're potentially launching a product, what your anticipated launch. You know, it sometimes was used to support a quota request, but not necessarily we didn't use it as part of our SOMS program.  Q. So who at Watson was in charge of the quota request?  A. I was involved with quota request.  Q. So you would have been in the course of your work, you would have encountered IMS data; is that right?  A. But when you talk about IMS data, there's all different types of data. So this, you know, if it was a quota request, if it was for something like a launch, sales and marketing would provide us justification and that, based on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product.  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually?  A. Yes.				
Page 203  1 System, as you understand it, typically uses 2 retrospective data; is that right? 3 A. We're actually basing a current order 4 based on a six-month history. 5 Q. Right. 6 So the six-month history is 7 retrospective; is that right? 8 A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's 9 giving you a current snapshot of what the, the 10 average looks like. 11 Q. An average of the past six months? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And do you know how current the IMS 14 data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010 15 going forward was? 16 A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to 17 reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have 18 a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic. 19 Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody 20 at Watson or anywhere else about what the 21 currentness of any IMS data that would be 22 available would be? 20 Typic to trying to estimate market share. Or if you're potentially launching a product, what you're potentially launching a product, what your anticipate datanch. You know, it sometimes was used to support a quota request, but not necessarily we didn't use it as part of our soom some used to support a quota request, but not necessarily we didn't use it as part of our soom some used to support a quota request, but not necessarily we didn't use it as part of our soom some used to support a quota request, if the quota request, if it was in charge of the quota request?  9 giving you a current snapshot of what the, the quota request? 9 Q. So you would have been in the course of your work, you would have been in the course of your work, you would have encountered IMS data, its that right?  1 A. But when you talk about IMS data, there's all different types of data. So this, you know, if it was a quota request, if it was for something like a launch, sales and marketing would provide us justification and that, based on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product.  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually?  A. Yes.		-		•
1 System, as you understand it, typically uses 2 retrospective data; is that right? 2				Q. The suspicious start intermeding
retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history.  Q. Right. So the six-month history is retrospective; is that right?  A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the  Q. An average looks like.  Q. An average of the past six months?  A. Right.  Q. And do you know how current the IMS data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010  A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually?  2 potentially launching a product, what your anticipated launch. You know, it sometimes was used to support a quota request, but not necessarily we didn't use it as part of our SOMS program.  Q. So who at Watson was in charge of the quota request?  A. I was involved with quota request.  Q. So you would have been in the course of your work, you would have encountered IMS data; is that right?  A. But when you talk about IMS data, there's all different types of data. So this, you know, if it was a quota request, if it was for something like a launch, sales and marketing vould provide us justification and that, based on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product.  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually?  A. Yes.		Page 203		Page 204
retrospective data; is that right?  A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history.  Q. Right. So the six-month history is retrospective; is that right?  A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the  Q. An average looks like.  Q. An average of the past six months?  A. Right.  Q. And do you know how current the IMS data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010  A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually?  2 potentially launching a product, what your anticipated launch. You know, it sometimes was used to support a quota request, but not necessarily we didn't use it as part of our SOMS program.  Q. So who at Watson was in charge of the quota request?  A. I was involved with quota request.  Q. So you would have been in the course of your work, you would have encountered IMS data; is that right?  A. But when you talk about IMS data, there's all different types of data. So this, you know, if it was a quota request, if it was for something like a launch, sales and marketing vould provide us justification and that, based on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product.  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually?  A. Yes.	1	System, as you understand it, typically uses	1	trying to estimate market share. Or if you're
A. We're actually basing a current order based on a six-month history.  Q. Right. So the six-month history is retrospective; is that right?  A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the A. Right.  Q. An average of the past six months?  A. Right.  Q. And do you know how current the IMS data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010  going forward was?  A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually?  A. Yes.	2	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	2	
based on a six-month history.  Q. Right.  So the six-month history is  retrospective; is that right?  A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's  giving you a current snapshot of what the, the  average looks like.  Q. An average of the past six months?  A. Right.  Q. And do you know how current the IMS  data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010  A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to  reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have  a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  at Watson or anywhere else about what the  2u evaluable would be?  4 used to support a quota request, but not necessarily we didn't use it as part of our SOMS program.  Q. So who at Watson was in charge of the quota request?  A. I was involved with quota request.  Q. So you would have been in the course of your work, you would have encountered IMS data; is that right?  A. But when you talk about IMS data, there's all different types of data. So this, you know, if it was a quota request, if it was for something like a launch, sales and marketing would provide us justification and that, based on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product.  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually?  A. Yes.	3		3	
5 Q. Right. 6 So the six-month history is 7 retrospective; is that right? 8 A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's 9 giving you a current snapshot of what the, the 10 average looks like. 10 Q. An average of the past six months? 11 Q. An average of the past six months? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. And do you know how current the IMS 14 data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010 15 going forward was? 16 A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to 17 reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have 18 a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic. 19 Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody 20 at Watson or anywhere else about what the 21 currentness of any IMS data that would be 22 available would be? 20 So who at Watson was in charge of the SOMS program. 20 Q. So who at Watson was in charge of the 21 quota request? 22 A. I was involved with quota request. 24 A. I was involved with quota request. 25 Q. So you would have been in the 26 course of your work, you would have encountered 27 IMS data; is that right? 28 A. But when you talk about IMS data, 29 there's all different types of data. So this, 20 you know, if it was a quota request, if it was 29 would provide us justification and that, based 20 an market, for example, of a product. 20 A. Yes.	4		4	-
So the six-month history is retrospective; is that right?  A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the  A. Right.  A. Right.  A. Right.  A. And do you know how current the IMS data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010  A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  A. Do you remember ever asking anybody available would be?  B. SOMS program.  Q. So who at Watson was in charge of the quota request?  A. I was involved with quota request.  Q. So you would have been in the course of your work, you would have encountered IMS data; is that right?  A. But when you talk about IMS data, there's all different types of data. So this, you know, if it was a quota request, if it was for something like a launch, sales and marketing would provide us justification and that, based on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product.  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually?  A. Yes.	5	•	5	
retrospective; is that right?  A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the  Q. So you would have been in the  Q. An average looks like.  Q. An average of the past six months?  A. Right.  Q. And do you know how current the IMS  A. But when you talk about IMS data,  there's all different types of data. So this,  going forward was?  A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  Q. So who at Watson was in charge of the quota request?  A. I was involved with quota request.  A. I was involved in the course of your work, you would have encountered  12	6		6	-
A. Yeah, to an extent. But it's, it's giving you a current snapshot of what the, the giving you a current snapshot of what the, the A. I was involved with quota request.  Q. So you would have been in the course of your work, you would have encountered I. IMS data; is that right?  A. Right.  Q. And do you know how current the IMS A. But when you talk about IMS data, there's all different types of data. So this, going forward was?  A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody at Watson or anywhere else about what the currentness of any IMS data that would be a vailable would be?  A. I was involved with quota request.  A. I was involved us in the topic.  A. But when you talk about IMS data, there's all different types of data. So this, you know, if it was a quota request, if it was for something like a launch, sales and marketing would provide us justification and that, based on data, they an	7	•	7	
giving you a current snapshot of what the, the average looks like.  Q. An average of the past six months?  A. Right.  Q. And do you know how current the IMS data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010  Going forward was?  A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody a vailable would be?  A. I was involved with quota request.  Q. So you would have been in the course of your work, you would have encountered IMS data; is that right?  A. But when you talk about IMS data, there's all different types of data. So this, you know, if it was a quota request, if it was for something like a launch, sales and marketing would provide us justification and that, based on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product.  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually?  A. Yes.	8	÷	8	-
average looks like.  10 Q. So you would have been in the  11 Q. An average of the past six months?  12 A. Right.  13 Q. And do you know how current the IMS  14 data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010  15 going forward was?  16 A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to  17 reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have  18 a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  19 Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody  20 at Watson or anywhere else about what the  21 currentness of any IMS data that would be  22 available would be?  10 Q. So you would have been in the  course of your work, you would have encountered  11 IMS data; is that right?  A. But when you talk about IMS data,  there's all different types of data. So this,  you know, if it was a quota request, if it was  for something like a launch, sales and marketing  would provide us justification and that, based  on data, they anticipate capturing this much of  a market, for example, of a product.  Q. So where that DEA quota is  established, typically annually?  A. Yes.	9		9	
Q. An average of the past six months?  11 course of your work, you would have encountered 12 A. Right.  13 Q. And do you know how current the IMS 14 data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010 15 going forward was?  16 A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to 17 reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have 18 a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic. 19 Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody 20 at Watson or anywhere else about what the 21 currentness of any IMS data that would be 22 available would be?  11 course of your work, you would have encountered 12 in IMS data; is that right?  A. But when you talk about IMS data, there's all different types of data. So this, you know, if it was a quota request, if it was for something like a launch, sales and marketing would provide us justification and that, based on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product. Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually? A. Yes.	10	1	10	
A. Right.  Q. And do you know how current the IMS  data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010  By a limit of the reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have  a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody  at Watson or anywhere else about what the  currentness of any IMS data that would be  a lot of breadth was lot of breadth of would be?  Image: I'm Satar right?  A. But when you talk about IMS data, there's all different types of data. So this, you know, if it was a quota request, if it was for something like a launch, sales and marketing would provide us justification and that, based on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product.  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually?  A. Yes.	11	6	11	•
Q. And do you know how current the IMS  13 A. But when you talk about IMS data,  14 there's all different types of data. So this,  15 going forward was?  16 A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to  17 reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have  18 a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  19 Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody  20 at Watson or anywhere else about what the  21 currentness of any IMS data that would be  22 available would be?  A. But when you talk about IMS data,  there's all different types of data. So this,  you know, if it was a quota request, if it was  for something like a launch, sales and marketing  would provide us justification and that, based  on data, they anticipate capturing this much of  a market, for example, of a product.  Q. So where that DEA quota is  established, typically annually?  A. Yes.	12		12	-
data that was available to Watson in, say, 2010  14 there's all different types of data. So this,  25 going forward was?  15 you know, if it was a quota request, if it was  26 A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to  27 reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have  28 a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  29 Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody  20 at Watson or anywhere else about what the  21 currentness of any IMS data that would be  22 available would be?  23 there's all different types of data. So this,  26 you know, if it was a quota request, if it was  27 on data, they anticipate capturing this much of  28 a market, for example, of a product.  29 Q. So where that DEA quota is  20 established, typically annually?  20 A. Yes.		-	13	
going forward was?  15 you know, if it was a quota request, if it was 16 A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to 16 for something like a launch, sales and marketing 17 reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have 18 a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic. 19 Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody 19 a market, for example, of a product. 20 at Watson or anywhere else about what the 21 currentness of any IMS data that would be 22 available would be? 23 you know, if it was a quota request, if it was 46 for something like a launch, sales and marketing 47 would provide us justification and that, based 48 on data, they anticipate capturing this much of 49 a market, for example, of a product. 40 Q. So where that DEA quota is 41 established, typically annually? 42 A. Yes.		•	14	
A. I don't. And, again, I'm just to reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody at Watson or anywhere else about what the currentness of any IMS data that would be a currentness of any IMS data that would be a currentness of any IMS data that would be a for something like a launch, sales and marketing would provide us justification and that, based on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product.  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually?  A. Yes.		•	15	
reiterate, I'm not an IMS expert. I don't have a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody at Watson or anywhere else about what the currentness of any IMS data that would be available would be?  17 would provide us justification and that, based on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product.  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually? A. Yes.			16	
a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody  at Watson or anywhere else about what the  currentness of any IMS data that would be  a lot of breadth of knowledge with the topic.  18 on data, they anticipate capturing this much of a market, for example, of a product.  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually?  A. Yes.			17	
Q. Do you remember ever asking anybody a market, for example, of a product.  at Watson or anywhere else about what the currentness of any IMS data that would be available would be?  Q. So where that DEA quota is established, typically annually?  A. Yes.			18	
at Watson or anywhere else about what the  currentness of any IMS data that would be  available would be?  Q. So where that DEA quota is  established, typically annually?  A. Yes.			19	
currentness of any IMS data that would be 21 established, typically annually? 22 available would be? 21 established, typically annually? 22 A. Yes.			20	
22 available would be? 22 A. Yes.		•	21	-
		•	22	· -
A. No. 1 know IVIS data was used for   23 Q. And as part of the analysis that you	23	A. No. I know IMS data was used for	23	Q. And as part of the analysis that you
	24		24	would do at Watson, would you examine IMS data,

	Page 205		Page 206
1	say, for let me start over.	1	but you're estimating that we're going to launch
2	As you think about the annual	2	this generic version of this product that's
3	analysis that you did for the generic opioids at	3	currently marketed by pharmaceutical company
4	Watson, would that involve looking at the IMS	4	ABC, okay? So there is market data. So if this
5	data across the various NDAs for a particular	5	is the brand and these are the total
6	molecule to establish Watson's deserved level of	6	prescriptions, we anticipate that a generic
7	quota?	7	could possibly take 80 percent of that market.
8	A. Are you talking about ours against	8	So if the total prescriptions for
9	others or	9	this, for this molecule, or this product in this
10	O. Yes.	10	case, represents this number, that then we would
11	A. No.	11	do an exercise based on that to determine how
12	Q. Would you look at the entire market	12	much active material we would need to produce
13	for a particular molecule?	13	quantities for launch and post-launch quantities
14	A. No. It's part of the quota request	14	to have inventory on hand.
15	process that, you know, that's not something DEA	15	Q. So with regard to the data that you
16	would consider. The DEA would, would grant your	16	would buy from IMS for this, was there ever, as
17		17	you know, as far as you know, any restrictions
	quota request based on your historical sales and	18	put on the use of the data?
18	nothing to do with anybody else's in the market,	19	A. Again, I, I didn't extensively use
19	so it would not be relevant.	20	IMS data, and I was not involved of any type of
20	Q. What would you use the IMS data for	21	interaction with IMS or the purchase of the
21	then?	22	data. Any data that I received was really just
22	A. For if you wanted to make a DEA	23	as I articulated and provided by sales and
23	request for quota and it's maybe it's a new	24	
24	product, so you don't have any sales history,	24	marketing.
	Page 207		Page 208
1	Q. As you think about the launching of	1	particular drug under that quota, would Watson
2	these generics that you're talking about, about	2	use the IMS data that it had purchased in
3	how many times did you do it when you were in	3	furtherance of the quota request to inform its
4	charge of the DEA affairs group at Watson and		
4	charge of the DEA arraits group at watson and	4	
5	then Actavis?	4 5	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order
	then Actavis?		algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?
5	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.	5	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System? MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.
5 6	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?	5	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?
5 6 7	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.	5 6 7	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data
5 6 7 8	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.	5 6 7 8	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about
5 6 7 8 9	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.  A. It's hard to quantify, but it was not a typical activity in my department.	5 6 7 8 9	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data from, not necessarily IMS data, but based on from our sales and marketing folks as far as
5 6 7 8 9	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.  A. It's hard to quantify, but it was not a typical activity in my department.  Q. More than once a year?	5 6 7 8 9	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data from, not necessarily IMS data, but based on
5 6 7 8 9 10	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.  A. It's hard to quantify, but it was not a typical activity in my department.  Q. More than once a year?	5 6 7 8 9 10	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data from, not necessarily IMS data, but based on from our sales and marketing folks as far as what we can expect as potential quantities based
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.  A. It's hard to quantify, but it was not a typical activity in my department.  Q. More than once a year?  A. Once a year, if that.  Q. So with regard to the IMS market data	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data from, not necessarily IMS data, but based on from our sales and marketing folks as far as what we can expect as potential quantities based on their interactions with customers. We would receive estimates on, on that.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.  A. It's hard to quantify, but it was not a typical activity in my department.  Q. More than once a year?  A. Once a year, if that.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data from, not necessarily IMS data, but based on from our sales and marketing folks as far as what we can expect as potential quantities based on their interactions with customers. We would
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.  A. It's hard to quantify, but it was not a typical activity in my department.  Q. More than once a year?  A. Once a year, if that.  Q. So with regard to the IMS market data that would be collected, if the drug let me start over.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data from, not necessarily IMS data, but based on from our sales and marketing folks as far as what we can expect as potential quantities based on their interactions with customers. We would receive estimates on, on that.  Q. So the market would reach let me start over.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.  A. It's hard to quantify, but it was not a typical activity in my department.  Q. More than once a year?  A. Once a year, if that.  Q. So with regard to the IMS market data that would be collected, if the drug let me start over.  With regard to the IMS market data	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data from, not necessarily IMS data, but based on from our sales and marketing folks as far as what we can expect as potential quantities based on their interactions with customers. We would receive estimates on, on that.  Q. So the market would reach let me start over.  So the data that you would seek out
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.  A. It's hard to quantify, but it was not a typical activity in my department.  Q. More than once a year?  A. Once a year, if that.  Q. So with regard to the IMS market data that would be collected, if the drug let me start over.  With regard to the IMS market data that would be collected by Watson, would Watson	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data from, not necessarily IMS data, but based on from our sales and marketing folks as far as what we can expect as potential quantities based on their interactions with customers. We would receive estimates on, on that.  Q. So the market would reach let me start over.  So the data that you would seek out with regard to a new product launch would relate
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.  A. It's hard to quantify, but it was not a typical activity in my department.  Q. More than once a year?  A. Once a year, if that.  Q. So with regard to the IMS market data that would be collected, if the drug let me start over.  With regard to the IMS market data that would be collected by Watson, would Watson use that data to inform its Suspicious Order	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data from, not necessarily IMS data, but based on from our sales and marketing folks as far as what we can expect as potential quantities based on their interactions with customers. We would receive estimates on, on that.  Q. So the market would reach let me start over.  So the data that you would seek out with regard to a new product launch would relate to the demand for the particular Watson generic?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.  A. It's hard to quantify, but it was not a typical activity in my department.  Q. More than once a year?  A. Once a year, if that.  Q. So with regard to the IMS market data that would be collected, if the drug let me start over.  With regard to the IMS market data that would be collected by Watson, would Watson use that data to inform its Suspicious Order Monitoring System if the drug was approved?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data from, not necessarily IMS data, but based on from our sales and marketing folks as far as what we can expect as potential quantities based on their interactions with customers. We would receive estimates on, on that.  Q. So the market would reach let me start over.  So the data that you would seek out with regard to a new product launch would relate to the demand for the particular Watson generic? Is that right to say?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.  A. It's hard to quantify, but it was not a typical activity in my department.  Q. More than once a year?  A. Once a year, if that.  Q. So with regard to the IMS market data that would be collected, if the drug let me start over.  With regard to the IMS market data that would be collected by Watson, would Watson use that data to inform its Suspicious Order Monitoring System if the drug was approved?  So if Watson I'll ask this	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data from, not necessarily IMS data, but based on from our sales and marketing folks as far as what we can expect as potential quantities based on their interactions with customers. We would receive estimates on, on that.  Q. So the market would reach let me start over.  So the data that you would seek out with regard to a new product launch would relate to the demand for the particular Watson generic? Is that right to say?  A. Right.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.  A. It's hard to quantify, but it was not a typical activity in my department.  Q. More than once a year?  A. Once a year, if that.  Q. So with regard to the IMS market data that would be collected, if the drug let me start over.  With regard to the IMS market data that would be collected by Watson, would Watson use that data to inform its Suspicious Order Monitoring System if the drug was approved?  So if Watson I'll ask this differently.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data from, not necessarily IMS data, but based on from our sales and marketing folks as far as what we can expect as potential quantities based on their interactions with customers. We would receive estimates on, on that.  Q. So the market would reach let me start over.  So the data that you would seek out with regard to a new product launch would relate to the demand for the particular Watson generic? Is that right to say?  A. Right. Q. And not for the demand for the, the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.  A. It's hard to quantify, but it was not a typical activity in my department.  Q. More than once a year?  A. Once a year, if that.  Q. So with regard to the IMS market data that would be collected, if the drug let me start over.  With regard to the IMS market data that would be collected by Watson, would Watson use that data to inform its Suspicious Order Monitoring System if the drug was approved?  So if Watson I'll ask this differently.  A. Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data from, not necessarily IMS data, but based on from our sales and marketing folks as far as what we can expect as potential quantities based on their interactions with customers. We would receive estimates on, on that.  Q. So the market would reach let me start over.  So the data that you would seek out with regard to a new product launch would relate to the demand for the particular Watson generic?  Is that right to say?  A. Right.  Q. And not for the demand for the, the comparable NDC-coded generics?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	then Actavis?  MR. KNAPP: Form.  A. How often did we launch a product?  Q. Well, about how many times, yeah.  A. It's hard to quantify, but it was not a typical activity in my department.  Q. More than once a year?  A. Once a year, if that.  Q. So with regard to the IMS market data that would be collected, if the drug let me start over.  With regard to the IMS market data that would be collected by Watson, would Watson use that data to inform its Suspicious Order Monitoring System if the drug was approved?  So if Watson I'll ask this differently.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	algorithms and formulas in the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. If you're if you're talking about a new product launch, we would receive data from, not necessarily IMS data, but based on from our sales and marketing folks as far as what we can expect as potential quantities based on their interactions with customers. We would receive estimates on, on that.  Q. So the market would reach let me start over.  So the data that you would seek out with regard to a new product launch would relate to the demand for the particular Watson generic? Is that right to say?  A. Right.  Q. And not for the demand for the, the

Page 210 Page 209 1 time with me. 1 BY MR. EGLER: 2 A. Yeah, not a problem. 2 Q. To inform the Suspicious Order 3 3 Q. So what's your understanding of an Monitoring System? 4 NDC code? 4 MR. KNAPP: Same objection. 5 A. National Drug Code is a number that, 5 A. I'm still trying to grasp here. 6 a unique number that is established and produced 6 Looking at IMS data for the innovator 7 by the FDA for a particular drug. And it 7 or the brand product are you talking about? Or 8 indicates the manufacturer, the labeling code, 8 for what? 9 the quantity and fill size of a product and the 9 Q. Well, as I'm thinking about it, for 10 10 strength. any complimentary NDC code. So if there is a 11 brand version that's 100 pills of 20 milligrams Q. Right. 11 12 So if it was -- so an NDC code would 12 each and then if there is a generic version of 13 say, for example, it was a bottle of a 100 13 100 pills, it's 20 milligrams each, did you ever 14 pills, 20 milligrams each of a particular drug; 14 seek that type of data out to inform the SOM 15 is that right? 15 program? 16 16 A. Right. A. Well, the -- like I said, the 17 Q. Did you or anyone at Watson ever seek 17 information we received in regards to if we're 18 out complimentary NDC codes -- let me start 18 talking about a product launch here is, again, 19 19 we're going to make our case to the DEA for over. 20 20 Did you or anyone at Watson ever seek quantities that we need, and we're going to 21 out IMS data to complimentary NDC codes when 21 establish what launch quantities would be. 22 launching a particular generic opioid? 22 These launch quantities are based on what our 23 MR. KNAPP: Objection. Foundation. 23 customers' needs will be. 24 MR. LUXTON: Objection. 24 So they are going to articulate to Page 211 Page 212 us, that, hey, we buy -- and this -- this is a 1 you knew of at Watson ever did an analysis to 1 2 process that we would, you know, our marketing 2 determine whether the initial demand from any of 3 folks would meet with these folks. This is what 3 your customers was due to improper ordering or 4 we currently do in the brand, and we're going to 4 improper delivering so that the initial demand 5 be replacing probably 80 percent or whatever, 50 5 was improperly high? percent of that with your product. 6 6 MR. LUXTON: Objection. Form. 7 So that's basically where these 7 A. Like I said, we did analysis of what 8 numbers are established as to what we can expect 8 their current, their current business is. These 9 as what that customer's order behavior is going 9 are all customers, most -- they're not new 10 to be. 10 customers. These are customers that are 11 And what we would do in our SOM 11 existing that we've done due diligence on, that 12 system is we would receive this data, and what 12 we have a -- we maintain a relationship with we would do for any type of new product or even 13 13 them and that we have conversations with about an NDC code change is we would essentially put 14 14 these types of activities. 15 the SOMS program on pend everything. Because we 15 And so we have a certain comfort 16 wanted to pend every single one of their orders 16 level that our -- you know, that having these 17 17 going forward for at least six months to say, strong customer relationships that, you know, 18 18 okay, to make sure that they're ordering and that they have a history of doing the right 19 patterns were righteous with what they're 19 thing. 20 telling us in order to, in order to establish a 20 But we'll also look at what customers 21 baseline of normal ordering behavior prior to 21 that they are going to distribute to. That is 22 putting the system into the -- into the current 22 all part of the process. 23 system. 23 Q. Do you remember whether Agent Rannazzisi ever warned registrants to examine 24 Q. Do you remember whether you or anyone 24

	Page 213		Page 214
1	initial levels of orders by pharmacies or	1	document, it says "Bob's overview DEA." And I
2	distributors to determine whether the initial	2	had asked you this before.
3	level of a new customer was improperly high?	3	This isn't your writing here,
4	A. I don't know if Deputy Administrator	4	correct?
5	Rannazzisi offered that information. That's	5	A. Right.
6	something that we would have done anyway as just	6	Q. This description of Bob's overview?
7	part of our due diligence.	7	A. Correct.
8	Q. And how would you have done that?	8	Q. It says, "DEA plays by their own
9	A. As I just detailed.	9	rules. Shoot first and ask questions later.
10	Q. Okay. Anything else that you can	10	They have a tendency to interpret the regs the
11	think of?	11	way they want to and have been successful being
12	A. No.	12	aggressive against companies. Bob requested a
13	Q. All right. And going back to this	13	list of all our customers from Napoleon,
14	Exhibit 12, I think we were on page 2.	14	discussed customers, and would like a list of
15	A. Okay.	15	all our List 1 chemical customers."
16	Q. There's throughout this document,	16	In the context of your work, what
17	there is a reference to a person named Bob.	17	does the term "List 1" mean?
18	Is that Robert Williamson?	18	A. A List 1 chemical is a chemical that
19	A. Yes, sir.	19	can be a precursor or a chemical entity that's
20	Q. All right. And here it says we	20	used in the manufacture of a product like a
21	were talking about your discussion or your	21	pseudoephedrine, ephedrine. These List 1
22	presentation on the first page.	22	chemicals can also be diverted and used in the
23	A. Um-hmm.	23	illicit manufacture of methamphetamine,
24	Q. And on the second page of the	24	therefore DEA controlled or regulated those
	Page 215		Page 216
1	schedule listed chemical products.	1	that means?
1 2	schedule listed chemical products.  Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says	1 2	that means?  A. I think it's Bob stating that their
	-		
2	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says	2	A. I think it's Bob stating that their
2 3	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says "OMS and SOMS."	2 3	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says</li><li>"OMS and SOMS."</li><li>A. Um-hmm.</li></ul>	2 3 4	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says</li> <li>"OMS and SOMS."</li> <li>A. Um-hmm.</li> <li>Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q do you remember ever having a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says</li> <li>"OMS and SOMS."</li> <li>A. Um-hmm.</li> <li>Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q do you remember ever having a</li> <li>discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it states, "Orders: How many</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says</li> <li>"OMS and SOMS."</li> <li>A. Um-hmm.</li> <li>Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says "OMS and SOMS."  A. Um-hmm.  Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure. Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says "OMS and SOMS." A. Um-hmm. Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure. Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."  In the context of your work, do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says "OMS and SOMS."  A. Um-hmm. Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure. Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later?  A. No. Q. All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."  In the context of your work, do you know what that term means?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says "OMS and SOMS."  A. Um-hmm. Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure. Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later?  A. No. Q. All right. A. Bob is was a former senior member	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."  In the context of your work, do you know what that term means?  A. It can be an order that's placed in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says "OMS and SOMS."  A. Um-hmm. Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure. Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later?  A. No. Q. All right. A. Bob is was a former senior member of DEA. That's purely his opinion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."  In the context of your work, do you know what that term means?  A. It can be an order that's placed in an automated fashion with within the system.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says "OMS and SOMS."  A. Um-hmm. Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure. Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later?  A. No. Q. All right. A. Bob is was a former senior member of DEA. That's purely his opinion. Q. All right. So I think going to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."  In the context of your work, do you know what that term means?  A. It can be an order that's placed in an automated fashion with within the system. So maybe one SAP system talking to another
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says "OMS and SOMS."  A. Um-hmm. Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure. Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later?  A. No. Q. All right. A. Bob is was a former senior member of DEA. That's purely his opinion. Q. All right. So I think going to the next page, No. 7, Bob's discussion again, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."  In the context of your work, do you know what that term means?  A. It can be an order that's placed in an automated fashion with within the system. So maybe one SAP system talking to another business's in the electronic data exchange.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says "OMS and SOMS."  A. Um-hmm. Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure. Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later?  A. No. Q. All right. A. Bob is was a former senior member of DEA. That's purely his opinion. Q. All right. So I think going to the next page, No. 7, Bob's discussion again, it says, "DEA wants performance-based approach,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."  In the context of your work, do you know what that term means?  A. It can be an order that's placed in an automated fashion with within the system. So maybe one SAP system talking to another business's in the electronic data exchange.  Q. All right. So then down on No. 17,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says  "OMS and SOMS."  A. Um-hmm.  Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure.  Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later?  A. No.  Q. All right.  A. Bob is was a former senior member of DEA. That's purely his opinion.  Q. All right. So I think going to the next page, No. 7, Bob's discussion again, it says, "DEA wants performance-based approach, statistically defendable model, uses language	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."  In the context of your work, do you know what that term means?  A. It can be an order that's placed in an automated fashion with within the system. So maybe one SAP system talking to another business's in the electronic data exchange.  Q. All right. So then down on No. 17, it says "Path forward, evaluation 10 working
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says  "OMS and SOMS."  A. Um-hmm.  Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure.  Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later?  A. No.  Q. All right.  A. Bob is was a former senior member of DEA. That's purely his opinion.  Q. All right. So I think going to the next page, No. 7, Bob's discussion again, it says, "DEA wants performance-based approach, statistically defendable model, uses language and regulations, September 2011 letter. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."  In the context of your work, do you know what that term means?  A. It can be an order that's placed in an automated fashion with within the system. So maybe one SAP system talking to another business's in the electronic data exchange.  Q. All right. So then down on No. 17, it says "Path forward, evaluation 10 working days. Bob reviews everything with Ron Buzzeo.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says  "OMS and SOMS."  A. Um-hmm.  Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure.  Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later?  A. No.  Q. All right.  A. Bob is was a former senior member of DEA. That's purely his opinion.  Q. All right. So I think going to the next page, No. 7, Bob's discussion again, it says, "DEA wants performance-based approach, statistically defendable model, uses language and regulations, September 2011 letter. No clients ever called Bob's organization	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."  In the context of your work, do you know what that term means?  A. It can be an order that's placed in an automated fashion with within the system. So maybe one SAP system talking to another business's in the electronic data exchange.  Q. All right. So then down on No. 17, it says "Path forward, evaluation 10 working days. Bob reviews everything with Ron Buzzeo. All communication will go to Tom and Scott.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says "OMS and SOMS."  A. Um-hmm. Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure. Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later?  A. No. Q. All right. A. Bob is was a former senior member of DEA. That's purely his opinion. Q. All right. So I think going to the next page, No. 7, Bob's discussion again, it says, "DEA wants performance-based approach, statistically defendable model, uses language and regulations, September 2011 letter. No clients ever called Bob's organization questioning the SOMS program." K	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."  In the context of your work, do you know what that term means?  A. It can be an order that's placed in an automated fashion with within the system. So maybe one SAP system talking to another business's in the electronic data exchange.  Q. All right. So then down on No. 17, it says "Path forward, evaluation 10 working days. Bob reviews everything with Ron Buzzeo. All communication will go to Tom and Scott. They recommended that SOMS and compliance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says  "OMS and SOMS."  A. Um-hmm. Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure. Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later?  A. No. Q. All right. A. Bob is was a former senior member of DEA. That's purely his opinion. Q. All right. So I think going to the next page, No. 7, Bob's discussion again, it says, "DEA wants performance-based approach, statistically defendable model, uses language and regulations, September 2011 letter. No clients ever called Bob's organization questioning the SOMS program." K That sentence there, "No clients ever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."  In the context of your work, do you know what that term means?  A. It can be an order that's placed in an automated fashion with within the system. So maybe one SAP system talking to another business's in the electronic data exchange.  Q. All right. So then down on No. 17, it says "Path forward, evaluation 10 working days. Bob reviews everything with Ron Buzzeo. All communication will go to Tom and Scott. They recommended that SOMS and compliance regulation is tied into legal. And future
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says  "OMS and SOMS."  A. Um-hmm. Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure. Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later?  A. No. Q. All right. A. Bob is was a former senior member of DEA. That's purely his opinion. Q. All right. So I think going to the next page, No. 7, Bob's discussion again, it says, "DEA wants performance-based approach, statistically defendable model, uses language and regulations, September 2011 letter. No clients ever called Bob's organization questioning the SOMS program." K  That sentence there, "No clients ever called Bob's organization questioning the SOMS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."  In the context of your work, do you know what that term means?  A. It can be an order that's placed in an automated fashion with within the system. So maybe one SAP system talking to another business's in the electronic data exchange.  Q. All right. So then down on No. 17, it says "Path forward, evaluation 10 working days. Bob reviews everything with Ron Buzzeo. All communication will go to Tom and Scott. They recommended that SOMS and compliance regulation is tied into legal. And future compliance, remove labor intensity and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And then going down to No. 5, it says  "OMS and SOMS."  A. Um-hmm. Q. Oh, but going back to that No. 2 A. Sure. Q do you remember ever having a discussion with Bob about whether the DEA plays by their own rules and she shoot first and ask questions later?  A. No. Q. All right. A. Bob is was a former senior member of DEA. That's purely his opinion. Q. All right. So I think going to the next page, No. 7, Bob's discussion again, it says, "DEA wants performance-based approach, statistically defendable model, uses language and regulations, September 2011 letter. No clients ever called Bob's organization questioning the SOMS program." K That sentence there, "No clients ever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I think it's Bob stating that their product is statistically defensible and also that, you know, Bob's selling a product as well.  Q. Okay. Can you turn to it's the last page of the exhibit. It's 6492.  A. Yes.  Q. And it states, "Orders: How many ways are orders are placed? EDI, Electronic Data Intercheck."  In the context of your work, do you know what that term means?  A. It can be an order that's placed in an automated fashion with within the system. So maybe one SAP system talking to another business's in the electronic data exchange.  Q. All right. So then down on No. 17, it says "Path forward, evaluation 10 working days. Bob reviews everything with Ron Buzzeo. All communication will go to Tom and Scott. They recommended that SOMS and compliance regulation is tied into legal. And future

	Page 217		Page 218
1	Do you remember having that	1	Q. What is it?
2	discussion during this meeting?	2	A. This is a security awareness
3	A. I don't specifically. It was a while	3	presentation that I put together to talk about
4	ago, but I'm sure it took place.	4	to our security managers, our operations
5	Q. All right. You can set that document	5	management, and our employees. Because part of
6	aside.	6	our security program was security awareness, we
7	(Napoli Exhibit 13, Document entitled	7	wanted to have conversations with our employees
8	"controlled Substance Awareness:	8	about that drug diversion does exist and to talk
9	Understanding the Threat," Bates-stamped	9	about some of these instances and also to
10	ALLERGAN_MDL_02054999 through 5022, marked	10	identify ways in which we can continually, you
11	for identification, as of this date.)	11	know, raise the awareness of employees so we can
12	BY MR. EGLER:	12	focus on ensuring that we have effective
13	Q. I'll hand you what we will mark as	13	controls in place to, to mitigate the loss of
14	Exhibit 13. Can you look at Exhibit 13? And as	14	any of these products.
15	you're looking at it, I'll read into the record	15	Q. Okay. With regard to this document,
16	that the first page well, the first page has	16	it states "date created," on the first page,
17	no Bates numbers on it, but starting on the	17	"February 2009" and "date last modified,
18	second page, it's ALLERGAN_MDL_02054999 through	18	August 2011"?
19	5022.	19	A. Okay.
20	Mr. Napoli, when you're ready, will	20	Q. And it also states it's from your
21	you tell me if you recognize the presentation	21	custodial file.
22	that appears after the first page of this	22	Do you remember using this document
23	Exhibit 13?	23	around 2011?
24	A. I recognize it.	24	A. I don't specifically, but it's
			Page 220
1	possible.	-	
	DOSSIDIC.		A Controlled substance compliance II C
	-	1	A. Controlled substance compliance U.S.
2	Q. I think you said that this	2	Q. So that is underneath you; is that
2	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation	2 3	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?
2 3 4	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees	2 3 4	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And then with regard to the Mark</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban?</li> <li>A. Buban.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban?</li> <li>A. Buban.</li> <li>Q. Buban?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban?</li> <li>A. Buban.</li> <li>Q. Buban?</li> <li>A. Um-hmm.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in general.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban? A. Buban. Q. Buban? A. Um-hmm. Q. That's B-u-b-a-n. Manager, security
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in general.  Q. So with regard to this document, can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban?</li> <li>A. Buban.</li> <li>Q. Buban?</li> <li>A. Um-hmm.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in general.  Q. So with regard to this document, can you turn into the document to page 004 where it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban?  A. Buban. Q. Buban? A. Um-hmm. Q. That's B-u-b-a-n. Manager, security and product protection Salt Lake City?  A. Um-hmm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in general.  Q. So with regard to this document, can you turn into the document to page 004 where it says "Organizational overview"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban? A. Buban. Q. Buban? A. Um-hmm. Q. That's B-u-b-a-n. Manager, security and product protection Salt Lake City? A. Um-hmm. Q. Do you remember what products Watson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in general.  Q. So with regard to this document, can you turn into the document to page 004 where it says "Organizational overview"?  (Witness complies.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban? A. Buban. Q. Buban? A. Um-hmm. Q. That's B-u-b-a-n. Manager, security and product protection Salt Lake City? A. Um-hmm. Q. Do you remember what products Watson made in its Salt Lake City facility?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in general.  Q. So with regard to this document, can you turn into the document to page 004 where it says "Organizational overview"?  (Witness complies.) A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban? A. Buban. Q. Buban? A. Um-hmm. Q. That's B-u-b-a-n. Manager, security and product protection Salt Lake City? A. Um-hmm. Q. Do you remember what products Watson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in general.  Q. So with regard to this document, can you turn into the document to page 004 where it says "Organizational overview"?  (Witness complies.)  A. Yes.  Q. And I think we had talked about a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban? A. Buban. Q. Buban? A. Um-hmm. Q. That's B-u-b-a-n. Manager, security and product protection Salt Lake City? A. Um-hmm. Q. Do you remember what products Watson made in its Salt Lake City facility? A. Salt Lake City had a broad portfolio. And I, I couldn't speak to the non-controls, to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in general.  Q. So with regard to this document, can you turn into the document to page 004 where it says "Organizational overview"?  (Witness complies.)  A. Yes.  Q. And I think we had talked about a number of people that appear on this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban? A. Buban. Q. Buban? A. Um-hmm. Q. That's B-u-b-a-n. Manager, security and product protection Salt Lake City? A. Um-hmm. Q. Do you remember what products Watson made in its Salt Lake City facility? A. Salt Lake City had a broad portfolio.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in general.  Q. So with regard to this document, can you turn into the document to page 004 where it says "Organizational overview"?  (Witness complies.)  A. Yes.  Q. And I think we had talked about a number of people that appear on this organizational chart. And your name appears on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban? A. Buban. Q. Buban? A. Um-hmm. Q. That's B-u-b-a-n. Manager, security and product protection Salt Lake City? A. Um-hmm. Q. Do you remember what products Watson made in its Salt Lake City facility? A. Salt Lake City had a broad portfolio. And I, I couldn't speak to the non-controls, to be honest with you. It was not my my focus was on the controlled drug. But from a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in general.  Q. So with regard to this document, can you turn into the document to page 004 where it says "Organizational overview"?  (Witness complies.)  A. Yes.  Q. And I think we had talked about a number of people that appear on this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban? A. Buban. Q. Buban? A. Um-hmm. Q. That's B-u-b-a-n. Manager, security and product protection Salt Lake City? A. Um-hmm. Q. Do you remember what products Watson made in its Salt Lake City facility? A. Salt Lake City had a broad portfolio. And I, I couldn't speak to the non-controls, to be honest with you. It was not my my focus was on the controlled drug. But from a controlled drug standpoint, as we talked about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in general.  Q. So with regard to this document, can you turn into the document to page 004 where it says "Organizational overview"?  (Witness complies.)  A. Yes.  Q. And I think we had talked about a number of people that appear on this organizational chart. And your name appears on the far left-hand side; is that right?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban? A. Buban. Q. Buban? A. Um-hmm. Q. That's B-u-b-a-n. Manager, security and product protection Salt Lake City? A. Um-hmm. Q. Do you remember what products Watson made in its Salt Lake City facility? A. Salt Lake City had a broad portfolio. And I, I couldn't speak to the non-controls, to be honest with you. It was not my my focus was on the controlled drug. But from a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in general.  Q. So with regard to this document, can you turn into the document to page 004 where it says "Organizational overview"?  (Witness complies.)  A. Yes.  Q. And I think we had talked about a number of people that appear on this organizational chart. And your name appears on the far left-hand side; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And where, if anywhere, on this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban? A. Buban. Q. Buban? A. Um-hmm. Q. That's B-u-b-a-n. Manager, security and product protection Salt Lake City? A. Um-hmm. Q. Do you remember what products Watson made in its Salt Lake City facility? A. Salt Lake City had a broad portfolio. And I, I couldn't speak to the non-controls, to be honest with you. It was not my my focus was on the controlled drug. But from a controlled drug standpoint, as we talked about before, testosterone, fentanyl transdermal and methylphenidate transdermal.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in general.  Q. So with regard to this document, can you turn into the document to page 004 where it says "Organizational overview"?  (Witness complies.)  A. Yes.  Q. And I think we had talked about a number of people that appear on this organizational chart. And your name appears on the far left-hand side; is that right?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban? A. Buban. Q. Buban? A. Um-hmm. Q. That's B-u-b-a-n. Manager, security and product protection Salt Lake City? A. Um-hmm. Q. Do you remember what products Watson made in its Salt Lake City facility? A. Salt Lake City had a broad portfolio. And I, I couldn't speak to the non-controls, to be honest with you. It was not my my focus was on the controlled drug. But from a controlled drug standpoint, as we talked about before, testosterone, fentanyl transdermal and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. I think you said that this typically or this would be a presentation that you would typically give to employees internally; is that right?  A. Management supervisors, as well as security. And, again, it was to raise the level of understanding of the, you know, of the threats around controlled substance products in general.  Q. So with regard to this document, can you turn into the document to page 004 where it says "Organizational overview"?  (Witness complies.)  A. Yes.  Q. And I think we had talked about a number of people that appear on this organizational chart. And your name appears on the far left-hand side; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And where, if anywhere, on this is the would the Suspicious Order Monitoring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So that is underneath you; is that right?  A. Yes. Q. And then with regard to the Mark is it Buban? A. Buban. Q. Buban? A. Um-hmm. Q. That's B-u-b-a-n. Manager, security and product protection Salt Lake City? A. Um-hmm. Q. Do you remember what products Watson made in its Salt Lake City facility? A. Salt Lake City had a broad portfolio. And I, I couldn't speak to the non-controls, to be honest with you. It was not my my focus was on the controlled drug. But from a controlled drug standpoint, as we talked about before, testosterone, fentanyl transdermal and methylphenidate transdermal. Q. So the next page, 005, it states, "We

	Page 221		Page 222
1	"prescribed for legitimate medical need in the	1	A. So we're trying to familiarize our
2	U.S. and encountered by law enforcement on the	2	security team members, as well as supervisors
3	street."	3	and managers, about the illicit use of these
4	I think we talked about that earlier;	4	products. You know, our products are developed
5	is that right?	5	and marketed for to reduce legitimate pain in
6	A. Yup.	6	those in suffering, but also there is a
7	Q. And going to page 007, it says,	7	percentage of products that are that wind up
8	"hydrocodone" and then in parentheses, "see	8	in illicit markets. And we're just providing
9	Roman numeral 3."	9	insight to our employee to our members of
10	Is that right?	10	management, supervisors, and security folks
11	A. Correct.	11	about the illicit side.
12	Q. It says, "Street names: Vikes,	12	Q. You write and this is 2011, I
13	Hydro and Norco"?	13	think, when this is written.
14	A. Um-hmm.	14	A. Um-hmm.
15	Q. And then you write, "In 2006, DEA	15	Q. "In 2006, DEA documented the
16	documented the diversion of millions of dosage	16	diversion of millions of dosage units."
17	units." And then you write, "The United States	17	Why did you write that?
18	consumes 99 percent of the global hydrocodone	18	A. Just to convey the magnitude of some
19	supply."	19	of the issues that are going on.
20	Why did you write that on here?	20	Q. All right.
21	A. Which statement?	21	And the next one is, "The United
22	Q. Well, let's go through the three of	22	States consumes 99 percent of the global
23	them.	23	hydrocodone supply."
24	The street name?	24	A. Right.
	Page 223		Page 224
1	Q. Why did you write that?	1	removes the opportunity for theft"
2	A. To put it in perspective as well.	2	A. Um-hmm.
3	Q. And of that, United States, I think	3	Q "and then ensure that employees
4	you had said before, Watson, had is it 25	4	understand what their role is in the prevention
5	percent of the quota in its Corona, California,	5	of loss, consistently comply with the
6	plant; is that right?	6	established policies and procedures and
7	A. Yes.	7	obligation to report diversion and suspicious
8	Q. So and then the next page, 008, it's	8	activity."
9	oxycodone CII. Street names: OC, OX, Oxy?	9	Do you remember why you wrote that
10	A. Um-hmm.	10	down, "obligation to report diversion and
11	Q. And it says, "Popular among heroin	11	suspicious activity"?
12	users for alleviating effects of withdrawal and	12	A. Because it is a DEA requirement
13	considered a white-collar addiction because of	13	within the Code of Federal Regulations, and we
14	the perceived product safety."	14	wanted to ensure compliance.
15	Do you remember why you wrote that	15	Q. All right. You can set this document
16	text in there?	16	aside.
17	A. Again, for employee awareness.	17	(Witness complies.)
18	Q. And the next page talks about	18	BY MR. EGLER:
19	employee theft.	19	Q. I'll hand you what we will mark as
20	But then moving down onto I'm	20	Exhibit 14.
21	sorry. Page 17 or page 017 in the exhibit.	21	(Napoli Exhibit 14, NJPIG letter
22	It states, "As management, we must,"	22	dated 7/20/11 from NJPIG Committee to
23	and the first one is "foster a work environment	23	Rannazzisi, Bates-stamped
24	that engages employees to prevent loss and	24	ENDO-OPIOID_MDL-02219848 through 19851,

Page 226 Page 225 1 marked for identification, as of this 1 impacting the manufacturers to be able to, to 2 2 date.) manufacture and ensure that there were adequate 3 3 BY MR. EGLER: supplies for those patients in need of the 4 Q. Mr. Napoli, could you look at what we 4 products. 5 Q. So when you that term "delays in 5 marked as Exhibit 14. And as with the prior receiving quota grants" --6 6 exhibit, I can remember which one, I'll just 7 7 Sure. tell you this didn't come from the Allergan 8 8 -- what does that mean? production. 9 9 It means that, you know, when -- when A. Okay. 10 -- there's is a couple aspects to it. So when 10 Q. That's why the bottom right-hand corner numbers are different. 11 we talked before about the aggregate quota and 11 12 also receiving manufacturer procurement quotas 12 A. Okay. 13 and procurement quotas are more relevant to the 13 Q. It states ENDO-OPIOID MDL-02219848 14 manufacturers of solid-dose products, there are 14 through 19851. But could you look at this 15 established timelines within the DEA regulations 15 document and tell me if you recognize it? 16 that they have to meet, that they're obligated 16 (Document review.) 17 to meet to communicate the grants of 17 A. I do recognize the document. 18 quota-driven materials to industry. 18 O. What is this document? 19 And, for example, these -- there is a 19 A. This looks like a letter that we sent 20 midyear adjustment as well as the towards the 20 on behalf of the New Jersey Pharmaceutical 21 latter part of the year, you'll receive a grant 21 Industry Group to Deputy Administrator Joe 22 for the next calendar year. And the DEA was 2.2 Rannazzisi. And it was about significant issues 23 consistently not coming close to meeting these 23 that were going on around this time frame with 24 obligations. 24 delays in receiving quota grants that were Page 227 Page 228 1 And by receiving these grants in a letter seeing it when it was in draft form? 1 2 2 delayed manner, it would impact the company's A. Excuse me? 3 ability to, if you don't understand what, what 3 Q. Would you have seen this letter 4 quota that you're receiving, you can't plan your 4 before it was sent, while it was in draft form? 5 manufacturing campaigns effectively and your 5 A. I would have seen it and I'm sure our 6 manufacturing plants to be able to manufacture 6 attorneys would have seen it as well. 7 7 your product. Q. I was going to ask you that next. 8 When they talk about the procurement 8 Well, let me get a little bit more 9 9 process, the procurement quota process taking general. nine weeks or more, again, that was a process 10 10 A. Sure. 11 that would typically -- throughout the year, a 11 Q. This letter is dated July 20th, 2011; 12 DEA registrant based on sales, you can go back 12 is that right? 13 to the DEA and request more quota if it 13 A. 14 justifies. 14 Q. And at this time, July 2011, were you 15 still involved in the New Jersey Pharmaceutical 15 Those types of requests were taking a protracted amount of time. Again, that was Industry Group on behalf of your then employer 16 16 17 affecting the supply chain as well and the 17 Watson? 18 manufacturing process. 18 A. Yes. 19 So essentially, this, this letter 19 Q. Was anyone else from Watson involved 2.0 in the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group was, you know, an appeal to deputy administrator 20 21 for us to try to identify a way where we can 2.1 at that time? 22 work on, you know, enhancing or improving these 22 A. I was the main person. 23 timelines. 23 O. And so if this letter was sent in 24 Q. Do you remember with regards to this 24 draft to the company representatives, you would

	Page 229		Page 230
1	have received it; is that right?	1	A. Yes.
2	A. Correct.	2	Q. And there is a person from Novartis,
3	Q. So when as you think about your	3	Noramco, Purdue, Reckitt Benckiser?
4	general processes or unless you have a	4	A. Benckiser.
5	particular memory, when you would have received	5	Q. Benckiser.
6	the draft of this letter, what would you have	6	And Halo Pharmaceuticals, right?
7	done with it?	7	A. Um-hmm.
8	A. I would have reviewed the letter	8	Q. Do you know why that subgroup of
9	first, and I would have likely reviewed it with	9	people from the NJPIG committee were listed
10	my boss and also have either had a meeting or	10	here?
11	sent it to our legal folks to review.	11	A. Those individuals served as kind of
12	Q. Do you remember in particular with	12	the core committee for the organization itself.
13	regard to this letter, having a meeting with the	13	I don't want to use the term "officers of the
14	legal staff at Watson about it?	14	committee," but that could can be interpreted in
15	A. I don't remember a specific meeting.	15	that way.
16		16	Q. And one of those people is your
17	Q. And do you remember do you have a particular memory of responding to the NJPIG	17	former co-employee Tracey Hernandez, right?
18	about Watson's official opinion with regards to	18	A. That's correct.
	this letter?	19	Q. So do you remember talking with her
19 20	A. I don't.	20	about this letter?
		21	A. No.
21	Q. Okay. At the end of this letter,	22	Q. The company that she worked for at
22	there is the signature block, for lack of better	23	this point, Reckitt
23	term. It says "NJPIG committee."	24	A. Benckiser.
24	Do you see that there?	21	A. Bellensel.
	Page 231		Page 232
1	Q Benckiser, do you know whether	1	Assistant Administrator Rannazzisi around this
2	they made opioids?	2	time frame, July 2011?
3	A. I don't. I don't know the first	3	A. I don't recall any specific issues.
4	thing about them.	4	Q. So this letter talks about quotas; is
5	Q. Okay. So going back to the first	5	that right?
6	page of this letter, do you remember anyone from	6	A. Yes, sir.
7	Watson let me start over.	7	Q. And let's start off broadly.
8	Do you remember if anyone from Watson	8	From the time you started in your DEA
9	ever told you that this letter was not	9	affairs position at Watson and through the time
10	appropriate to send to Deputy Assistant	10	you left Actavis, did the quota on any Schedule
11	Administrator Rannazzisi in July of 2011?	11	II controlled substance granted Watson or
12	A. Not to my knowledge.	12	Actavis go down?
13	Q. And do you remember anybody saying	13	A. I would say by the prior to me
14	that it was appropriate to send?	14	leaving the organization?
15	A. I don't have a specific memory.	15	Q. Yes.
	Q. Do you remember anyone from Watson	16	A. I definitely think that I believe
16		1 4 -	
	having any edits to the letter	17	some of the opioids quota went down.
16		17	Q. Do you have a particular memory of
16 17	having any edits to the letter		
16 17 18	having any edits to the letter A. Not that I'm	18	Q. Do you have a particular memory of
16 17 18 19	having any edits to the letter A. Not that I'm Q to the draft?	18 19	Q. Do you have a particular memory of any particular opioid that went down?
16 17 18 19 20	having any edits to the letter A. Not that I'm Q to the draft? A. Not that I'm aware. Sorry.	18 19 20	<ul><li>Q. Do you have a particular memory of any particular opioid that went down?</li><li>A. I'm and, again, I don't have the</li></ul>
16 17 18 19 20 21	having any edits to the letter A. Not that I'm Q to the draft? A. Not that I'm aware. Sorry. Q. All right. Now do you remember anyone from Watson ever commenting that beyond the quota issues that are raised here, there	18 19 20 21	<ul><li>Q. Do you have a particular memory of any particular opioid that went down?</li><li>A. I'm and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me. Those can be easily</li></ul>
16 17 18 19 20 21	having any edits to the letter A. Not that I'm Q to the draft? A. Not that I'm aware. Sorry. Q. All right. Now do you remember anyone from Watson ever commenting that beyond	18 19 20 21 22	Q. Do you have a particular memory of any particular opioid that went down?  A. I'm and, again, I don't have the numbers in front of me. Those can be easily found in the federal register. But I think that

	Page 233		Page 234
1	that you, you probably saw hydrocodone either	1	just be well, organically it would be reduced
2	stayed the same or maybe go down, but I, but I	2	because we would request less. It wasn't an
3	can't swear to that.	3	action taken by DEA to take back your quota, is
4	Q. As you think of it, was any reduction	4	what I'm saying.
5	in quota for an opioid at Watson or Actavis	5	Q. So do you remember making a smaller
6	while you were there the result of	6	quota request on any particular opioids in the
7	company-specific activities or non-activities,	7	time that you worked at Watson or Actavis?
8	or were they related to the entire molecule	8	A. I do.
9	market?	9	Q. Can you tell me about it?
10	MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.	10	A. Oxycodone.
11	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form and	11	Q. Do you remember what year that was?
12	foundation.	12	A. It would post 2012.
13	A. So when I just spoke about	13	Q. All right. Any other ones?
14	reductions, I'm, I'm speaking of the aggregate,	14	A. Not that I recall.
15	so that's everybody's. So as far as Watson's	15	Q. So oxycodone, is that a generic name
16	quota, I don't recall having reduced our	16	for a brand-name opioid?
17	quotas reduced. I mean, our quotas were	17	A. Oxycodone is the molecule, so it's
18	commensurate with what our sales were. It was a	18	the active ingredient which can be used in
19	direct relationship there. And I think, you	19	combination products, such as in combination
20	know, there were times I think where our, our	20	with acetaminophen or aspirin. It could also be
21	quota needs went down.	21	a single-entity product, such as like an
22	Q. When Watson's quotas needs went down,	22	OxyContin type of product.
23	was their quota reduced?	23	Q. Do you remember whether Watson or
24	A. It wouldn't be reduced. It would	24	Actavis made generic OxyContin?
	Page 235		Page 236
1	A. OxyContin?	1	A. It could have been our due diligence
2	Q. Yes.	2	efforts when we re-onboarded legacy customers.
3	A. I'm not sure specifically how far we	3	Q. Do you remember whether a new version
4	got into the market with that. I know it was	4	of any brand name of oxycodone came out around
5	being developed. I do know that there was a	5	that time?
6	brief time where we were an authorized generic	6	A. As far as a brand?
7	for Purdue Pharma, but that didn't last very	7	Q. Yes.
8	long because of litigation that they had	8	A. We wouldn't have manufactured any
9	ongoing, so that was not a long lasting	9	brand. If you're talking about anything
10	relationship.	10	marketed by us, we would not we didn't have
11	But as far as I can't be exact. I	11	any brand oxy.
12	know that it was a product that was in	12	Q. And beyond any drug marketed by you,
13	development, but I think that it was I don't	13	do you remember, say, for example, a
14	know if it ever came to fruition or what the	14	tamper-resistant version of
15	distribution of that product was.	15	A. I was just going to say, there were
16	Q. So as you think about the reduced	16	various formulations that were being developed
17	quota request for oxycodone, do you remember the	17	by innovators such as Purdue where it was
18	reason for it?	18	increasing the tamper-resistant for controlled
19	A. I do believe that when when we	19	substance single-entity products like OxyContin.
20	transitioned, we when bought legacy Actavis into	20	Q. Do you ever remember whether that
21	organization, it became our SOMS program, there	21	development, the tamper-resistant technology,
22	was a, a reduction.	22	had an effect of reducing Watson's or Actavis's
23	Q. Do you remember the reason for the	23	quota request?
24	reduction?	24	A. I don't believe there was a direct

	237	Page 238
1 correlation because we are largely talking ab	oout 1	inquiring about that?
2 two different types of products where one is		A. I don't recall.
you know, a product like OxyContin is just	3	Q. As you made the reduced quota demand
4 purely oxycodone in larger controlled release	e 4	for the
5 quantities, where a lot of the products we	5	A. Well, I mean, obviously if we're
6 manufactured were a combination products	with, 6	making a quota request or if we're not due for a
7 you know, smaller amounts, but, but combine	ned 7	quota request is because of diminished sales.
8 with hydrocodone and oxycodone, with	8	Q. Do you remember what the reason for
9 acetaminophen or two different types of deli	very 9	the diminished sales were?
10 systems, two different types of products.	10	A. That, I don't know.
Probably geared towards different types of	11	Q. All right.
patients, I believe, too, as well. I would	12	MR. LUXTON: Before we go to the next
imagine OxyContin is delivered more to an	13	document, can we take a quick bathroom
14 individual who has got some chronic pain w	here 14	break?
15 again you don't want that, the peaks and vall	leys 15	MR. EGLER: Sure.
of the efficacy of the product so	16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
Q. So with regard to the reduction in	17	2:37 p.m. We are going off the record.
18 quota request that you're thinking of, would	you 18	(Recess is taken.)
characterize it as a drop-off in demand or a	19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
drop-off in supply or a drop-off in the numb	er 20	record at approximately 3:05 p.m.
of customers or something else?	21	(Napoli Exhibit 15, Watson document
MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.	22	entitled SOMS Project Evolution IT
A. I couldn't speculate on that.	23	Governance Meeting, Bates-stamped
Q. Do you remember at some point eve	er 24	ALLERGAN_MDL_02468983 through 68994, marked
Page :	239	Dago 240
	437	Page 240
		Page 240
1 for identification, as of this date.)	1	A. For this document itself?
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER:	1 2	<ul><li>A. For this document itself?</li><li>Q. This particular document.</li></ul>
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are	1 2 3	<ul><li>A. For this document itself?</li><li>Q. This particular document.</li><li>A. I don't have an exact recollection.</li></ul>
for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are still under oath?	1 2 3 4	<ul><li>A. For this document itself?</li><li>Q. This particular document.</li><li>A. I don't have an exact recollection.</li><li>I could have contributed to this.</li></ul>
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes.	1 2 3	<ul> <li>A. For this document itself?</li> <li>Q. This particular document.</li> <li>A. I don't have an exact recollection.</li> <li>I could have contributed to this.</li> <li>Q. All right. So as you look at this</li> </ul>
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just	1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. For this document itself?</li> <li>Q. This particular document.</li> <li>A. I don't have an exact recollection.</li> <li>I could have contributed to this.</li> <li>Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who</li> </ul>
for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are still under oath?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you look at what I've just marked as Exhibit 15?	1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. For this document itself?</li> <li>Q. This particular document.</li> <li>A. I don't have an exact recollection.</li> <li>I could have contributed to this.</li> <li>Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to?</li> </ul>
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. For this document itself?</li> <li>Q. This particular document.</li> <li>A. I don't have an exact recollection.</li> <li>I could have contributed to this.</li> <li>Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to?</li> <li>A. Based on the fact that it's an IT</li> </ul>
for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are still under oath?  A. Yes.  Q. Could you look at what I've just marked as Exhibit 15?  And as with other documents I've handed you today, the first page has no Bates	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. For this document itself?</li> <li>Q. This particular document.</li> <li>A. I don't have an exact recollection.</li> <li>I could have contributed to this.</li> <li>Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to?</li> </ul>
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've 9 handed you today, the first page has no Bates 10 number, but starting on the second page, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. For this document itself?  Q. This particular document.  A. I don't have an exact recollection.  I could have contributed to this.  Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to?  A. Based on the fact that it's an IT governance meeting, I'm thinking perhaps some our IT folks.
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've 9 handed you today, the first page has no Bates 10 number, but starting on the second page, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. For this document itself?  Q. This particular document.  A. I don't have an exact recollection.  I could have contributed to this.  Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to?  A. Based on the fact that it's an IT governance meeting, I'm thinking perhaps some
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've 9 handed you today, the first page has no Bates 10 number, but starting on the second page, the 11 Bates numbers are ALLERGAN_MDL_02468983 the 12 68994.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 rough 11	A. For this document itself?  Q. This particular document.  A. I don't have an exact recollection.  I could have contributed to this.  Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to?  A. Based on the fact that it's an IT governance meeting, I'm thinking perhaps some our IT folks.  Q. Have you ever heard that term "IT
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've 9 handed you today, the first page has no Bates 10 number, but starting on the second page, the 11 Bates numbers are ALLERGAN_MDL_02468983 the 12 68994.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 rough 11 12	A. For this document itself? Q. This particular document. A. I don't have an exact recollection. I could have contributed to this. Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to? A. Based on the fact that it's an IT governance meeting, I'm thinking perhaps some our IT folks. Q. Have you ever heard that term "IT governance" in the course of your work at
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've 9 handed you today, the first page has no Bates 10 number, but starting on the second page, the 11 Bates numbers are ALLERGAN_MDL_02468983 the 12 68994. 13 Can you take a look at this document,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. For this document itself?  Q. This particular document.  A. I don't have an exact recollection.  I could have contributed to this.  Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to?  A. Based on the fact that it's an IT governance meeting, I'm thinking perhaps some our IT folks.  Q. Have you ever heard that term "IT governance" in the course of your work at Watson?
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've 9 handed you today, the first page has no Bates 10 number, but starting on the second page, the 11 Bates numbers are ALLERGAN_MDL_02468983 the 12 68994. 13 Can you take a look at this document, 14 and when you're ready, tell me if you recognize	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 rough 11 12 13 14	A. For this document itself? Q. This particular document. A. I don't have an exact recollection. I could have contributed to this. Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to? A. Based on the fact that it's an IT governance meeting, I'm thinking perhaps some our IT folks. Q. Have you ever heard that term "IT governance" in the course of your work at Watson? A. I have.
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've 9 handed you today, the first page has no Bates 10 number, but starting on the second page, the 11 Bates numbers are ALLERGAN_MDL_02468983 the 12 68994. 13 Can you take a look at this document, 14 and when you're ready, tell me if you recognize 15 it.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. For this document itself? Q. This particular document. A. I don't have an exact recollection. I could have contributed to this. Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to? A. Based on the fact that it's an IT governance meeting, I'm thinking perhaps some our IT folks. Q. Have you ever heard that term "IT governance" in the course of your work at Watson? A. I have. Q. What does that term mean to you?
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've 9 handed you today, the first page has no Bates 10 number, but starting on the second page, the 11 Bates numbers are ALLERGAN_MDL_02468983 the 12 68994. 13 Can you take a look at this document, 14 and when you're ready, tell me if you recognize 15 it. 16 (Document review.)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. For this document itself? Q. This particular document. A. I don't have an exact recollection. I could have contributed to this. Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to? A. Based on the fact that it's an IT governance meeting, I'm thinking perhaps some our IT folks. Q. Have you ever heard that term "IT governance" in the course of your work at Watson? A. I have. Q. What does that term mean to you? A. Well, from a governance perspective,
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've 9 handed you today, the first page has no Bates 10 number, but starting on the second page, the 11 Bates numbers are ALLERGAN_MDL_02468983 the 12 68994. 13 Can you take a look at this document, 14 and when you're ready, tell me if you recognize 15 it. 16 (Document review.) 17 A. I do. 18 Q. What is this document?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 rough 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. For this document itself? Q. This particular document. A. I don't have an exact recollection. I could have contributed to this. Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to? A. Based on the fact that it's an IT governance meeting, I'm thinking perhaps some our IT folks. Q. Have you ever heard that term "IT governance" in the course of your work at Watson? A. I have. Q. What does that term mean to you? A. Well, from a governance perspective, I would think, and it's IT, I would think that
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've 9 handed you today, the first page has no Bates 10 number, but starting on the second page, the 11 Bates numbers are ALLERGAN_MDL_02468983 the 12 68994. 13 Can you take a look at this document, 14 and when you're ready, tell me if you recognize 15 it. 16 (Document review.) 17 A. I do. 18 Q. What is this document? 19 A. It's a document I guess detailing the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 rough 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. For this document itself? Q. This particular document. A. I don't have an exact recollection. I could have contributed to this. Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to? A. Based on the fact that it's an IT governance meeting, I'm thinking perhaps some our IT folks. Q. Have you ever heard that term "IT governance" in the course of your work at Watson? A. I have. Q. What does that term mean to you? A. Well, from a governance perspective, I would think, and it's IT, I would think that ensuring that any systems or IT-related programs
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've 9 handed you today, the first page has no Bates 10 number, but starting on the second page, the 11 Bates numbers are ALLERGAN_MDL_02468983 the 12 68994. 13 Can you take a look at this document, 14 and when you're ready, tell me if you recognize 15 it. 16 (Document review.) 17 A. I do. 18 Q. What is this document? 19 A. It's a document I guess detailing the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. For this document itself? Q. This particular document. A. I don't have an exact recollection. I could have contributed to this. Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to? A. Based on the fact that it's an IT governance meeting, I'm thinking perhaps some our IT folks. Q. Have you ever heard that term "IT governance" in the course of your work at Watson? A. I have. Q. What does that term mean to you? A. Well, from a governance perspective, I would think, and it's IT, I would think that ensuring that any systems or IT-related programs that we're utilizing within the organization
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've 9 handed you today, the first page has no Bates 10 number, but starting on the second page, the 11 Bates numbers are ALLERGAN_MDL_02468983 the 12 68994. 13 Can you take a look at this document, 14 and when you're ready, tell me if you recognize 15 it. 16 (Document review.) 17 A. I do. 18 Q. What is this document? 19 A. It's a document I guess detailing the 20 anatomy of our SOMS project.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. For this document itself? Q. This particular document. A. I don't have an exact recollection. I could have contributed to this. Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to? A. Based on the fact that it's an IT governance meeting, I'm thinking perhaps some our IT folks. Q. Have you ever heard that term "IT governance" in the course of your work at Watson? A. I have. Q. What does that term mean to you? A. Well, from a governance perspective, I would think, and it's IT, I would think that ensuring that any systems or IT-related programs that we're utilizing within the organization meet the requirements in compliance with our
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've 9 handed you today, the first page has no Bates 10 number, but starting on the second page, the 11 Bates numbers are ALLERGAN_MDL_02468983 the 12 68994. 13 Can you take a look at this document, 14 and when you're ready, tell me if you recognize 15 it. 16 (Document review.) 17 A. I do. 18 Q. What is this document? 19 A. It's a document I guess detailing the 20 anatomy of our SOMS project. 21 Q. So as you think about this document,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. For this document itself? Q. This particular document. A. I don't have an exact recollection. I could have contributed to this. Q. All right. So as you look at this document, do you have an understanding of who this presentation was made to? A. Based on the fact that it's an IT governance meeting, I'm thinking perhaps some our IT folks. Q. Have you ever heard that term "IT governance" in the course of your work at Watson? A. I have. Q. What does that term mean to you? A. Well, from a governance perspective, I would think, and it's IT, I would think that ensuring that any systems or IT-related programs that we're utilizing within the organization meet the requirements in compliance with our standard operating procedures.
1 for identification, as of this date.) 2 BY MR. EGLER: 3 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are 4 still under oath? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Could you look at what I've just 7 marked as Exhibit 15? 8 And as with other documents I've 9 handed you today, the first page has no Bates 10 number, but starting on the second page, the 11 Bates numbers are ALLERGAN_MDL_02468983 the 12 68994. 13 Can you take a look at this document, 14 and when you're ready, tell me if you recognize 15 it. 16 (Document review.) 17 A. I do. 18 Q. What is this document? 19 A. It's a document I guess detailing the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. For this document itself?  Q. This particular document.  A. I don't have an exact recollect I could have contributed to this.  Q. All right. So as you look at the document, do you have an understand this presentation was made to?  A. Based on the fact that it's an I governance meeting, I'm thinking perfour IT folks.  Q. Have you ever heard that term governance" in the course of your wow Watson?  A. I have.  Q. What does that term mean to a world think, and it's IT, I would think ensuring that any systems or IT-relate that we're utilizing within the organiz meet the requirements in compliance.

	Page 241		Page 242
1	particular people that stand out in your mind?	1	guidance, December letter of 2000." It states,
2	A. Not really.	2	"Registrants that rely on rigid formulas to
3	Q. So going into this document, on the	3	define whether an order is suspicious may be
4	fourth page, it's 8984. At the top of the page	4	failing to detect suspicious orders. For
5	it states, "Regulatory Requirement.	5	example, a system that identifies orders as
6	A. Um-hmm.	6	suspicious only if the total number exceeds the
7	Q. And it states it has the language	7	previous month by a certain percentage or more
8	of that 21 CFR 1301.74 (B), right?	8	is insufficient."
9	A. Yes.	9	Do you see that there?
10	Q. And you see that?	10	A. Yes.
11	So I'll just read it in the record.	11	Q. That second group of, or that second
12	"The registrant shall design and	12	paragraph that I read, do you recognize that as
13	operate a system to disclose to the registrant	13	being from the letter authorized by
14	suspicious orders of controlled substances. The	14	Mr. Rannazzisi?
15	registrant shall inform the field division of	15	A. Yes.
16	the administration in his area of suspicious	16	Q. And do you remember whether, in that
17	orders when discovered by the registrant.	17	same letter, Mr. Rannazzisi highlighted the term
18	Suspicious orders include orders of unusual	18	"include" in the 21 CFR 1301.74 (B) language
19	size, orders deviating substantially from a	19	above, that suspicious orders "include" orders
20	normal pattern, and orders of unusual	20	of unusual size, orders deviating substantially
21	frequency."	21	from a normal pattern, and orders of unusual
22	Do you see that?	22	frequency?
23	A. Yes, sir.	23	A. I don't recall if that was within the
24	Q. And then the next one says, "Further	24	letter.
	Page 243		Page 244
			5
1	Q. And that there may be more than those	1	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."
1 2	Q. And that there may be more than those three requirements or conditions for something	1 2	
			by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."
2	three requirements or conditions for something	2	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this
2	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?	2 3	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson
2 3 4	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this,	2 3 4	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current	2 3 4 5	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?
2 3 4 5 6	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this,	2 3 4 5 6	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.
2 3 4 5 6 7	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.	2 3 4 5 6 7	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.  Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.  Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself and tell me what, in your opinion, it describes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.  Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade."  Do you see that there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself and tell me what, in your opinion, it describes?  As you're reading it to yourself,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.  Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself and tell me what, in your opinion, it describes?  As you're reading it to yourself, I'll read it into the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.  Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.  Q. Class of trade, it then says,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself and tell me what, in your opinion, it describes?  As you're reading it to yourself, I'll read it into the record.  "Current automated model designed and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.  Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.  Q. Class of trade, it then says, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor, mail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself and tell me what, in your opinion, it describes?  As you're reading it to yourself, I'll read it into the record.  "Current automated model designed and implemented within SAP, primary user is customer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.  Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.  Q. Class of trade, it then says, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor, mail order, et cetera."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself and tell me what, in your opinion, it describes?  As you're reading it to yourself, I'll read it into the record.  "Current automated model designed and implemented within SAP, primary user is customer relations," then, dash, "order intake process."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.  Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.  Q. Class of trade, it then says, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor, mail order, et cetera."  Is that what you understand a class
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself and tell me what, in your opinion, it describes?  As you're reading it to yourself, I'll read it into the record.  "Current automated model designed and implemented within SAP, primary user is customer relations," then, dash, "order intake process."  And then it states, "Based on a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable." So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012? MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. A. It describes a component of the system. Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade." Do you see that there? A. Yes. Q. Class of trade, it then says, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor, mail order, et cetera." Is that what you understand a class of trade to be?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself and tell me what, in your opinion, it describes?  As you're reading it to yourself, I'll read it into the record.  "Current automated model designed and implemented within SAP, primary user is customer relations," then, dash, "order intake process."  And then it states, "Based on a 'threshold," and then, dash, "customer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.  Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.  Q. Class of trade, it then says, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor, mail order, et cetera."  Is that what you understand a class of trade to be?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself and tell me what, in your opinion, it describes?  As you're reading it to yourself, I'll read it into the record.  "Current automated model designed and implemented within SAP, primary user is customer relations," then, dash, "order intake process."  And then it states, "Based on a 'threshold," and then, dash, "customer groupings," and then a bullet point, "class of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.  Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.  Q. Class of trade, it then says, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor, mail order, et cetera."  Is that what you understand a class of trade to be?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. How about the next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself and tell me what, in your opinion, it describes?  As you're reading it to yourself, I'll read it into the record.  "Current automated model designed and implemented within SAP, primary user is customer relations," then, dash, "order intake process."  And then it states, "Based on a 'threshold," and then, dash, "customer groupings," and then a bullet point, "class of trade," and then three dashes underneath there,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.  Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.  Q. Class of trade, it then says, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor, mail order, et cetera."  Is that what you understand a class of trade to be?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. How about the next language that's down there, "monthly average
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself and tell me what, in your opinion, it describes?  As you're reading it to yourself, I'll read it into the record.  "Current automated model designed and implemented within SAP, primary user is customer relations," then, dash, "order intake process."  And then it states, "Based on a 'threshold," and then, dash, "customer groupings," and then a bullet point, "class of trade," and then three dashes underneath there, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable." So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012? MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. A. It describes a component of the system. Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade." Do you see that there? A. Yes. Q. Class of trade, it then says, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor, mail order, et cetera." Is that what you understand a class of trade to be? A. Yes. Q. All right. How about the next language that's down there, "monthly average based on rolling 12-month period," is that class
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself and tell me what, in your opinion, it describes?  As you're reading it to yourself, I'll read it into the record.  "Current automated model designed and implemented within SAP, primary user is customer relations," then, dash, "order intake process."  And then it states, "Based on a 'threshold," and then, dash, "customer groupings," and then a bullet point, "class of trade," and then three dashes underneath there, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor, mail-order, et cetera," then another dash,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.  Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.  Q. Class of trade, it then says, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor, mail order, et cetera."  Is that what you understand a class of trade to be?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. How about the next language that's down there, "monthly average based on rolling 12-month period," is that class of trade?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself and tell me what, in your opinion, it describes?  As you're reading it to yourself, I'll read it into the record.  "Current automated model designed and implemented within SAP, primary user is customer relations," then, dash, "order intake process."  And then it states, "Based on a 'threshold," and then, dash, "customer groupings," and then a bullet point, "class of trade," and then three dashes underneath there, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor, mail-order, et cetera," then another dash, "monthly average based on 12" "based on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.  Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.  Q. Class of trade, it then says, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor, mail order, et cetera."  Is that what you understand a class of trade to be?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. How about the next language that's down there, "monthly average based on rolling 12-month period," is that class of trade?  A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	three requirements or conditions for something to be a suspicious order?  A. I don't recall if it was in the letter.  Q. All right. So moving down into this, on the next page, page 8985, it states, "Current automated model," and it has various texts there.  Can you read that text to yourself and tell me what, in your opinion, it describes?  As you're reading it to yourself, I'll read it into the record.  "Current automated model designed and implemented within SAP, primary user is customer relations," then, dash, "order intake process."  And then it states, "Based on a 'threshold," and then, dash, "customer groupings," and then a bullet point, "class of trade," and then three dashes underneath there, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor, mail-order, et cetera," then another dash,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	by static multiplier equals monthly allowable."  So as you think about that whole page together, does that describe the Watson Suspicious Order Monitoring System around this time April of 2012?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  A. It describes a component of the system.  Q. Okay. Then it has that term that we talked about earlier, "class of trade."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.  Q. Class of trade, it then says, "wholesaler, retail chain, distributor, mail order, et cetera."  Is that what you understand a class of trade to be?  A. Yes.  Q. All right. How about the next language that's down there, "monthly average based on rolling 12-month period," is that class of trade?

	Page 245		Page 246
1	under "class of trade"?	1	It seems to be similar language to
2	Could it be just a mistake	2	the prior one?
3	A. Yes.	3	A. Yes.
4	Q. All right. And if you were tabbing	4	Q. What does that "customer groupings"
5	it today, putting it under a bullet point or a	5	mean?
6	dash, where would you put it? Would you put it	6	A. Not having authored this document, I
7	as the same as based on a threshold, customer	7	don't know. I don't want to speculate.
8	groupings, or somewhere else?	8	Q. And then it states, "Order pending."
9	A. I may put it under a bullet of	9	And then the next bullet point is, "Multiplier
10	formula.	10	table is populated manually based on
11	Q. Okay. And then the next one,	11	estimation."
12	"multiplied by static multiplier equal monthly	12	Do you have an understanding of what
13	allowable," would you put that under the same	13	that sentence means?
14	formula bullet?	14	A. I believe it indicates that the
15	A. Yes.	15	multiplier is set manually based on a review of
16	Q. So if you can turn to that next page,	16	the what the normal behavior could be
17	8986, it states "Customer groupings."	17	estimated to be with a customer.
18	Do you see that there?	18	Q. Now as you think about your time at
19	A. Yes.	19	Watson and Actavis, do you remember about this
20	Q. And it states, "Individual customer	20	time, April 2012, who would have set the
21	ship to location monthly average based on 12"	21	multiplier that's referred to in this page 8986?
22	no, "monthly average based on rolling 12-month	22	A. Setting the multiplier was the
23	period," and then "multiplied by static	23	responsibility of my group.
24	multiplier equal monthly allowable."	24	Q. Do you remember if there was one
	Page 247		Page 248
1	person in particular who would have set the	1	Monitoring System complied with the DEA
2	multiplier?	2	regulations and laws in April 2012?
3	multiplier?  A. I would have authorized it.	2 3	
	-		regulations and laws in April 2012?
3	A. I would have authorized it.	3	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific
3 4	<ul><li>A. I would have authorized it.</li><li>Q. So with regard to setting the</li></ul>	3 4	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to
3 4 5	<ul><li>A. I would have authorized it.</li><li>Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the</li></ul>	3 4 5	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.
3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I would have authorized it.</li> <li>Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone
3 4 5 6 7	A. I would have authorized it. Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with	3 4 5 6 7	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I would have authorized it.  Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or	3 4 5 6 7 8	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I would have authorized it. Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or something else?	3 4 5 6 7 8	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System complying with the DEA regulations and laws
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I would have authorized it.  Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or something else?  A. It would be the same for each	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System complying with the DEA regulations and laws around this time?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I would have authorized it.  Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or something else?  A. It would be the same for each customer.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System complying with the DEA regulations and laws around this time?  A. Not that I recall.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I would have authorized it.  Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or something else?  A. It would be the same for each customer.  Q. So, say, McKesson was one of the customers, every multiplier let me start over.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System complying with the DEA regulations and laws around this time?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. All right. And then it says below,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I would have authorized it.  Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or something else?  A. It would be the same for each customer.  Q. So, say, McKesson was one of the customers, every multiplier let me start	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System complying with the DEA regulations and laws around this time?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. All right. And then it says below,  "Increased enforcement action by DEA in the area
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I would have authorized it.  Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or something else?  A. It would be the same for each customer.  Q. So, say, McKesson was one of the customers, every multiplier let me start over.  Say McKesson was one of the customers, the multiplier for every order by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System complying with the DEA regulations and laws around this time?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. All right. And then it says below, "Increased enforcement action by DEA in the area of SOM audits."  And then, "Most recently Cardinal and CVS failing to maintain systems to detect
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I would have authorized it.  Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or something else?  A. It would be the same for each customer.  Q. So, say, McKesson was one of the customers, every multiplier let me start over.  Say McKesson was one of the customers, the multiplier for every order by McKesson would be the same; is that right?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System complying with the DEA regulations and laws around this time?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. All right. And then it says below, "Increased enforcement action by DEA in the area of SOM audits."  And then, "Most recently Cardinal and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I would have authorized it.  Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or something else?  A. It would be the same for each customer.  Q. So, say, McKesson was one of the customers, every multiplier let me start over.  Say McKesson was one of the customers, the multiplier for every order by McKesson would be the same; is that right?  A. Right.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System complying with the DEA regulations and laws around this time?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. All right. And then it says below, "Increased enforcement action by DEA in the area of SOM audits."  And then, "Most recently Cardinal and CVS failing to maintain systems to detect
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I would have authorized it.  Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or something else?  A. It would be the same for each customer.  Q. So, say, McKesson was one of the customers, every multiplier let me start over.  Say McKesson was one of the customers, the multiplier for every order by McKesson would be the same; is that right?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System complying with the DEA regulations and laws around this time?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. All right. And then it says below,  "Increased enforcement action by DEA in the area of SOM audits."  And then, "Most recently Cardinal and CVS failing to maintain systems to detect diversion."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I would have authorized it.  Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or something else?  A. It would be the same for each customer.  Q. So, say, McKesson was one of the customers, every multiplier let me start over.  Say McKesson was one of the customers, the multiplier for every order by McKesson would be the same; is that right?  A. Right.  Q. So going down further into the document, "Current Automated System Evaluation"	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System complying with the DEA regulations and laws around this time?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. All right. And then it says below, "Increased enforcement action by DEA in the area of SOM audits."  And then, "Most recently Cardinal and CVS failing to maintain systems to detect diversion."  Do you see that there?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I would have authorized it.  Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or something else?  A. It would be the same for each customer.  Q. So, say, McKesson was one of the customers, every multiplier let me start over.  Say McKesson was one of the customers, the multiplier for every order by McKesson would be the same; is that right?  A. Right.  Q. So going down further into the document, "Current Automated System Evaluation" down below, it says "Based on compliance	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System complying with the DEA regulations and laws around this time?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. All right. And then it says below, "Increased enforcement action by DEA in the area of SOM audits."  And then, "Most recently Cardinal and CVS failing to maintain systems to detect diversion."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember that around this time that Cardinal Lakeland Distribution Center being
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I would have authorized it.  Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or something else?  A. It would be the same for each customer.  Q. So, say, McKesson was one of the customers, every multiplier let me start over.  Say McKesson was one of the customers, the multiplier for every order by McKesson would be the same; is that right?  A. Right.  Q. So going down further into the document, "Current Automated System Evaluation" down below, it says "Based on compliance concerns."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System complying with the DEA regulations and laws around this time?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. All right. And then it says below, "Increased enforcement action by DEA in the area of SOM audits."  And then, "Most recently Cardinal and CVS failing to maintain systems to detect diversion."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember that around this time that Cardinal Lakeland Distribution Center being shut down?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I would have authorized it.  Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or something else?  A. It would be the same for each customer.  Q. So, say, McKesson was one of the customers, every multiplier let me start over.  Say McKesson was one of the customers, the multiplier for every order by McKesson would be the same; is that right?  A. Right.  Q. So going down further into the document, "Current Automated System Evaluation" down below, it says "Based on compliance concerns."  Do you remember there being concerns	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System complying with the DEA regulations and laws around this time?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. All right. And then it says below, "Increased enforcement action by DEA in the area of SOM audits."  And then, "Most recently Cardinal and CVS failing to maintain systems to detect diversion."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember that around this time that Cardinal Lakeland Distribution Center being shut down?  A. I don't have a specific memory, but I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I would have authorized it.  Q. So with regard to setting the multiplier, as you think about it, would the multiplier be the same for every order by a particular customer or would they differ with regard to different orders by customers or something else?  A. It would be the same for each customer.  Q. So, say, McKesson was one of the customers, every multiplier let me start over.  Say McKesson was one of the customers, the multiplier for every order by McKesson would be the same; is that right?  A. Right.  Q. So going down further into the document, "Current Automated System Evaluation" down below, it says "Based on compliance concerns."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	regulations and laws in April 2012?  A. I don't recall any specific compliance concerns, but only our desire to enhance the system.  Q. Okay. Do you remember ever anyone ever telling you that they had concerns about Watson's Suspicious Order Monitoring System complying with the DEA regulations and laws around this time?  A. Not that I recall.  Q. All right. And then it says below, "Increased enforcement action by DEA in the area of SOM audits."  And then, "Most recently Cardinal and CVS failing to maintain systems to detect diversion."  Do you see that there?  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember that around this time that Cardinal Lakeland Distribution Center being shut down?

	Page 249		Page 250
1	was, I don't know if it was completely shut down	1	cross-functional team that's referred to there
2	or if there is was a temporary order. I'm not	2	is the group from customer service and the group
3	sure.	3	from the DEA affairs; is that right?
4	Q. And I guess "shut down" isn't the	4	A. That's correct.
5	right way to say it.	5	Q. Oh, and below, it says, "Security and
6	They were unable to sell controlled	6	DEA affairs, IT and customer relations."
7	substances; is that right?	7	And the IT component is programming
8	A. Correct.	8	the automated system into the SAP process; is
9	Q. Okay. So the next bullet point down	9	that right?
10	states, "Expectation that we know our customers'	10	A. Right. Or from a project management
11	customers."	11	standpoint of implementing a new if we went
12	Do you see that there?	12	with a new algorithm into the system.
13	A. Um-hmm.	13	Q. And then "Establish goals, compliance
14	Q. Do you remember where that language	14	and efficiency."
15	came from, "expectation that we know our," that	15	And, again, do you remember there
16	we, quote, "know our customers' customers,"	16	being a discussion about compliance around this
17	unquote?	17	time frame?
18	A. I don't.	18	A. No, I do not.
19	Q. It states, "Cross-functional team	19	Q. All right. And then the next one is,
20	established in 2010."	20	"Budgeted for third-party evaluation in 2011."
21	And I think we talked about that	21	A. Right.
22	before, right?	22	Q. And then turning to the next page,
23	A. Right.	23	"Automated System Evaluation," it starts talking
24	Q. And as you understand it, the	24	about Cegedim-Dendrite; is that right?
	Page 251		Page 252
			5
1	A. Yes	1	
1 2	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>O. And is that the evaluation that we</li></ul>	1 2	Do you see that there?  A. I do.
	Q. And is that the evaluation that we		Do you see that there?  A. I do.
2		2	Do you see that there?  A. I do.  Q. Do you remember that being a finding
2	Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took	2 3	Do you see that there?  A. I do.
2 3 4	Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?	2 3 4	Do you see that there?  A. I do.  Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?</li><li>A. Correct.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. So the next page is "Findings."</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	Do you see that there?  A. I do.  Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So the next page is "Findings."</li> <li>Do you see that there?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So the next page is "Findings."</li> <li>Do you see that there?</li> <li>(Document review.)</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So the next page is "Findings."</li> <li>Do you see that there?</li> <li>(Document review.)</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that.  Is your lack of specific recollection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So the next page is "Findings."  Do you see that there?  (Document review.)</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it states as you see that word</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Do you see that there?  A. I do.  Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection.  Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that.  Is your lack of specific recollection based on the date or something else?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So the next page is "Findings."</li> <li>Do you see that there? (Document review.)</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it states as you see that word</li> <li>"Findings," can you do you have an understanding what that means in the context of this document?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that. Is your lack of specific recollection based on the date or something else?  A. It's just it's been a while. Q. And then it states, "Current model evaluates at SKU level."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?  A. Correct. Q. So the next page is "Findings." Do you see that there? (Document review.) A. Yes. Q. So it states as you see that word "Findings," can you do you have an understanding what that means in the context of this document?  A. These would be observations that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that.  Is your lack of specific recollection based on the date or something else?  A. It's just it's been a while. Q. And then it states, "Current model
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?  A. Correct. Q. So the next page is "Findings." Do you see that there? (Document review.) A. Yes. Q. So it states as you see that word "Findings," can you do you have an understanding what that means in the context of this document?  A. These would be observations that were made by the consultant.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that.  Is your lack of specific recollection based on the date or something else?  A. It's just it's been a while. Q. And then it states, "Current model evaluates at SKU level."  Is that pronounced typically "skew"? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So the next page is "Findings."</li> <li>Do you see that there? (Document review.)</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it states as you see that word "Findings," can you do you have an understanding what that means in the context of this document?</li> <li>A. These would be observations that were made by the consultant.</li> <li>Q. And the consultant was Buzzeo?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that. Is your lack of specific recollection based on the date or something else?  A. It's just it's been a while. Q. And then it states, "Current model evaluates at SKU level." Is that pronounced typically "skew"? A. Yes. Q. All right. What is a SKU?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?  A. Correct. Q. So the next page is "Findings." Do you see that there? (Document review.) A. Yes. Q. So it states as you see that word "Findings," can you do you have an understanding what that means in the context of this document?  A. These would be observations that were made by the consultant. Q. And the consultant was Buzzeo? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that. Is your lack of specific recollection based on the date or something else?  A. It's just it's been a while. Q. And then it states, "Current model evaluates at SKU level." Is that pronounced typically "skew"? A. Yes. Q. All right. What is a SKU? A. A SKU is just one, one product. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?  A. Correct. Q. So the next page is "Findings." Do you see that there? (Document review.) A. Yes. Q. So it states as you see that word "Findings," can you do you have an understanding what that means in the context of this document?  A. These would be observations that were made by the consultant. Q. And the consultant was Buzzeo? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Use of multiplier to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that.  Is your lack of specific recollection based on the date or something else?  A. It's just it's been a while. Q. And then it states, "Current model evaluates at SKU level."  Is that pronounced typically "skew"? A. Yes. Q. All right. What is a SKU? A. A SKU is just one, one product. So it can be oxycodone 10325, 100 fill count, SKU.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?  A. Correct. Q. So the next page is "Findings." Do you see that there? (Document review.) A. Yes. Q. So it states as you see that word "Findings," can you do you have an understanding what that means in the context of this document?  A. These would be observations that were made by the consultant. Q. And the consultant was Buzzeo? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Use of multiplier to create monthly threshold."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that.  Is your lack of specific recollection based on the date or something else?  A. It's just it's been a while. Q. And then it states, "Current model evaluates at SKU level."  Is that pronounced typically "skew"? A. Yes. Q. All right. What is a SKU? A. A SKU is just one, one product. So it can be oxycodone 10325, 100 fill count, SKU. Q. Do you recognize the difference
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?  A. Correct. Q. So the next page is "Findings." Do you see that there? (Document review.) A. Yes. Q. So it states as you see that word "Findings," can you do you have an understanding what that means in the context of this document?  A. These would be observations that were made by the consultant. Q. And the consultant was Buzzeo? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Use of multiplier to create monthly threshold." And it says, "Not consistent with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that. Is your lack of specific recollection based on the date or something else?  A. It's just it's been a while. Q. And then it states, "Current model evaluates at SKU level." Is that pronounced typically "skew"? A. Yes. Q. All right. What is a SKU? A. A SKU is just one, one product. So it can be oxycodone 10325, 100 fill count, SKU. Q. Do you recognize the difference between a SKU and an NDC code?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?  A. Correct. Q. So the next page is "Findings." Do you see that there? (Document review.) A. Yes. Q. So it states as you see that word "Findings," can you do you have an understanding what that means in the context of this document?  A. These would be observations that were made by the consultant. Q. And the consultant was Buzzeo? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Use of multiplier to create monthly threshold." And it says, "Not consistent with specific requirements noted within regulations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that. Is your lack of specific recollection based on the date or something else?  A. It's just it's been a while. Q. And then it states, "Current model evaluates at SKU level." Is that pronounced typically "skew"? A. Yes. Q. All right. What is a SKU? A. A SKU is just one, one product. So it can be oxycodone 10325, 100 fill count, SKU. Q. Do you recognize the difference between a SKU and an NDC code? A. The SKU could be yeah, there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?  A. Correct. Q. So the next page is "Findings." Do you see that there? (Document review.) A. Yes. Q. So it states as you see that word "Findings," can you do you have an understanding what that means in the context of this document?  A. These would be observations that were made by the consultant. Q. And the consultant was Buzzeo? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Use of multiplier to create monthly threshold." And it says, "Not consistent with specific requirements noted within regulations and guidance, and current system will detect a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that.  Is your lack of specific recollection based on the date or something else?  A. It's just it's been a while. Q. And then it states, "Current model evaluates at SKU level."  Is that pronounced typically "skew"? A. Yes. Q. All right. What is a SKU? A. A SKU is just one, one product. So it can be oxycodone 10325, 100 fill count, SKU. Q. Do you recognize the difference between a SKU and an NDC code?  A. The SKU could be yeah, there, there is a difference between the two. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?  A. Correct. Q. So the next page is "Findings." Do you see that there? (Document review.) A. Yes. Q. So it states as you see that word "Findings," can you do you have an understanding what that means in the context of this document?  A. These would be observations that were made by the consultant. Q. And the consultant was Buzzeo? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Use of multiplier to create monthly threshold." And it says, "Not consistent with specific requirements noted within regulations and guidance, and current system will detect a certain percentage of suspicious orders but not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that.  Is your lack of specific recollection based on the date or something else?  A. It's just it's been a while. Q. And then it states, "Current model evaluates at SKU level."  Is that pronounced typically "skew"?  A. Yes. Q. All right. What is a SKU? A. A SKU is just one, one product. So it can be oxycodone 10325, 100 fill count, SKU. Q. Do you recognize the difference between a SKU and an NDC code?  A. The SKU could be yeah, there, there is a difference between the two. I don't know the exact SKU is more of a we're kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And is that the evaluation that we were talking about in the exhibit before we took the break?  A. Correct. Q. So the next page is "Findings." Do you see that there? (Document review.) A. Yes. Q. So it states as you see that word "Findings," can you do you have an understanding what that means in the context of this document?  A. These would be observations that were made by the consultant. Q. And the consultant was Buzzeo? A. Yes. Q. And it says, "Use of multiplier to create monthly threshold." And it says, "Not consistent with specific requirements noted within regulations and guidance, and current system will detect a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember that being a finding that the Buzzeo group made about the Watson system in early 2012?  A. I don't have a specific recollection. Q. Do you remember and, you know, I put a date limitation on that.  Is your lack of specific recollection based on the date or something else?  A. It's just it's been a while. Q. And then it states, "Current model evaluates at SKU level."  Is that pronounced typically "skew"? A. Yes. Q. All right. What is a SKU? A. A SKU is just one, one product. So it can be oxycodone 10325, 100 fill count, SKU. Q. Do you recognize the difference between a SKU and an NDC code?  A. The SKU could be yeah, there, there is a difference between the two. I don't

	Page 253		Page 254
1	but they're both unique identifiers.	1	states, "Revisit approach to SOM to fully
2	I think what this is saying here is	2	address specific regulatory requirements."
3	that by looking at it at the SKU level, we're	3	And then it states, "Develop SOM that
4	not looking at the total molecule. And that was	4	is a 'non-threshold-based adaptive' I'm
5	an enhancement. So that's something where we	5	sorry, let me read it.
6	could have enhanced.	6	"Develop SOM that is a,
7	Q. All right. So it states, "Current	7	'non-threshold-based adaptive,' system trained
8	model evaluates at SKU level. Possibility of	8	to identify suspicious orders by utilizing a set
9	distributing orders across multiple SKUs without	9	of historic markers to include," and then
10	detection."	10	another bullet point, "statistical scoring of
11	So that's where you're talking about	11	active ingredient order volume versus history,
12	it can be the same, as you refer to it, molecule	12	active ingredient order versus short and
13	but with different SKUs?	13	long-term trend, identification of high/low
14	A. Right.	14	frequency ordering behavior."
15	Q. And then the next one is, "System	15	And then the next bullet point is
16	does not evaluate listed chemicals"?	16	"Base system on milligram strength rather than
17	A. Right.	17	SKU."
18	Q. I think we talked about that earlier	18	A. Um-hmm.
19	as well?	19	Q. And then, "Include list of chemical
20	A. Right.	20	within system."
21	Q. Those are the precursor chemicals	21	And then, "Based on recommendations,
22	that you talked about?	22	GS and DEAA requested a proposal and quote."
23	A. Right.	23	In the context of this document, do
24	Q. And then on the next page, 990, it	24	you know what GS and DEAA would be?
			•
	Page 255		Page 256
1	A. Global security and DEA affairs.	1	system. You know, the automated system that
2	Q. And your group was DEA affairs; is	2	we're talking about is we are talking about
3	that right?	3	just one component within the system, that's
4	A. Yes.	4	what I want to make clear. So we're not relying
5	Q. And then the next dash is "Establish	5	on one component of a system as our Suspicious
6	meeting with IT and consultant."	6	Order Monitoring program.
7	A. Um-hmm.	7	Q. And as you had been talking about
8	Q. "Understand scope, confirm that	8	earlier, in addition to this process, there is
9	solution was appropriate and achievable." And	9	the onboarding process and reviews; is that
10	then the next one is "Budgeted for 2012	10	right?
11	implementation."	11	A. The Know Your Customer due diligence.
12	Do you see that there?	12	Q. And Know Your Customer due diligence.
13	A. Yes.	13	And then beyond the automated system,
14	Q. Do you remember the do you	14	there is a process of customer service clearing
15	remember whether there was a decision around	15	and then, if necessary, DEA affairs clearing of
16	this time, April 2012, to implement the Buzzeo	16	orders; is that right?
17	system at Watson?	17	A. Yes, sir.
18	A. Yes, I believe there was.	18	Q. And if none of those processes work,
19	Q. All right. Do you remember who made	19	the order will be reported to the DEA as
20	that decision?	20	suspicious; is that right?
21	A. It would have been my management.	21	A. Correct.
22	Q. Did you support the conclusion to	22	Q. All right.
23	implement the Buzzeo system?	23	All right. You can set this aside.
	A T 1 C '. 1 . 1 1 '	0.4	A 01
24	A. I definitely supported enhancing our	24	A. Okay.

	Page 257		Page 258
1	(Witness complies.)	1	2011, is from Lisa Scott to Mary Woods, and it
2	(Napoli Exhibit 16, Watson document	2	cc's you; is that right?
3	entitled SOMS Project Evolution IT	3	A. Yes.
4	Governance Meeting, Bates-stamped	4	Q. And it states, "Investigation
5	ALLERGAN_MDL_02187196 through 87199, marked	5	summary."
6	for identification, as of this date.)	6	And Lisa Scott writes, "Mary, please
7	BY MR. EGLER:	7	see the attached. Thank you."
8	Q. Mr. Napoli, I'm handing you what I	8	Then what follows is a two-page
9	marked as Exhibit 16.	9	attachment that is called "Investigation
10	Mr. Napoli, can you look at that	10	Summary, Suspicious Order, TopRx, Inc."
11	exhibit? And while you're looking through it,	11	And I want to ask generally about
12	I'll read it into the record. It's	12	this two-page part of the exhibit.
13	ALLERGAN_MDL_02187196 through 87199.	13	A. Um-hmm.
14	And I'll tell you for the record,	14	Q. Do you recognize this format?
15	there as I read it, there are two emails in	15	A. I do.
16	this exhibit, plus an attachment. And the last	16	Q. What is this format?
17	email in time, the first one on the page, the	17	A. This would be an investigation
18	first page of Exhibit 16, you're not included in	18	summary that we utilized by our department.
19	that email.	19	Q. So as you think of it, would this be
20	A. Okay.	20	the type of investigation summary that would be
21	Q. So you can read it, but I'm not going	21	done by the DEA affairs group or by the customer
22	to ask you questions about it.	22	service group or something else?
23	A. Okay.	23	A. This was performed by my group, DEA
24	Q. The one below, Tuesday, October 4th,	24	affairs.
	Page 259		
	1496 237		
1	O All right This investigation	1	
1	Q. All right. This investigation	1	them know of that, that what our findings
2	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in	2	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.
2	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.	2 3	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can
2 3 4	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.	2 3 4	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether
2 3 4 5	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why	2 3 4 5	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues
2 3 4 5 6	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an	2 3 4 5 6	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?
2 3 4 5 6 7	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?	2 3 4 5 6 7	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided
2 3 4 5 6	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious	2 3 4 5 6	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the customer-facing side as well too. So if we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the information to the DEA before this investigation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the customer-facing side as well too. So if we needed to set up a meeting, a partnership	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the information to the DEA before this investigation summary was written and before TopRx was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the customer-facing side as well too. So if we needed to set up a meeting, a partnership meeting to discuss this matter, she would be likely the person to facilitate that for us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the information to the DEA before this investigation summary was written and before TopRx was contacted or after?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the customer-facing side as well too. So if we needed to set up a meeting, a partnership meeting to discuss this matter, she would be likely the person to facilitate that for us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the information to the DEA before this investigation summary was written and before TopRx was contacted or after?  A. We would have reported that to DEA
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the customer-facing side as well too. So if we needed to set up a meeting, a partnership meeting to discuss this matter, she would be likely the person to facilitate that for us.  Q. So with regard to you used the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the information to the DEA before this investigation summary was written and before TopRx was contacted or after?  A. We would have reported that to DEA upon discovery that the order was suspicious.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the customer-facing side as well too. So if we needed to set up a meeting, a partnership meeting to discuss this matter, she would be likely the person to facilitate that for us.  Q. So with regard to you used the term "partnership meeting." Would you have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the information to the DEA before this investigation summary was written and before TopRx was contacted or after?  A. We would have reported that to DEA upon discovery that the order was suspicious.  Q. Okay. In the document, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the customer-facing side as well too. So if we needed to set up a meeting, a partnership meeting to discuss this matter, she would be likely the person to facilitate that for us.  Q. So with regard to you used the term "partnership meeting." Would you have a partnership meeting well, let me start over.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the information to the DEA before this investigation summary was written and before TopRx was contacted or after?  A. We would have reported that to DEA upon discovery that the order was suspicious.  Q. Okay. In the document, the Investigation Summary, does it say that Watson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the customer-facing side as well too. So if we needed to set up a meeting, a partnership meeting to discuss this matter, she would be likely the person to facilitate that for us.  Q. So with regard to you used the term "partnership meeting." Would you have a partnership meeting well, let me start over.  With regard to that term "partnership	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the information to the DEA before this investigation summary was written and before TopRx was contacted or after?  A. We would have reported that to DEA upon discovery that the order was suspicious.  Q. Okay. In the document, the Investigation Summary, does it say that Watson personnel had contacted the DEA?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the customer-facing side as well too. So if we needed to set up a meeting, a partnership meeting to discuss this matter, she would be likely the person to facilitate that for us.  Q. So with regard to you used the term "partnership meeting." Would you have a partnership meeting well, let me start over.  With regard to that term "partnership meeting," as you think of the context of this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the information to the DEA before this investigation summary was written and before TopRx was contacted or after?  A. We would have reported that to DEA upon discovery that the order was suspicious.  Q. Okay. In the document, the Investigation Summary, does it say that Watson personnel had contacted the DEA?  (Document review.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the customer-facing side as well too. So if we needed to set up a meeting, a partnership meeting to discuss this matter, she would be likely the person to facilitate that for us.  Q. So with regard to you used the term "partnership meeting." Would you have a partnership meeting well, let me start over.  With regard to that term "partnership meeting," as you think of the context of this document, why would Watson be calling for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the information to the DEA before this investigation summary was written and before TopRx was contacted or after?  A. We would have reported that to DEA upon discovery that the order was suspicious.  Q. Okay. In the document, the Investigation Summary, does it say that Watson personnel had contacted the DEA?  (Document review.)  A. I don't see where it does, but I know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the customer-facing side as well too. So if we needed to set up a meeting, a partnership meeting to discuss this matter, she would be likely the person to facilitate that for us.  Q. So with regard to you used the term "partnership meeting." Would you have a partnership meeting well, let me start over.  With regard to that term "partnership meeting," as you think of the context of this document, why would Watson be calling for a partnership meeting with TopRx, Inc.?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the information to the DEA before this investigation summary was written and before TopRx was contacted or after?  A. We would have reported that to DEA upon discovery that the order was suspicious.  Q. Okay. In the document, the Investigation Summary, does it say that Watson personnel had contacted the DEA?  (Document review.)  A. I don't see where it does, but I know that they were contacted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the customer-facing side as well too. So if we needed to set up a meeting, a partnership meeting to discuss this matter, she would be likely the person to facilitate that for us.  Q. So with regard to you used the term "partnership meeting." Would you have a partnership meeting well, let me start over.  With regard to that term "partnership meeting," as you think of the context of this document, why would Watson be calling for a partnership meeting with TopRx, Inc.?  A. Because based on an investigation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the information to the DEA before this investigation summary was written and before TopRx was contacted or after?  A. We would have reported that to DEA upon discovery that the order was suspicious.  Q. Okay. In the document, the Investigation Summary, does it say that Watson personnel had contacted the DEA?  (Document review.)  A. I don't see where it does, but I know that they were contacted.  Q. And as you think of it today, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	summary is then sent to Mary Woods, who was in the customer service group.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you have an understanding of why she would be contacted with regard to an investigation summary?  A. Mary was our partner with Suspicious Order Monitoring, as well as she was on the customer-facing side as well too. So if we needed to set up a meeting, a partnership meeting to discuss this matter, she would be likely the person to facilitate that for us.  Q. So with regard to you used the term "partnership meeting." Would you have a partnership meeting well, let me start over.  With regard to that term "partnership meeting," as you think of the context of this document, why would Watson be calling for a partnership meeting with TopRx, Inc.?  A. Because based on an investigation that we performed and our findings, that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	them know of that, that what our findings were and discuss a path forward.  Q. So at this point in the process, can you tell from the investigation summary whether Watson had contacted the DEA about the issues raised in the investigation summary?  A. I know for a fact that we provided all this information to the DEA.  Q. Would you have provided the information to the DEA before this investigation summary was written and before TopRx was contacted or after?  A. We would have reported that to DEA upon discovery that the order was suspicious.  Q. Okay. In the document, the Investigation Summary, does it say that Watson personnel had contacted the DEA?  (Document review.)  A. I don't see where it does, but I know that they were contacted.  Q. And as you think of it today, you based that on your excuse me your

	Page 261		Page 262
1	A. I have a distinct recollection of	1	Picone to Woods with attachments,
2	this case.	2	Bates-stamped ACQUIRED_ACTAVIS_01179002
3	Q. What do you remember about this case?	3	through 005, marked for identification, as
4	A. I remember most of the details of	4	of this date.)
5	this case because I remember that it was	5	A. This looks like the last
6	something that I was involved with in the	6	Q. Okay. This is a repeat. Can you
7	investigation, and that we the type of	7	hand me that back and I'll see if I can get the
8	activities that we uncovered, and I do recall	8	tab off of it. I'll just make another tab. So
9	that this was reported to the DEA.	9	you can set this one aside.
10	Q. Do you remember whether at some point	10	MR. KNAPP: Are we not moving on?
11	Watson stopped shipping to TopRx?	11	MR. EGLER: No, just set it aside.
12	A. Absolutely. We cut them off.	12	I'm going to introduce another document
13	Q. And do you remember whether TopRx's	13	that we'll mark as 17 because we are
14	license was let me start over.	14	totally modular and we don't use electronic
15	Do you remember if TopRx's ability to	15	documents.
16	sell controlled substances was ever withdrawn by	16	BY MR. EGLER:
17	the DEA?	17	Q. With that side trip, Mr. Napoli,
18	A. I don't believe there was any action	18	could you look at this document that we've
19	taken by the DEA against TopRx.	19	marked as Exhibit 17?
20	Q. All right. So let's move on.	20	A. Yes.
21	I'll hand you what we'll mark as	21	Q. And as you're looking at it, I will
22	Exhibit 17.	22	read into the record the Bates numbers, which
23	(Napoli Exhibit 17, Email chain	23	are a new set of Bates numbers, Acquired Actavis
24	beginning with email dated 4/26/12 from	24	Acquired_Actavis_01179002 through 005.
	Page 263		Page 264
1	I'll represent to you that this	1	Q. So the first two pages of this email,
2	document was produced as a family of documents,	2	of this Exhibit 17, is an April 17th and
3	so it's an email, plus other documents put	3	April 26th email chain.
4	together.	4	Do you see that there?
5	A. Um-hmm.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And could you read through it	6	Q. And the first email in time comes
7	generally and when you're ready, tell me if you	7	from Mary Woods and it goes to Napoleon Clarke
8	recognize this document?	8	and Toni Picone?
9	A. I don't recognize it.	9	A. Um-hmm.
10	Q. All right.	10	Q. Who is Ms. Picone?
11	A. I hope you don't ask me to interpret	11	A. An individual who worked in marketing
12	this second attachment here.	12	with Napoleon. Sales.
13	(Laughter.)	13	Q. And it's T-o-n-i?
14	Q. And I apologize.	14	A. Yes.
15	A. I don't have my binoculars.	15	Q. Ms. Woods writes, "Hi, Napoleon and
16	Q. The second attachment that you're	16	Toni. I have a few marketing questions
17	referring to has teeny, tiny little numbers.	17	regarding the hydrocodone market which I am
18	And in the course of us talking about	18	hoping you can assist with.
19	this, if you need to refer to that data, I can	19	"As you know, the increased order
20	go and get it on a computer and you can look at	20	volume in many of the hydrocodone SKUs has been
21	it. But I just want to let you know, because it	21	significant over the past several weeks. I am
22	is mentioned as a piece of the document, you can	22	intending to use the information provided to
23	see generally what it is.	23	discuss the market demand and market share with
24	A. Okay.	24	the DEA compliance team."

1	Page 265		Page 266
_	And she asked a number she makes a	1	Q. So Ms. Picone writes back, "Hello
2	number of requests for data information.	2	all. Please see answers to the below questions
3	Do you see that there?	3	as per our meeting today."
4	A. I do.	4	And she has six points there.
5	Q. All right. And then that's on	5	"Amneal, Qualitest, and Mallinckrodt are the
6	April 17th.	6	suppliers that customers are telling us the
7	On April 26th, Ms. Picone writes back	7	reasons for the supply shortages in the market.
8	to her and Mr. Clarke, Napoleon Clarke, and cc's	8	Amneal has discontinued to select customers only
9	Lisa Scott, you, Sandy Simmons, Scott Soltis and	9	and Mallinckrodt has sent letters that they have
10	Andrew Boyer.	10	backlog and are trying to ramp up as a result of
11	And we haven't talked previously	11	the quota."
12	about Mr. Boyer.	12	Do you remember receiving this email?
13	Do you remember Mr. Boyer?	13	A. I don't.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. As you sit here today, the email is
15	Q. Who he is?	15	called "Hydrocodone supply issues - market
16	A. Andy Boyer was our head of sales.	16	demand."
17	Q. Would Mary Woods's department be	17	As you read that point one that
18	under Mr. Boyer?	18	Ms. Picone writes, what does that mean to you in
19	A. Yes.	19	the context of the document?
20	Q. The sales group, like Napoleon	20	A. My interpretation of this document
21	Clarke, he would also be under Mr. Boyer?	21	Q. Yes.
22	A. Correct.	22	A is that there is a shortage due to
23	Q. And so would Ms. Picone?	23	certain manufacturers not receiving quota and
24	A. Yes.	24	having the inability to manufacture. So other
			Page 268
1	customers of Watson are looking to come over to	1	And then she states, "Customers
2	us to order because we had sufficient quota and	2	typically do not proactively provide marketing
			typically do not broactively broylde marketing
	they were looking to order the product to meet	2	
3 4	they were looking to order the product to meet	3	with increased forecasts. However, we are
4	their customers' needs.	4	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see
4 5	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking	4 5	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to
4 5 6	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team	4 5 6	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or
4 5 6 7	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to	4 5 6 7	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if
4 5 6 7 8	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which	4 5 6 7 8	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."
4 5 6 7 8	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a	4 5 6 7 8 9	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback
4 5 6 7 8 9	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a rationale around why the change in ordering	4 5 6 7 8 9	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback data."
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a rationale around why the change in ordering behavior, and you can see in there where we're	4 5 6 7 8 9	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback data."  Are those the same 852 and chargeback
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a rationale around why the change in ordering behavior, and you can see in there where we're requesting data and forecasts so we can put some	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback data."  Are those the same 852 and chargeback that we talked about earlier today?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a rationale around why the change in ordering behavior, and you can see in there where we're requesting data and forecasts so we can put some rationale around what these new demands would	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback data."  Are those the same 852 and chargeback that we talked about earlier today?  A. 852, yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a rationale around why the change in ordering behavior, and you can see in there where we're requesting data and forecasts so we can put some rationale around what these new demands would look like for us, rather than just approve these	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback data."  Are those the same 852 and chargeback that we talked about earlier today?  A. 852, yes.  Q. And then she states, "If we receive
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a rationale around why the change in ordering behavior, and you can see in there where we're requesting data and forecasts so we can put some rationale around what these new demands would look like for us, rather than just approve these orders.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback data."  Are those the same 852 and chargeback that we talked about earlier today?  A. 852, yes.  Q. And then she states, "If we receive increased forecasts from customers, we will
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a rationale around why the change in ordering behavior, and you can see in there where we're requesting data and forecasts so we can put some rationale around what these new demands would look like for us, rather than just approve these orders.  Q. Okay. Ms. Picone, as you were	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback data."  Are those the same 852 and chargeback that we talked about earlier today?  A. 852, yes.  Q. And then she states, "If we receive increased forecasts from customers, we will provide them to the master data team. In
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a rationale around why the change in ordering behavior, and you can see in there where we're requesting data and forecasts so we can put some rationale around what these new demands would look like for us, rather than just approve these orders.  Q. Okay. Ms. Picone, as you were talking about it, she writes, "The customers who	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback data."  Are those the same 852 and chargeback that we talked about earlier today?  A. 852, yes.  Q. And then she states, "If we receive increased forecasts from customers, we will provide them to the master data team. In addition, if the master data team receives
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a rationale around why the change in ordering behavior, and you can see in there where we're requesting data and forecasts so we can put some rationale around what these new demands would look like for us, rather than just approve these orders.  Q. Okay. Ms. Picone, as you were talking about it, she writes, "The customers who are no longer receiving product from Amneal is a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback data."  Are those the same 852 and chargeback that we talked about earlier today?  A. 852, yes.  Q. And then she states, "If we receive increased forecasts from customers, we will provide them to the master data team. In addition, if the master data team receives revised forecasts, they will provide to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a rationale around why the change in ordering behavior, and you can see in there where we're requesting data and forecasts so we can put some rationale around what these new demands would look like for us, rather than just approve these orders.  Q. Okay. Ms. Picone, as you were talking about it, she writes, "The customers who are no longer receiving product from Amneal is a permanent change and those who are short for	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback data."  Are those the same 852 and chargeback that we talked about earlier today?  A. 852, yes.  Q. And then she states, "If we receive increased forecasts from customers, we will provide them to the master data team. In addition, if the master data team receives revised forecasts, they will provide to marketing."
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a rationale around why the change in ordering behavior, and you can see in there where we're requesting data and forecasts so we can put some rationale around what these new demands would look like for us, rather than just approve these orders.  Q. Okay. Ms. Picone, as you were talking about it, she writes, "The customers who are no longer receiving product from Amneal is a permanent change and those who are short for Mallinckrodt we expect to be temporary."	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback data."  Are those the same 852 and chargeback that we talked about earlier today?  A. 852, yes.  Q. And then she states, "If we receive increased forecasts from customers, we will provide them to the master data team. In addition, if the master data team receives revised forecasts, they will provide to marketing."  And she says, "There is potential
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a rationale around why the change in ordering behavior, and you can see in there where we're requesting data and forecasts so we can put some rationale around what these new demands would look like for us, rather than just approve these orders.  Q. Okay. Ms. Picone, as you were talking about it, she writes, "The customers who are no longer receiving product from Amneal is a permanent change and those who are short for Mallinckrodt we expect to be temporary."  Then she says, "We do not know how	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback data."  Are those the same 852 and chargeback that we talked about earlier today?  A. 852, yes.  Q. And then she states, "If we receive increased forecasts from customers, we will provide them to the master data team. In addition, if the master data team receives revised forecasts, they will provide to marketing."  And she says, "There is potential market share increase of approximately 15
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a rationale around why the change in ordering behavior, and you can see in there where we're requesting data and forecasts so we can put some rationale around what these new demands would look like for us, rather than just approve these orders.  Q. Okay. Ms. Picone, as you were talking about it, she writes, "The customers who are no longer receiving product from Amneal is a permanent change and those who are short for Mallinckrodt we expect to be temporary."  Then she says, "We do not know how long the increased demand will be for temporary	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback data."  Are those the same 852 and chargeback that we talked about earlier today?  A. 852, yes.  Q. And then she states, "If we receive increased forecasts from customers, we will provide them to the master data team. In addition, if the master data team receives revised forecasts, they will provide to marketing."  And she says, "There is potential market share increase of approximately 15 percent to 20 percent based on our share versus
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	their customers' needs.  Based on this what we're seeking here, this justification is because my team likely pended a lot of orders and refused to move on them until we had justification, which probably prompted this meeting so we can get a rationale around why the change in ordering behavior, and you can see in there where we're requesting data and forecasts so we can put some rationale around what these new demands would look like for us, rather than just approve these orders.  Q. Okay. Ms. Picone, as you were talking about it, she writes, "The customers who are no longer receiving product from Amneal is a permanent change and those who are short for Mallinckrodt we expect to be temporary."  Then she says, "We do not know how	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with increased forecasts. However, we are closely monitoring the orders and when we see increases in orders, we do reach out to customers to ask for revised forecasts and/or monitor the 852/chargeback data to determine if their sales out is increasing."  She uses that term "852/chargeback data."  Are those the same 852 and chargeback that we talked about earlier today?  A. 852, yes.  Q. And then she states, "If we receive increased forecasts from customers, we will provide them to the master data team. In addition, if the master data team receives revised forecasts, they will provide to marketing."  And she says, "There is potential market share increase of approximately 15

	Page 269		Page 270
1	As you read this document, you see	1	Q. Okay. So total prescriptions written
2	that term IMS EU, what does that mean to you?	2	from IMS, what would that encompass?
3	A. I would interpret it as the that	3	A. I believe that would provide the
4	IMS is providing the data on market share. EU,	4	blinded prescription information for a
5	I don't know what that means. I know it's not	5	particular manufacturer's product.
6	European Union.	6	Q. All right. So and as we are talking
7	Q. That was what I was going to ask.	7	about this hydrocodone, do you understand
8	So you don't have any feeling either	8	hydrocodone to be, as we've been talking about
9	way?	9	it, to be a molecule or a product or something
10	A. No. I think this email is a great	10	else?
11	example of communication between the various	11	A. It could be hydrocodone in its raw
12	departments in making educated decisions on	12	form is a molecule. The product itself is when
13	rationalizing orders, though.	13	it's in a finished dosage form.
14	Q. So let's keep going on it. I agree	14	Q. In fact, was Norco a hydrocodone
15	with you.	15	product?
16	She says, "I have attached recent TRX	16	A. Yes.
17	data from IMS so you can see the market trends	17	Q. Ms. Picone is sending the total
18	by competitor. I also attached a copy of	18	
19	Mallinckrodt's letter that they sent to		prescription data from IRS from IMS so that
20	customers for your reference."	19	Mary Woods can see market trends by competitor,
21	So that reference there, TRX data	20	and that would relate to the hydrocodone market;
22	from IMS, do you have an understanding of what	21	is that right?
23	that means?	22	A. Yes.
24	A. Total prescriptions written.	23	Q. So at any time did you seek data on
21	11. Total prescriptions written.	24	market trends by competitor to inform the
	Page 271		Page 272
1	automatic part of the or the automated part	1	
			would have went to us because we're seeing a
2	of the SOM system at Watson or Actavis?	2	trend here of these orders that are way out of
2	of the SOM system at Watson or Actavis?  A. No.		-
	•	2	trend here of these orders that are way out of
3	A. No.	2 3	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what
3 4	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a</li></ul>	2 3 4	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion
3 4 5	<ul><li>A. No.</li><li>Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue.
3 4 5 6	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.
3 4 5 6 7	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right? A. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember whether this type</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you
3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember whether this type of communication took place to inform the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you ever look at those market issues and try to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right? A. Right. Q. And do you remember whether this type of communication took place to inform the automated part of the Suspicious Order	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right? A. Right. Q. And do you remember whether this type of communication took place to inform the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you ever look at those market issues and try to import them into the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right? A. Right. Q. And do you remember whether this type of communication took place to inform the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson? A. When you refer to the automated part,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you ever look at those market issues and try to import them into the automated part of the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right? A. Right. Q. And do you remember whether this type of communication took place to inform the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson? A. When you refer to the automated part, I mean I think you would be referring to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you ever look at those market issues and try to import them into the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right? A. Right. Q. And do you remember whether this type of communication took place to inform the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson? A. When you refer to the automated part, I mean I think you would be referring to the folks that manage the SOMS program, so that would be my group. So certainly we were definitely aware of this. This is information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you ever look at those market issues and try to import them into the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I don't think there would be a way to incorporate those into this, but just of having that knowledge of what these quantities look
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right? A. Right. Q. And do you remember whether this type of communication took place to inform the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson? A. When you refer to the automated part, I mean I think you would be referring to the folks that manage the SOMS program, so that would be my group. So certainly we were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you ever look at those market issues and try to import them into the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I don't think there would be a way to incorporate those into this, but just of having that knowledge of what these quantities look like, we would be certainly, our DEA affairs
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right? A. Right. Q. And do you remember whether this type of communication took place to inform the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson? A. When you refer to the automated part, I mean I think you would be referring to the folks that manage the SOMS program, so that would be my group. So certainly we were definitely aware of this. This is information we relied on to make educated decisions. Q. And the decisions that you're talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you ever look at those market issues and try to import them into the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I don't think there would be a way to incorporate those into this, but just of having that knowledge of what these quantities look like, we would be certainly, our DEA affairs team would be aware of these increases and these
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right? A. Right. Q. And do you remember whether this type of communication took place to inform the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson? A. When you refer to the automated part, I mean I think you would be referring to the folks that manage the SOMS program, so that would be my group. So certainly we were definitely aware of this. This is information we relied on to make educated decisions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you ever look at those market issues and try to import them into the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I don't think there would be a way to incorporate those into this, but just of having that knowledge of what these quantities look like, we would be certainly, our DEA affairs
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right? A. Right. Q. And do you remember whether this type of communication took place to inform the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson? A. When you refer to the automated part, I mean I think you would be referring to the folks that manage the SOMS program, so that would be my group. So certainly we were definitely aware of this. This is information we relied on to make educated decisions. Q. And the decisions that you're talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you ever look at those market issues and try to import them into the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I don't think there would be a way to incorporate those into this, but just of having that knowledge of what these quantities look like, we would be certainly, our DEA affairs team would be aware of these increases and these
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right? A. Right. Q. And do you remember whether this type of communication took place to inform the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson? A. When you refer to the automated part, I mean I think you would be referring to the folks that manage the SOMS program, so that would be my group. So certainly we were definitely aware of this. This is information we relied on to make educated decisions. Q. And the decisions that you're talking about would be decisions made once an order pended in the in the case of your group, once an order pended and then was investigated by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you ever look at those market issues and try to import them into the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I don't think there would be a way to incorporate those into this, but just of having that knowledge of what these quantities look like, we would be certainly, our DEA affairs team would be aware of these increases and these volumes so because these orders would continue to pend. It wouldn't be part of a 12-month rolling history. So these would pend
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right? A. Right. Q. And do you remember whether this type of communication took place to inform the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson? A. When you refer to the automated part, I mean I think you would be referring to the folks that manage the SOMS program, so that would be my group. So certainly we were definitely aware of this. This is information we relied on to make educated decisions. Q. And the decisions that you're talking about would be decisions made once an order pended in the in the case of your group, once	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you ever look at those market issues and try to import them into the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I don't think there would be a way to incorporate those into this, but just of having that knowledge of what these quantities look like, we would be certainly, our DEA affairs team would be aware of these increases and these volumes so because these orders would continue to pend. It wouldn't be part of a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right? A. Right. Q. And do you remember whether this type of communication took place to inform the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson? A. When you refer to the automated part, I mean I think you would be referring to the folks that manage the SOMS program, so that would be my group. So certainly we were definitely aware of this. This is information we relied on to make educated decisions. Q. And the decisions that you're talking about would be decisions made once an order pended in the in the case of your group, once an order pended and then was investigated by the customer service group; is that right? A. Well, initially, this would have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you ever look at those market issues and try to import them into the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I don't think there would be a way to incorporate those into this, but just of having that knowledge of what these quantities look like, we would be certainly, our DEA affairs team would be aware of these increases and these volumes so because these orders would continue to pend. It wouldn't be part of a 12-month rolling history. So these would pend every month and we would review to make sure they were in accordance with the data provided
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. Okay. Then you said that this was a good example of communication between the various groups at Watson; is that right? A. Right. Q. And do you remember whether this type of communication took place to inform the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson? A. When you refer to the automated part, I mean I think you would be referring to the folks that manage the SOMS program, so that would be my group. So certainly we were definitely aware of this. This is information we relied on to make educated decisions. Q. And the decisions that you're talking about would be decisions made once an order pended in the in the case of your group, once an order pended and then was investigated by the customer service group; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	trend here of these orders that are way out of line with ordering behavior, and that's what prompted this whole team meeting and discussion and we identified that there was a market issue. So we wanted to explore that because we want to explain why we're seeing these spikes in ordering behavior.  Q. And the market issue that you analyzed with regard to this time frame, did you ever look at those market issues and try to import them into the automated part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System?  A. I don't think there would be a way to incorporate those into this, but just of having that knowledge of what these quantities look like, we would be certainly, our DEA affairs team would be aware of these increases and these volumes so because these orders would continue to pend. It wouldn't be part of a 12-month rolling history. So these would pend every month and we would review to make sure

1	Page 273		Page 274
1	behavior normalized. And that would be	1	Q. So with regard to the total
2	something that would happen outside of the	2	prescriptions for the market trends by
3	system, outside of the automated system.	3	competitor, the hydrocodone market, as you think
4	Q. So that market demand data that	4	about it here, would that be in the main generic
5	Ms. Picone would is talking about would be used	5	products?
6	to determine whether the orders that had already	6	A. Can you ask the question again,
7	pended should be cleared or passed on to the DEA	7	please?
8	affairs and then the DEA itself; is that fair to	8	Q. So as you think about the hydrocodone
9	say?	9	market
10	A. Right.	10	A. Right.
11	MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.	11	Q generally, around this time frame,
12	BY MR. EGLER:	12	2012, would it be dominated by brand names or
13	Q. But they would not be part of the	13	dominated by generics?
14	automated system itself?	14	MR. KNAPP: Form.
15	A. Right. This is a unique event so	15	MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.
16	that would be hard to implement into an	16	A. I couldn't speak to exactly the
17	automated system.	17	percentages.
18	Q. Do you know if anyone in your group	18	Q. All right. So with regard to your
19	ever asked whether this type of TRX data from	19	knowledge of Watson's production, we had seen
20	IMS could be brought into the Suspicious Order	20	earlier that they had 25 percent of the quota
21	Monitoring System?	21	produced out of their Corona, California plant,
22	A. I don't. I don't know what would be	22	plus more from the Florida plant.
23	gained by having the total prescriptions by the	23	As you think about that production,
24	whole market in our SOMS system.	24	was that mostly brand names or mostly generic or
	Page 275		Page 276
	3		
1	comathing alsa?	1	
1 2	something else?	1 2	(Witness complies.)
2	A. Mainly generic.	2	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document
	<ul><li>A. Mainly generic.</li><li>Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the</li></ul>		(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Mainly generic.</li><li>Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the</li><li>Watson balance of brand names versus generics</li></ul>	2 3	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped
2	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole?	2 3 4	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Mainly generic.</li><li>Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the</li><li>Watson balance of brand names versus generics</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.)
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Mainly generic.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the</li> <li>Watson balance of brand names versus generics</li> <li>was different from the market as a whole?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that. I just don't</li> <li>know.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't	2 3 4 5 6 7	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.) MR. EGLER: Here you go.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't think knowing the entire market trends by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. EGLER: Here you go.  (Handing.)  BY MR. EGLER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. EGLER: Here you go.  (Handing.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at the next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't think knowing the entire market trends by competitor for the hydrocodone supply and demand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Witness complies.)  (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. EGLER: Here you go.  (Handing.)  BY MR. EGLER:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't think knowing the entire market trends by competitor for the hydrocodone supply and demand issues would help inform a Suspicious Order	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. EGLER: Here you go. (Handing.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at the next exhibit, it's Exhibit 18.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't think knowing the entire market trends by competitor for the hydrocodone supply and demand issues would help inform a Suspicious Order Monitoring System?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. EGLER: Here you go. (Handing.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at the next exhibit, it's Exhibit 18.  A. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't think knowing the entire market trends by competitor for the hydrocodone supply and demand issues would help inform a Suspicious Order Monitoring System? MR. LUXTON: Objection. Asked and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.) MR. EGLER: Here you go. (Handing.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at the next exhibit, it's Exhibit 18. A. Sure. Q. And again, the first page is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't think knowing the entire market trends by competitor for the hydrocodone supply and demand issues would help inform a Suspicious Order Monitoring System? MR. LUXTON: Objection. Asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. EGLER: Here you go. (Handing.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at the next exhibit, it's Exhibit 18. A. Sure. Q. And again, the first page is a metadata page and then on the second page it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't think knowing the entire market trends by competitor for the hydrocodone supply and demand issues would help inform a Suspicious Order Monitoring System? MR. LUXTON: Objection. Asked and answered. A. At this time, I couldn't speculate on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. EGLER: Here you go. (Handing.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at the next exhibit, it's Exhibit 18.  A. Sure. Q. And again, the first page is a metadata page and then on the second page it's ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't think knowing the entire market trends by competitor for the hydrocodone supply and demand issues would help inform a Suspicious Order Monitoring System? MR. LUXTON: Objection. Asked and answered. A. At this time, I couldn't speculate on how the total lawful prescriptions written would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. EGLER: Here you go. (Handing.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at the next exhibit, it's Exhibit 18. A. Sure. Q. And again, the first page is a metadata page and then on the second page it's ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216. Can you look at this document and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't think knowing the entire market trends by competitor for the hydrocodone supply and demand issues would help inform a Suspicious Order Monitoring System? MR. LUXTON: Objection. Asked and answered. A. At this time, I couldn't speculate on how the total lawful prescriptions written would assist us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. EGLER: Here you go. (Handing.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at the next exhibit, it's Exhibit 18. A. Sure. Q. And again, the first page is a metadata page and then on the second page it's ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216.  Can you look at this document and tell me if you've ever seen it before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't think knowing the entire market trends by competitor for the hydrocodone supply and demand issues would help inform a Suspicious Order Monitoring System? MR. LUXTON: Objection. Asked and answered. A. At this time, I couldn't speculate on how the total lawful prescriptions written would assist us. Q. And you're not aware of any time when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.) MR. EGLER: Here you go. (Handing.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at the next exhibit, it's Exhibit 18. A. Sure. Q. And again, the first page is a metadata page and then on the second page it's ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216. Can you look at this document and tell me if you've ever seen it before. (Document review.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't think knowing the entire market trends by competitor for the hydrocodone supply and demand issues would help inform a Suspicious Order Monitoring System? MR. LUXTON: Objection. Asked and answered. A. At this time, I couldn't speculate on how the total lawful prescriptions written would assist us. Q. And you're not aware of any time when that type of integration of information was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. EGLER: Here you go. (Handing.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at the next exhibit, it's Exhibit 18. A. Sure. Q. And again, the first page is a metadata page and then on the second page it's ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216.  Can you look at this document and tell me if you've ever seen it before. (Document review.) A. I have seen it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't think knowing the entire market trends by competitor for the hydrocodone supply and demand issues would help inform a Suspicious Order Monitoring System? MR. LUXTON: Objection. Asked and answered. A. At this time, I couldn't speculate on how the total lawful prescriptions written would assist us. Q. And you're not aware of any time when that type of integration of information was discussed at Watson or Actavis; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. EGLER: Here you go. (Handing.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at the next exhibit, it's Exhibit 18.  A. Sure.  Q. And again, the first page is a metadata page and then on the second page it's ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216.  Can you look at this document and tell me if you've ever seen it before. (Document review.)  A. I have seen it. Q. What is it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't think knowing the entire market trends by competitor for the hydrocodone supply and demand issues would help inform a Suspicious Order Monitoring System? MR. LUXTON: Objection. Asked and answered. A. At this time, I couldn't speculate on how the total lawful prescriptions written would assist us. Q. And you're not aware of any time when that type of integration of information was discussed at Watson or Actavis; is that right? MR. KNAPP: Form. A. Not that I took part in. Q. All right. So you can set this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. EGLER: Here you go. (Handing.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at the next exhibit, it's Exhibit 18.  A. Sure.  Q. And again, the first page is a metadata page and then on the second page it's ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216.  Can you look at this document and tell me if you've ever seen it before. (Document review.)  A. I have seen it. Q. What is it? A. This is an agenda from a Suspicious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Mainly generic. Q. Okay. Are you aware whether the Watson balance of brand names versus generics was different from the market as a whole? A. I can't answer that. I just don't know. Q. And as you sit here today, you don't think knowing the entire market trends by competitor for the hydrocodone supply and demand issues would help inform a Suspicious Order Monitoring System? MR. LUXTON: Objection. Asked and answered. A. At this time, I couldn't speculate on how the total lawful prescriptions written would assist us. Q. And you're not aware of any time when that type of integration of information was discussed at Watson or Actavis; is that right? MR. KNAPP: Form. A. Not that I took part in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Witness complies.) (Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. EGLER: Here you go. (Handing.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at the next exhibit, it's Exhibit 18.  A. Sure.  Q. And again, the first page is a metadata page and then on the second page it's ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216.  Can you look at this document and tell me if you've ever seen it before. (Document review.)  A. I have seen it. Q. What is it?  A. This is an agenda from a Suspicious Order Monitoring seminar that was conducted by

	Page 277		Page 278
1	entry, three o'clock to 3:45 p m., it says, "SOM	1	I do recollect that one of my key
2	experts compliance panel." It says, "A Q and A	2	topics was about Know Your Customer, because we
3	panel designed to answer your SOMS compliance	3	were recognized as having a strong program and
4	questions."	4	also asked to be on the panel because of over
5	And Mr. Buzzeo is the moderator.	5	the years of my acquiring a great deal of
6	The first person listed on the panel	6	knowledge in the area.
7	is you; is that right?	7	Q. So with regard to the Know Your
8	A. That's correct.	8	Customer topic that you're thinking of, as you
9	Q. Do you remember serving on this panel	9	think about this time end of 2012 at Watson,
10	in October of 2012?	10	about how many customers for controlled
11	A. I do.	11	substance product did Watson have?
12	Q. What did you talk about when you were	12	A. I'd say less than a hundred, but I
13	serving on this panel?	13	don't want to that would be a guess.
14	A. I don't have an exact recollection.	14	Q. Whatever range you're comfortable
15	Q. All right. So as you look at this	15	with saying.
16	panel, you were the only person from a, for lack	16	A. Sure.
17	of a better term, DEA registrant; is that right?	17	O. It's fewer than a hundred.
18	A. Yes.	18	A. Fewer than a hundred.
19	Q. Do you remember having discussions	19	Q. More than 50?
20	with any other DEA registrants at this	20	A. Yes.
21	conference?	21	Q. And as you think about the typical
22	A. I don't have any distinct	22	time frame let me start over.
23	recollections of conversations with our	23	As you think about the time frame of
24	registrants.	24	your being the head of DEA affairs at Watson and
	Page 279		Page 280
	<u> </u>		
1	then Actavis, each year about how many new	1	A. Presented on our process for reaching
2	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to	2	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our
2	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?	2 3	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at
2 3 4	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.	2 3 4	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their
2 3 4 5	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new	2 3 4 5	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to
2 3 4 5 6	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?	2 3 4 5 6	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security
2 3 4 5 6 7	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.  Q. When you talk about the onboarding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our customers to acknowledge as well too. So.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.  Q. When you talk about the onboarding process for the time that you were the head of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our customers to acknowledge as well too. So.  Q. And around this same time, October of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.  Q. When you talk about the onboarding process for the time that you were the head of DEA affairs at Watson from 2009 through whenever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our customers to acknowledge as well too. So.  Q. And around this same time, October of 2012, Watson had planned on implementing the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.  Q. When you talk about the onboarding process for the time that you were the head of DEA affairs at Watson from 2009 through whenever you left, about how many times was there an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our customers to acknowledge as well too. So.  Q. And around this same time, October of 2012, Watson had planned on implementing the Buzzeo automation part of the Suspicious Order
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.  Q. When you talk about the onboarding process for the time that you were the head of DEA affairs at Watson from 2009 through whenever you left, about how many times was there an onboarding process?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our customers to acknowledge as well too. So.  Q. And around this same time, October of 2012, Watson had planned on implementing the Buzzeo automation part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.  Q. When you talk about the onboarding process for the time that you were the head of DEA affairs at Watson from 2009 through whenever you left, about how many times was there an onboarding process?  A. I don't have a direct recollection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our customers to acknowledge as well too. So.  Q. And around this same time, October of 2012, Watson had planned on implementing the Buzzeo automation part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System; is that right?  A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.  Q. When you talk about the onboarding process for the time that you were the head of DEA affairs at Watson from 2009 through whenever you left, about how many times was there an onboarding process?  A. I don't have a direct recollection.  Q. Fewer than a dozen?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our customers to acknowledge as well too. So.  Q. And around this same time, October of 2012, Watson had planned on implementing the Buzzeo automation part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And also around the same time, late
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.  Q. When you talk about the onboarding process for the time that you were the head of DEA affairs at Watson from 2009 through whenever you left, about how many times was there an onboarding process?  A. I don't have a direct recollection.  Q. Fewer than a dozen?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our customers to acknowledge as well too. So.  Q. And around this same time, October of 2012, Watson had planned on implementing the Buzzeo automation part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And also around the same time, late 2012, is this when Watson and Actavis announced
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.  Q. When you talk about the onboarding process for the time that you were the head of DEA affairs at Watson from 2009 through whenever you left, about how many times was there an onboarding process?  A. I don't have a direct recollection.  Q. Fewer than a dozen?  A. Yes.  Q. Fewer than five?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our customers to acknowledge as well too. So.  Q. And around this same time, October of 2012, Watson had planned on implementing the Buzzeo automation part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And also around the same time, late 2012, is this when Watson and Actavis announced their combination?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero. Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.  Q. When you talk about the onboarding process for the time that you were the head of DEA affairs at Watson from 2009 through whenever you left, about how many times was there an onboarding process?  A. I don't have a direct recollection. Q. Fewer than a dozen? A. Yes. Q. Fewer than five? A. I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our customers to acknowledge as well too. So.  Q. And around this same time, October of 2012, Watson had planned on implementing the Buzzeo automation part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And also around the same time, late 2012, is this when Watson and Actavis announced their combination?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.  Q. When you talk about the onboarding process for the time that you were the head of DEA affairs at Watson from 2009 through whenever you left, about how many times was there an onboarding process?  A. I don't have a direct recollection.  Q. Fewer than a dozen?  A. Yes.  Q. Fewer than five?  A. I don't know.  Q. All right. And then with regard to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our customers to acknowledge as well too. So.  Q. And around this same time, October of 2012, Watson had planned on implementing the Buzzeo automation part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And also around the same time, late 2012, is this when Watson and Actavis announced their combination?  A. Yes.  Q. So do you remember whether Watson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.  Q. When you talk about the onboarding process for the time that you were the head of DEA affairs at Watson from 2009 through whenever you left, about how many times was there an onboarding process?  A. I don't have a direct recollection.  Q. Fewer than a dozen?  A. Yes.  Q. Fewer than five?  A. I don't know.  Q. All right. And then with regard to the Know Your Customer processes that you talked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our customers to acknowledge as well too. So.  Q. And around this same time, October of 2012, Watson had planned on implementing the Buzzeo automation part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And also around the same time, late 2012, is this when Watson and Actavis announced their combination?  A. Yes.  Q. So do you remember whether Watson implemented the Buzzeo process that it had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero. Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.  Q. When you talk about the onboarding process for the time that you were the head of DEA affairs at Watson from 2009 through whenever you left, about how many times was there an onboarding process?  A. I don't have a direct recollection. Q. Fewer than a dozen? A. Yes. Q. Fewer than five? A. I don't know. Q. All right. And then with regard to the Know Your Customer processes that you talked about on this panel, do you remember what you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our customers to acknowledge as well too. So.  Q. And around this same time, October of 2012, Watson had planned on implementing the Buzzeo automation part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And also around the same time, late 2012, is this when Watson and Actavis announced their combination?  A. Yes.  Q. So do you remember whether Watson implemented the Buzzeo process that it had planned on implementing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	then Actavis, each year about how many new customers, on average, do you think came in to the company?  A. Zero.  Q. All right. And did they have any new customers at any point?  A. There may have been one or two. We had a long-standing customer base and we it was a very rare occasion if we took on a new customer for controlled substances.  Q. When you talk about the onboarding process for the time that you were the head of DEA affairs at Watson from 2009 through whenever you left, about how many times was there an onboarding process?  A. I don't have a direct recollection.  Q. Fewer than a dozen?  A. Yes.  Q. Fewer than five?  A. I don't know.  Q. All right. And then with regard to the Know Your Customer processes that you talked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Presented on our process for reaching out, establishing strong relationships with our partners, identifying compliance colleagues at the other organizations, understanding who their customers are and how their business relates to our product, an overview of what their security programs and compliance programs are, ensuring that they were compliant with their with the CFR, as well as ensuring that we also had a compliance agreement that we would ask our customers to acknowledge as well too. So.  Q. And around this same time, October of 2012, Watson had planned on implementing the Buzzeo automation part of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And also around the same time, late 2012, is this when Watson and Actavis announced their combination?  A. Yes.  Q. So do you remember whether Watson implemented the Buzzeo process that it had planned on implementing?

	Page 281		Page 282
1	Q. Do you remember what it did instead?	1	(Napoli Exhibit 19, Email chain
2	A. We continued with our our current	2	beginning with email dated 9/27/12 from
3	system. The reason why we didn't implement it,	3	Napoli to Lepore and others, Bates-stamped
4	with the acquisition of Actavis, there was a	4	ALLERGAN_MDL_04173111 through 113, marked
5	freeze-out period within SAP because of this	5	for identification, as of this date.)
6	without getting too into detail, the process of	6	BY MR. EGLER:
7	lifting an entire company and moving all their	7	Q. Received what's marked as Exhibit 19,
8	products into the business system, there was a	8	can you look through it. As you're looking
9	quite extensive freeze-out period where you	9	through it generally, I'll read on the record,
10	couldn't make any changes to the business	10	it's ALLERGAN_MDL_04173111 through 113.
11	system. So that would have held us back from	11	And as you look at this document, can
12	implementing our system.	12	you tell me what it appears to you to be?
13	Q. And that freeze out and	13	(Document review.)
14	implementation took place in the years 2012 and	14	A. This appears to be an email that is
15	2013; is that right?	15	in regards to our Suspicious Order Monitoring
16	A. We had at Watson/Actavis there was a	16	folks, the customer service side, pending an
17	period where there was a series of, what I would	17	order for further review for an increase, and us
18	call, multiple M&A activities in successive	18	asking for additional information and
19	years.	19	subsequently releasing the order.
20	Q. Okay. Let let's leave it at that for	20	Q. On the second page of Exhibit 19, the
21	now.	21	first email in time, Victoria Lepore writes to a
22	A. Okay.	22	person named Jared Green and Robert Gettus about
23	Q. All right. Let's move on. You can	23	an order that's being held; is that right?
24	set that document aside and we'll move on to 19.	24	A. Um-hmm.
	Page 283		Page 284
1	Q. And then she writes back to him again	1	of a customer's 852 data, sales month over month
2	on September 27th in the morning.	2	by SKU for a particular product, and in this
3	And then the response from Cardinal	3	case it would give us a specific on this product
4	Health is at the top of that page.	4	itself. So it would give us more detail and
5	Do you see that there?	5	insight into the customer's ordering behavior.
6	A. Yup.	6	Q. And then she goes on to say, "Based
7	Q. All right. And the person from	7	on the controlled substance report, they don't
8	Cardinal Health writes, "We are seeing increased	8	go over their customer's allowance per month
9	volume due to Mallinckrodt being on back order.	9	until this month, and they didn't order any
10	Please let me know what additional information	10	product in August."
11	you need to release this order."	11	This takes place, this email is in
12	Then Ms. Lepore forwards that on to a	12	September; is that right?
13	group of people, not including you, "Attached	13	A. Yes.
14	please find the customer's response along with	14	Q. And then the next email up is later
15	the SOMS order. I'm also attaching the	15	in that day, she writes to the same group,
16	controlled substance for your reference."	16	"Please let me know the status of the record.
17	A. I think that means report.	17	Need a response as soon as possible."
18	Q. Okay. What would a controlled	18	A. Um-hmm.
19	substance report be in this context, as you	19	Q. And you respond; is that right?
20	understand the workings of the Suspicious Order	20	A. Yes.
21	Monitoring System?	21	Q. And you respond, "Hi, Vicky, DEA
22	A. A controlled substance report in this	22	affairs has evaluated and approved approves
23	context would be most likely an imported or	23	the release of this order. Although the
24	Excel file that would provide us with a snapshot	24	customer is citing Mallinckrodt back order

	Page 285		Page 286
1	situation as justification, can you have them	1	A. I can't speculate. There may be a
2	articulate which customers specifically has have	2	lot more detail to this behind the email.
3	been affected and are utilizing Watson product	3	Q. Okay.
4	as a result? Thanks very much. Tom."	4	A. And I'm also confused about the time.
5	So with regard to this email, was it	5	The time is showing that it's before the other
6	your practice to typically release pended orders	6	time email sent.
7	that had been raised to the DEA affairs Group as	7	Q. Right. So what you're pointing out
8	part of your job.	8	is, Victoria Lepore's email is sent on
9	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.	9	September 27th, 2012 at 2:04 p.m. and your email
10	A. I was not typically in the role of	10	appears to be that same day at 11:51 a.m.
11	releasing orders, but I would do it on occasion.	11	A. Right.
12	Q. Do you have a memory as to why you	12	Q. So about two hours before that.
13	released this particular order?	13	A. Yeah.
14	A. It could have been one of my staff on	14	Q. All right. But as we sit here today,
15	vacation.	15	you don't have a particular memory of this
16	Q. And then with regard to the email	16	process?
17	that you send, you asked for further information	17	A. Correct.
18	from Cardinal about the about their	18	Q. So you can set that one aside.
19	customers.	19	(Witness complies.)
20	Do you see that there?	20	(Napoli Exhibit 20, Email chain
21	A. Right.	21	beginning with email dated 6/26/13 from
22	Q. Do you remember, that was a typical	22	Collins to Napoli, Bates-stamped
23	practice of releasing an order and then asking	23	ALLERGAN_MDL_02179760 through 772, marked
24	for more information?	24	for identification, as of this date.)
			, ,
	Page 287		Page 288
1	BY MR. EGLER:	1	Q. Who is Jeff Collins?
2	Q. I'll hand you what we'll mark as	2	A. Jeff Collins is part of our global
3	Exhibit 20.	3	security team was part of our global security
4	Mr. Napoli, can you look at what we	4	team and he was a security investigator.
5	marked as Exhibit 20 and as you're reading it	5	Q. And then there is another name there,
6	I'll read in the record the Bates number.	6	William Simmons.
7	ALLERGAN_MDL_02179760 through 772.	7	We've talked about him earlier today.
8	When you're ready, can you tell me	8	A. William was my auditor investigator.
9	what this appears to you to be.	9	Q. And Mr. Collins writes to you at the
10	I'll just note for the record, this	10	last email in time, "Tom, I believe you are out
11	was produced to us and I double-checked it the	11	of the office today. However, I was hoping you
12	other day, there are consecutive Bates numbers	12	would be able to take a look at this. I want to
13	but a couple of the emails are repeated.	13	get some feedback. Miami-Luken has placed an
14	So I don't think it's a copying	14	order for 72 units of Oxy/APAP,
15	issue. I think it's some type of a production	15	10/650-milligram, 100 count. This is on top of
			3 043 1 1 1 1 1 1 3
16	issue, but I don't know what caused it. I think	16	the 84 they have already received this month.
	issue, but I don't know what caused it. I think it's understandable in that context, though.	16	They're allowable is 53 which would put them 103
16			
16 17	it's understandable in that context, though.  (Document review.)  A. Okay.	17	They're allowable is 53 which would put them 103
16 17 18	it's understandable in that context, though.  (Document review.)  A. Okay.  Q. All right. So with regard to this	17 18	They're allowable is 53 which would put them 103 over for the month. They sent along their sales
16 17 18 19	it's understandable in that context, though.  (Document review.)  A. Okay.	17 18 19	They're allowable is 53 which would put them 103 over for the month. They sent along their sales for May 1 - June 25, which is helpful and
16 17 18 19 20	it's understandable in that context, though.  (Document review.)  A. Okay.  Q. All right. So with regard to this	17 18 19 20	They're allowable is 53 which would put them 103 over for the month. They sent along their sales for May 1 - June 25, which is helpful and produce nothing extraordinary other than one
16 17 18 19 20 21	it's understandable in that context, though.  (Document review.)  A. Okay.  Q. All right. So with regard to this email, there is a name Jeff Collins.	17 18 19 20 21	They're allowable is 53 which would put them 103 over for the month. They sent along their sales for May 1 - June 25, which is helpful and produce nothing extraordinary other than one customer.  "McMeans #1 Ashland appears to be a local pharmacy in Ashland, Kentucky. They have
16 17 18 19 20 21	it's understandable in that context, though.  (Document review.)  A. Okay.  Q. All right. So with regard to this email, there is a name Jeff Collins.  Have we talked about him earlier	17 18 19 20 21 22	They're allowable is 53 which would put them 103 over for the month. They sent along their sales for May 1 - June 25, which is helpful and produce nothing extraordinary other than one customer.  "McMeans #1 Ashland appears to be a

	Page 289		Page 290
1	time frame which accounts for 4200 pills. This	1	the SOMS investigation form that's at page 9772,
2	account jumps out as having purchased	2	there are various columns and it states Item No,
3	significantly more than the other, which is why	3	Material No, Description, Order Quantity.
4	I'm asking. This is a small rural town of	4	Next to Order Quantity there's
5	21,000 people in the mountains of Kentucky.	5	handwritten notes.
6	"Do you have much knowledge of Miami	6	In the context of your work at
7	Luke in SOMS program, and are you comfortable	7	Watson, do you know what those mean?
8	with this order?"	8	A. There is a reason code, which I think
9	So do you remember receiving this	9	corresponds to why something was released. It
10	particular email?	10	appears that there was none for the last line
11	A. I don't. I have no recollection of	11	item which means it likely was not released.
12	this email.	12	Q. All right. With regard go ahead.
13	Q. Do you remember ever discussing this	13	A. Release quantity
14	particular order with anybody?	14	(Document review.)
15	A. I do not.	15	A. The release quantity, it just
16	Q. All right. And as you look at the	16	indicates the number that would be released
17	last page of this Exhibit 20, the very last	17	because of the order.
18	page, it says Watson Pharma Inc. SOMS	18	Q. Okay. What about the order quantity
19	Investigation Form.	19	there with the 24, 24 and 72 and 5, 24 and 103
20	A. Yes.	20	written in next to it?
21	Q. So is the oxycodone that Mr. Collins	21	Do you know what that means?
22	is discussing listed on this page?	22	A. I'm thinking. I didn't major in math
23	A. I believe it's the last line there.	23	but month-to-date quantity plus 72 equals 103.
24	Q. All right. As you go on this form,	24	No, that can't be.
	Page 291		Page 292
1			
Т	Q. Let me just ask you: From the	1	Q. Okay.
2	Q. Let me just ask you: From the context of your typical work at Watson and	1 2	Q. Okay.  A. And I'm not sure about this being
2	context of your typical work at Watson and	2	A. And I'm not sure about this being
2	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten	2	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.
2 3 4	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?	2 3 4	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be
2 3 4 5	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day.	2 3 4 5	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.
2 3 4 5 6	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years.	2 3 4 5 6	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there
2 3 4 5 6 7	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or investigation that took place; not contained
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years. Q. But you had mentioned on the far right-hand side, Reason Code A. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years. Q. But you had mentioned on the far right-hand side, Reason Code A. Right. Q there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or investigation that took place; not contained within here.  Q. Based on the information that's in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years. Q. But you had mentioned on the far right-hand side, Reason Code A. Right. Q there? Do you remember there being at least	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or investigation that took place; not contained within here.  Q. Based on the information that's in that email there, would you, using your judgment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years. Q. But you had mentioned on the far right-hand side, Reason Code A. Right. Q there? Do you remember there being at least 12 different reasons as to why not release or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or investigation that took place; not contained within here.  Q. Based on the information that's in that email there, would you, using your judgment as you sit here today, would you think that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years. Q. But you had mentioned on the far right-hand side, Reason Code A. Right. Q there? Do you remember there being at least 12 different reasons as to why not release or hold an order?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or investigation that took place; not contained within here.  Q. Based on the information that's in that email there, would you, using your judgment as you sit here today, would you think that the order should be released?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years. Q. But you had mentioned on the far right-hand side, Reason Code A. Right. Q there? Do you remember there being at least 12 different reasons as to why not release or hold an order? A. I don't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or investigation that took place; not contained within here.  Q. Based on the information that's in that email there, would you, using your judgment as you sit here today, would you think that the order should be released?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years. Q. But you had mentioned on the far right-hand side, Reason Code A. Right. Q there? Do you remember there being at least 12 different reasons as to why not release or hold an order? A. I don't. Q. As you read through this document,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or investigation that took place; not contained within here.  Q. Based on the information that's in that email there, would you, using your judgment as you sit here today, would you think that the order should be released?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years. Q. But you had mentioned on the far right-hand side, Reason Code A. Right. Q there? Do you remember there being at least 12 different reasons as to why not release or hold an order? A. I don't. Q. As you read through this document, would it surprise you if this order was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or investigation that took place; not contained within here.  Q. Based on the information that's in that email there, would you, using your judgment as you sit here today, would you think that the order should be released?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. It would be difficult to judge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years. Q. But you had mentioned on the far right-hand side, Reason Code A. Right. Q there? Do you remember there being at least 12 different reasons as to why not release or hold an order? A. I don't. Q. As you read through this document, would it surprise you if this order was released?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or investigation that took place; not contained within here.  Q. Based on the information that's in that email there, would you, using your judgment as you sit here today, would you think that the order should be released?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. It would be difficult to judge something that happened that long ago and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years. Q. But you had mentioned on the far right-hand side, Reason Code A. Right. Q there? Do you remember there being at least 12 different reasons as to why not release or hold an order? A. I don't. Q. As you read through this document, would it surprise you if this order was released? MR. KNAPP: Form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or investigation that took place; not contained within here.  Q. Based on the information that's in that email there, would you, using your judgment as you sit here today, would you think that the order should be released?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. It would be difficult to judge something that happened that long ago and I don't know if I have all the details there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years. Q. But you had mentioned on the far right-hand side, Reason Code A. Right. Q there? Do you remember there being at least 12 different reasons as to why not release or hold an order? A. I don't. Q. As you read through this document, would it surprise you if this order was released? MR. KNAPP: Form. MR. LUXTON: Same.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or investigation that took place; not contained within here.  Q. Based on the information that's in that email there, would you, using your judgment as you sit here today, would you think that the order should be released?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. It would be difficult to judge something that happened that long ago and I don't know if I have all the details there.  Q. As you think about what you read in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years. Q. But you had mentioned on the far right-hand side, Reason Code A. Right. Q there? Do you remember there being at least 12 different reasons as to why not release or hold an order? A. I don't. Q. As you read through this document, would it surprise you if this order was released?  MR. KNAPP: Form. MR. LUXTON: Same. (Document review.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or investigation that took place; not contained within here.  Q. Based on the information that's in that email there, would you, using your judgment as you sit here today, would you think that the order should be released?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. It would be difficult to judge something that happened that long ago and I don't know if I have all the details there.  Q. As you think about what you read in that email, are there any particular pieces of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years. Q. But you had mentioned on the far right-hand side, Reason Code A. Right. Q there? Do you remember there being at least 12 different reasons as to why not release or hold an order? A. I don't. Q. As you read through this document, would it surprise you if this order was released?  MR. KNAPP: Form. MR. LUXTON: Same. (Document review.) A. So the 103 was the number they would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or investigation that took place; not contained within here.  Q. Based on the information that's in that email there, would you, using your judgment as you sit here today, would you think that the order should be released?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. It would be difficult to judge something that happened that long ago and I don't know if I have all the details there.  Q. As you think about what you read in that email, are there any particular pieces of information that you would want to know beyond
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	context of your typical work at Watson and Actavis, you don't know what those handwritten numbers would be?  A. I didn't review these every day. Q. Okay. A. And I haven't worked for the company in several years. Q. But you had mentioned on the far right-hand side, Reason Code A. Right. Q there? Do you remember there being at least 12 different reasons as to why not release or hold an order? A. I don't. Q. As you read through this document, would it surprise you if this order was released?  MR. KNAPP: Form. MR. LUXTON: Same. (Document review.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. And I'm not sure about this being released or not.  Q. But as you look at it, would you be surprised if it was released?  MR. KNAPP: Same objection.  MR. LUXTON: Same.  A. I don't know. I don't know if there are other if there were subsequent actions or investigation that took place; not contained within here.  Q. Based on the information that's in that email there, would you, using your judgment as you sit here today, would you think that the order should be released?  MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.  MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.  A. It would be difficult to judge something that happened that long ago and I don't know if I have all the details there.  Q. As you think about what you read in that email, are there any particular pieces of

. Probably want to know more about the macy location All right. So let's move on. Here's bit 21.  (Napoli Exhibit 21,2014 Year-End eview DEA Materials, Bates-stamped LLERGAN_MDL_03535137 through 143, marked or identification, as of this date.)		Page 294
. All right. So let's move on. Here's bit 21.  (Napoli Exhibit 21,2014 Year-End eview DEA Materials, Bates-stamped LLERGAN_MDL_03535137 through 143, marked	1	A. Correct.
oit 21.  (Napoli Exhibit 21,2014 Year-End eview DEA Materials, Bates-stamped LLERGAN_MDL_03535137 through 143, marked	2	Q. Do you remember writing this 2014
(Napoli Exhibit 21,2014 Year-End eview DEA Materials, Bates-stamped LLERGAN_MDL_03535137 through 143, marked	3	year-end review of Mr. Simmons?
eview DEA Materials, Bates-stamped LLERGAN_MDL_03535137 through 143, marked	4	A. I don't have a specific recollection,
eview DEA Materials, Bates-stamped LLERGAN_MDL_03535137 through 143, marked	5	but I definitely would have written his review.
LLERGAN_MDL_03535137 through 143, marked	6	Q. All right. I want to look at the key
	7	goals and responsibilities that are listed on
	8	the first Bates-stamped page. And No. 9 is
MR. EGLER:	9	"Identify resources and key personnel to create
. And if you look at Exhibit 21, the	10	a more comprehensive SOMS program to include
page has no Bates numbers. The second	11	leverage marketing personnel and chargeback
is ALLERGAN_MDL_03535137 through 143.	12	data."
And you can look through the whole	13	Do you see that there? It's on the
ment, but I'm just going to ask you about	14	prior page and it's right here (indicating).
is the third page of the document, the	15	A. Hold on one second.
nd Bates stamp.	16	O. Number 9.
. Okay.	17	(Document review.)
Before that, this is a 2014 it's	18	A. Yes.
as a 2014 year-end review of William	19	Q. And then on the next page, 138, the
nons; is that right?	20	second half of Employee Evaluation
. Yes.	21	A. Um-hmm.
	22	Q is this Mr. Simmons writing,
. All right. And Mr. Simmons is a DEA	23	"Collaborations with internal personnel have
oliance auditor and you are his manager; is	24	opened the door for the use of chargeback data
ight?		opened the door for the use of chargeodek data
Page 295		Page 296
marketing information as it relates to the	1	Monitoring System?
/usage of controlled substance. This has	2	A. Again, data wouldn't be imported into
vided more insight into the downstream	3	the automated system, but this system would be
cess of controlled substance ordering, but it	4	used for analysis by Will as an auditor.
also provided a better, quote, whole	5	So in addition to the data that's
ure, unquote, of ordering behavior.	6	listed here, and I'm trying to look at the time
ations with customer service personnel have	7	frame of this, but there was a point in which we
n created to allow for a monthly (or more	8	moved out of the security organizations and were
quent) report of top customers to include	9	reporting to supply chain.
lastic, report or top easterners to merade	10	Within the supply chain group we have
ing groups and contract	11	groups such as market forecasting, demand
	12	management. They have various tools to look at
ing groups and contract	13	forecasts, volume, et cetera, and we were
ing groups and contract itions/subtractions. Groundwork has been	14	leveraging those relationships to be able to
ing groups and contract itions/subtractions. Groundwork has been to start a collaborative relationship with	15	meet more frequently with those folks so we'd
ing groups and contract itions/subtractions. Groundwork has been to start a collaborative relationship with yorkers as it relates to customer order	16	have a better understanding of future ordering
ing groups and contract itions/subtractions. Groundwork has been to start a collaborative relationship with vorkers as it relates to customer order ge."	17	behavior, as well as looking retrospectively as
ining groups and contract itions/subtractions. Groundwork has been to start a collaborative relationship with vorkers as it relates to customer order ge."  Do you see that there?	18	well.
ing groups and contract itions/subtractions. Groundwork has been to start a collaborative relationship with vorkers as it relates to customer order ge."  Do you see that there?  A. I do.		And we also had an individual that
ing groups and contract itions/subtractions. Groundwork has been to start a collaborative relationship with vorkers as it relates to customer order ge."  Do you see that there? A. I do. Q. Do you remember Mr. Simmons having an	19	
ining groups and contract itions/subtractions. Groundwork has been to start a collaborative relationship with vorkers as it relates to customer order ge."  Do you see that there?  A. I do. Q. Do you remember Mr. Simmons having an intive to use chargeback data and marketing	19 20	could provide us chargeback data as well
itions/subtractions. Groundwork has been to start a collaborative relationship with vorkers as it relates to customer order ge."  Do you see that there?  A. I do.  Q. Do you remember Mr. Simmons having an intive to use chargeback data and marketing formation in the Suspicious Order Monitoring		could provide us chargeback data as well internally.
ing groups and contract itions/subtractions. Groundwork has been to start a collaborative relationship with vorkers as it relates to customer order ge."  Do you see that there? A. I do. Q. Do you remember Mr. Simmons having an intive to use chargeback data and marketing ormation in the Suspicious Order Monitoring tem at Watson or Actavis?	20	internally.
ing groups and contract itions/subtractions. Groundwork has been to start a collaborative relationship with vorkers as it relates to customer order ge."  Do you see that there? A. I do. Q. Do you remember Mr. Simmons having an iative to use chargeback data and marketing ormation in the Suspicious Order Monitoring tem at Watson or Actavis? A. Yes.	20 21	
ing group itions/sub to start a vorkers as ge."  Do yo A. I do. Q. Do y iative to u	4 O ' ' - Oud Monitonino	

Page 298 Page 297 1 specific products, specific SKUs that we wanted 1 automated system, because it's -- we are talking 2 to focus on, such as hydrocodone and oxycodone. 2 about -- it's an automated system. 3 Q. And as you think about the SKUs and 3 Q. Right. 4 the chargeback data, would that be part of the 4 A. So, you know, Will's role within 5 5 automated SOMS process or part of a process that that -- you know, the automated system was one was after the automated SOMS once an order had 6 6 tool. Looking at reports or forecasting or 7 7 demand that we're seeing, those are all tools pended? 8 8 that Will used as well to make informed A. It would all be retrospective because 9 the chargeback data is something that -- a 9 decisions and to understand, albeit 10 retrospectively, what a specific customer's 10 customer would have to submit a rebate for, so 11 ordering habits were. It essentially gave him 11 that would -- that would all be dependent on 12 more tools in his toolbox to be able to be very 12 when that customer submitted the rebate and when 13 effective in his job. 13 we received and processed pavement. So it's 14 Q. I guess what I'm trying to understand 14 definitely a retrospective tool that Will would 15 is with regard to the data and information that 15 review on a monthly basis to supplement the work 16 Mr. Simmons was getting, as you think of it, 16 he was already doing and also looking at 17 would it help to inform that algorithm or the 17 historical purchasing data by customers. 18 formula or whatever was used that was the 18 Q. The work that Will was doing, was it 19 automated part of the SOM system, or would it 19 informing the automated part of the Suspicious 20 inform other parts of the SOM system, or would 20 Order Monitoring System or would it inform 21 it inform the people examining orders that had 21 decisions made once an order pended? 22 pended, or something else? 2.2 MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. 23 MR. KNAPP: Objection to form. 23 A. I think where I'm getting stuck a 24 MR. LUXTON: Objection. 24 little bit is when you refer to notifying the Page 299 Page 300 1 decision about whether to pend an order; is that 1 A. The data that Will was reviewing 2 2 is -- again, much of it is retrospective. He fair to say? 3 would use that -- he was using that for analysis 3 A. Right. That is correct. Because this is all history that we're looking at. 4 4 purposes. 5 So, you know, obviously, you know, we 5 Q. All right. MR. KNAPP: Is it about time for 6 want to be making decisions in the realtime when 6 7 7 another break, or am I just ahead of the it came to an order, but to give him perspective 8 and to look at -- to look for trend analysis and 8 time here? 9 things like that and also being proactive as 9 MR. EGLER: No, that's a good idea. 10 possibly as you can with chargeback data, to 10 Let's take a break. 11 look to see if there were any customers that 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12 were purchasing from multiple sources. 12 approximately 4:21 p m., and we are going off the record. 13 So it was just, again it was one more 13 14 tool that was really autonomous of the automated 14 (Recess is taken.) 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the system but it was part of our holistic process 15 to have a whole view of as much information we 16 record. The time is approximately 16 17 17 could utilize practically within our program to 4:36 p m. 18 make educated decisions and to really keep our 18 BY MR. EGLER: 19 finger on the pulse of our customers. 19 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are

2.0

21

22

23

24

still under oath?

A. Yes, sir.

marked as Exhibit 22.

20

21

22

23

24

Q. So that the profiling of the

he's looking at, referring to here in this

customers wouldn't be happening on an ongoing

basis by Mr. Simmons, but the information that

Exhibit 21, would not inform the automated

Q. Counsel, I've handed you what I have

(Napoli Exhibit 22, Email chain

	Page 301		Page 302
1	beginning with email dated 6/27/14 from	1	Q. She's listed as I guess it's
2	Napoli to Simmons, Bates-stamped	2	Dr. Chan-Liston. She's listed as associate
3	ALLERGAN MDL 02146710 through 714, marked	3	director of global risk management for Actavis,
4	for identification, as of this date.)	4	and as you think of it, global risk management,
5	BY MR. EGLER:	5	were they in part or in whole tasked with
6	Q. While you look at it, as you're	6	complying with FDA regulations?
7	looking at it I'll read into the record it's	7	A. I don't know. I mean, global risk
8	ALLERGAN_MDL_02146710 through 714.	8	management can imply a lot of things.
9	Can you look at this and tell me,	9	Q. So she says in her email to you and
10	when you're ready, whether you remember this	10	Ms. Woods, "Dear Mary and Tom. Hello. I'm
11	particular set of emails.	11	reaching out to you in regards to some needed
12	I'll just note that, from my reading	12	data regarding our product,
13	of it, the first email that you're on appears on	13	Buprenorphine-Naloxone SL tablets. Since this
14	the second page of the document.	14	product has an FDA-mandated risk mitigation and
15	A. Okay.	15	evaluation strategy program, REMs, we as the
16	(Document review.)	16	manufacturer are required to submit certain data
17	A. Okay.	17	in order to assess the program. You and your
18	Q. All right. So this email well,	18	teams were identified as the resources to
19	when you turn to the second page of the document	19	provide the following."
20	at the bottom, it's page 6711, there is an email	20	And then she says, "First, the number
21	from May Chan-Liston.	21	of suspicious orders detected and what the
22	A. Yes.	22	outcome was" "and what was the outcome from
23	Q. Do you know Ms. Chan-Liston?	23	any investigation that occurred on those
24	A. Vague memory.	24	suspicious orders, order management, Mary Woods,
	Page 303		Page 304
1	and then second, any loss or theft of product,	1	we would be able to review that for to
1 2	and then second, any loss or theft of product, controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."	1 2	we would be able to review that for to determine if there was an order that was deemed
2	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the	2	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like
2	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going	2 3	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.
2 3 4	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the	2 3 4	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like
2 3 4 5	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.	2 3 4 5	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports	2 3 4 5 6 7	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during any other period.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual basis a compilation of the number of suspicious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during any other period.  Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual basis a compilation of the number of suspicious orders that pended or were investigated and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during any other period.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual basis a compilation of the number of suspicious orders that pended or were investigated and the results?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during any other period.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So thinking about the two things that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual basis a compilation of the number of suspicious orders that pended or were investigated and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during any other period.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So thinking about the two things that Dr. Chan-Liston is asking for, the numbers of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual basis a compilation of the number of suspicious orders that pended or were investigated and the results?  A. Orders of interest that pended Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during any other period.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So thinking about the two things that Dr. Chan-Liston is asking for, the numbers of suspicious orders detected and what was the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual basis a compilation of the number of suspicious orders that pended or were investigated and the results?  A. Orders of interest that pended Q. Yes.  A and that were investigated? I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during any other period.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So thinking about the two things that Dr. Chan-Liston is asking for, the numbers of suspicious orders detected and what was the outcome from any investigation that occurred on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual basis a compilation of the number of suspicious orders that pended or were investigated and the results?  A. Orders of interest that pended Q. Yes.  A and that were investigated? I don't have a recollection if that file existed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during any other period.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So thinking about the two things that Dr. Chan-Liston is asking for, the numbers of suspicious orders detected and what was the outcome from any investigation that occurred on those suspicious orders, as you sit here today	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual basis a compilation of the number of suspicious orders that pended or were investigated and the results?  A. Orders of interest that pended Q. Yes.  A and that were investigated? I don't have a recollection if that file existed.  Q. So let's okay. We'll mark
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during any other period.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So thinking about the two things that Dr. Chan-Liston is asking for, the numbers of suspicious orders detected and what was the outcome from any investigation that occurred on those suspicious orders, as you sit here today with your understanding of the Suspicious Order	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual basis a compilation of the number of suspicious orders that pended or were investigated and the results?  A. Orders of interest that pended Q. Yes.  A and that were investigated? I don't have a recollection if that file existed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during any other period.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So thinking about the two things that Dr. Chan-Liston is asking for, the numbers of suspicious orders detected and what was the outcome from any investigation that occurred on those suspicious orders, as you sit here today with your understanding of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson and then Actavis, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual basis a compilation of the number of suspicious orders that pended or were investigated and the results?  A. Orders of interest that pended Q. Yes. A and that were investigated? I don't have a recollection if that file existed. Q. So let's okay. We'll mark Exhibit 23.  (Napoli Exhibit 23, Document entitled
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during any other period.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So thinking about the two things that Dr. Chan-Liston is asking for, the numbers of suspicious orders detected and what was the outcome from any investigation that occurred on those suspicious orders, as you sit here today with your understanding of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson and then Actavis, is that a report that your group or Mary Woods'	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual basis a compilation of the number of suspicious orders that pended or were investigated and the results?  A. Orders of interest that pended Q. Yes.  A and that were investigated? I don't have a recollection if that file existed.  Q. So let's okay. We'll mark Exhibit 23.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during any other period.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So thinking about the two things that Dr. Chan-Liston is asking for, the numbers of suspicious orders detected and what was the outcome from any investigation that occurred on those suspicious orders, as you sit here today with your understanding of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson and then Actavis, is that a report that your group or Mary Woods' group could generate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual basis a compilation of the number of suspicious orders that pended or were investigated and the results?  A. Orders of interest that pended Q. Yes. A and that were investigated? I don't have a recollection if that file existed. Q. So let's okay. We'll mark Exhibit 23.  (Napoli Exhibit 23, Document entitled
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during any other period.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So thinking about the two things that Dr. Chan-Liston is asking for, the numbers of suspicious orders detected and what was the outcome from any investigation that occurred on those suspicious orders, as you sit here today with your understanding of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson and then Actavis, is that a report that your group or Mary Woods' group could generate?  A. We would certainly we maintain the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual basis a compilation of the number of suspicious orders that pended or were investigated and the results?  A. Orders of interest that pended Q. Yes. A and that were investigated? I don't have a recollection if that file existed. Q. So let's okay. We'll mark Exhibit 23.  (Napoli Exhibit 23, Document entitled "Customer Analysis and SOMS Overview Import/Export," Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_021477093 through 7110, marked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	controlled substance compliance, Tom Napoli."  And the rest of the emails going forward in time are with you and her and the discussion of the data she is seeking from you.  Do you see that there?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And you ultimately find out from Mr. Simmons that you had no theft/lost reports for this product for this time period or during any other period.  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So thinking about the two things that Dr. Chan-Liston is asking for, the numbers of suspicious orders detected and what was the outcome from any investigation that occurred on those suspicious orders, as you sit here today with your understanding of the Suspicious Order Monitoring System at Watson and then Actavis, is that a report that your group or Mary Woods' group could generate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	determine if there was an order that was deemed suspicious for that product.  Q. Would there be any statistics like that kept by your or Mary Woods' office for any reasons?  A. I would likely would have likely maintained a file of suspicious order.  Q. But beyond having the file itself, would you have maintained on a regular annual basis a compilation of the number of suspicious orders that pended or were investigated and the results?  A. Orders of interest that pended Q. Yes.  A and that were investigated? I don't have a recollection if that file existed.  Q. So let's okay. We'll mark Exhibit 23.  (Napoli Exhibit 23, Document entitled "Customer Analysis and SOMS Overview Import/Export," Bates-stamped

	Page 305		Page 306
1	BY MR. EGLER:	1	report before?
2	Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what's	2	A. I'm sure that I have. I don't have
3	been marked as Exhibit 23.	3	an distinct recollection at the time, but I'm
4	A. Sure.	4	sure that I've seen this.
5	Q. And for the record, I'll note, again	5	Q. I'll just tell you by the conventions
6	the first page has no Bates numbers on it.	6	of the metadata that appear on the first page,
7	The second page is	7	you are listed as the custodian for this
8	ALLERGAN_MDL_02147093 through 7110. When you're	8	document.
9	ready, could you tell me what this appears to	9	It wouldn't surprise you if you had
10	you to be.	10	seen this before, right?
11	(Document review.)	11	A. No, it would not.
12	A. This appears to be an outstanding	12	Q. So going into this report, on the
13	overview that the order Will Simmons put	13	first page, it says, "Customer analysis and SOMS
14	together, breaking down our controlled substance	14	overview."
15	ordering, customer activity, 2014 versus 2015,	15	Do you see that there?
16	which, again, just gives us more data for	16	A. Um-hmm.
17	analysis and keeps us more in touch with our	17	Q. And it states "import, export."
18	customers. You'll see, you know, details of who	18	Do you know what that means in the
19	our top customers were and how many active SKUs	19	context of this document?
20	that we have. Looks like we actually had less	20	A. Sure. Sure. Will also had
21	customers in 2015 than 2014, and you'll see also	21	responsibilities for the import and export of
22	distribution of order distribution, so by	22	controlled substances the import and export
23	custom by customers.	23	activities, so he would have been providing
24	Q. Do you remember ever seeing this	24	report out on that as well.
	Page 307		Page 308
			rage 500
1	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is	1	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take
1 2		1 2	
	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is		Q. I appreciate everybody has to take
2	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?	2	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.
2	<ul><li>Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	2	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there,
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And can you, as you look at that</li></ul>	2 3 4	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP,
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be?</li><li>What is it trying to convey?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes.  Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be?  What is it trying to convey?  A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes. Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be? What is it trying to convey? A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year. Q. And the first one that's listed there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes. Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be? What is it trying to convey? A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year. Q. And the first one that's listed there is hydrocodone/APAP?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.  A. APAP is aspirin. I believe there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes.  Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be?  What is it trying to convey?  A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year.  Q. And the first one that's listed there is hydrocodone/APAP?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.  A. APAP is aspirin. I believe there's APAP and there's yeah, APAP is aspirin. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes. Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be? What is it trying to convey? A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year. Q. And the first one that's listed there is hydrocodone/APAP? A. Yes. Q. Is that right? And that is an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.  A. APAP is aspirin. I believe there's APAP and there's yeah, APAP is aspirin. So it's a combination product.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes. Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be? What is it trying to convey? A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year. Q. And the first one that's listed there is hydrocodone/APAP? A. Yes. Q. Is that right? And that is an opioid; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.  A. APAP is aspirin. I believe there's APAP and there's yeah, APAP is aspirin. So it's a combination product.  Q. So this is oxycodone and aspirin, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes. Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be? What is it trying to convey? A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year. Q. And the first one that's listed there is hydrocodone/APAP? A. Yes. Q. Is that right? And that is an opioid; is that right? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.  A. APAP is aspirin. I believe there's APAP and there's yeah, APAP is aspirin. So it's a combination product.  Q. So this is oxycodone and aspirin, and again in 2015 400,495 solid dose units shipped,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes. Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be? What is it trying to convey? A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year. Q. And the first one that's listed there is hydrocodone/APAP? A. Yes. Q. Is that right? And that is an opioid; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And it lists, "2015 shipments year to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.  A. APAP is aspirin. I believe there's APAP and there's yeah, APAP is aspirin. So it's a combination product.  Q. So this is oxycodone and aspirin, and again in 2015 400,495 solid dose units shipped, which is down from 555,916 in 2014.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes. Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be? What is it trying to convey? A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year. Q. And the first one that's listed there is hydrocodone/APAP? A. Yes. Q. Is that right? And that is an opioid; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And it lists, "2015 shipments year to date as 1,155,204," and that's solid dose units,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.  A. APAP is aspirin. I believe there's APAP and there's yeah, APAP is aspirin. So it's a combination product.  Q. So this is oxycodone and aspirin, and again in 2015 400,495 solid dose units shipped, which is down from 555,916 in 2014.  The next one is oxycodone/HCL.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes. Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be? What is it trying to convey? A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year. Q. And the first one that's listed there is hydrocodone/APAP? A. Yes. Q. Is that right? And that is an opioid; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And it lists, "2015 shipments year to date as 1,155,204," and that's solid dose units, as you said?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.  A. APAP is aspirin. I believe there's APAP and there's yeah, APAP is aspirin. So it's a combination product.  Q. So this is oxycodone and aspirin, and again in 2015 400,495 solid dose units shipped, which is down from 555,916 in 2014.  The next one is oxycodone/HCL.  A. Um-hmm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes. Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be? What is it trying to convey? A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year. Q. And the first one that's listed there is hydrocodone/APAP? A. Yes. Q. Is that right? And that is an opioid; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And it lists, "2015 shipments year to date as 1,155,204," and that's solid dose units, as you said? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.  A. APAP is aspirin. I believe there's APAP and there's yeah, APAP is aspirin. So it's a combination product.  Q. So this is oxycodone and aspirin, and again in 2015 400,495 solid dose units shipped, which is down from 555,916 in 2014.  The next one is oxycodone/HCL.  A. Um-hmm.  Q. What does HCL mean?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes. Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be? What is it trying to convey? A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year. Q. And the first one that's listed there is hydrocodone/APAP? A. Yes. Q. Is that right? And that is an opioid; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And it lists, "2015 shipments year to date as 1,155,204," and that's solid dose units, as you said? A. Yes. Q. And that's down from the prior year,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.  A. APAP is aspirin. I believe there's APAP and there's yeah, APAP is aspirin. So it's a combination product.  Q. So this is oxycodone and aspirin, and again in 2015 400,495 solid dose units shipped, which is down from 555,916 in 2014.  The next one is oxycodone/HCL.  A. Um-hmm.  Q. What does HCL mean?  A. Hydrochloride. It's a single entity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes. Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be? What is it trying to convey? A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year. Q. And the first one that's listed there is hydrocodone/APAP? A. Yes. Q. Is that right? And that is an opioid; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And it lists, "2015 shipments year to date as 1,155,204," and that's solid dose units, as you said? A. Yes. Q. And that's down from the prior year, which was 1,522,346; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.  A. APAP is aspirin. I believe there's APAP and there's yeah, APAP is aspirin. So it's a combination product.  Q. So this is oxycodone and aspirin, and again in 2015 400,495 solid dose units shipped, which is down from 555,916 in 2014.  The next one is oxycodone/HCL.  A. Um-hmm.  Q. What does HCL mean?  A. Hydrochloride. It's a single entity oxycodone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes. Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be? What is it trying to convey? A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year. Q. And the first one that's listed there is hydrocodone/APAP? A. Yes. Q. Is that right? And that is an opioid; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And it lists, "2015 shipments year to date as 1,155,204," and that's solid dose units, as you said? A. Yes. Q. And that's down from the prior year, which was 1,522,346; is that right? A. Actually 2015 is slightly up over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.  A. APAP is aspirin. I believe there's APAP and there's yeah, APAP is aspirin. So it's a combination product.  Q. So this is oxycodone and aspirin, and again in 2015 400,495 solid dose units shipped, which is down from 555,916 in 2014.  The next one is oxycodone/HCL.  A. Um-hmm.  Q. What does HCL mean?  A. Hydrochloride. It's a single entity oxycodone.  Q. Okay. So is that generic OxyContin?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes. Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be? What is it trying to convey? A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year. Q. And the first one that's listed there is hydrocodone/APAP? A. Yes. Q. Is that right? And that is an opioid; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And it lists, "2015 shipments year to date as 1,155,204," and that's solid dose units, as you said? A. Yes. Q. And that's down from the prior year, which was 1,522,346; is that right? A. Actually 2015 is slightly up over 2014. No, you're right. I'm sorry. It's late	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.  A. APAP is aspirin. I believe there's APAP and there's yeah, APAP is aspirin. So it's a combination product.  Q. So this is oxycodone and aspirin, and again in 2015 400,495 solid dose units shipped, which is down from 555,916 in 2014.  The next one is oxycodone/HCL.  A. Um-hmm.  Q. What does HCL mean?  A. Hydrochloride. It's a single entity oxycodone.  Q. Okay. So is that generic OxyContin?  A. No, that may be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Can you turn to page 7095, which is this page (indicating)?  A. Yes. Q. And can you, as you look at that chart, can you tell me what this appears to be? What is it trying to convey? A. It's conveying the quantity of solid dosage units by product family that were shipped year over year. Q. And the first one that's listed there is hydrocodone/APAP? A. Yes. Q. Is that right? And that is an opioid; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And it lists, "2015 shipments year to date as 1,155,204," and that's solid dose units, as you said? A. Yes. Q. And that's down from the prior year, which was 1,522,346; is that right? A. Actually 2015 is slightly up over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. I appreciate everybody has to take their time.  And then the next one there, oxycodone/APAP.  In the context of that term, APAP, what does that mean?  VIDEOGRAPHER: Don't touch the microphone, please.  THE WITNESS: I have a habit of grabbing my zipper.  A. APAP is aspirin. I believe there's APAP and there's yeah, APAP is aspirin. So it's a combination product.  Q. So this is oxycodone and aspirin, and again in 2015 400,495 solid dose units shipped, which is down from 555,916 in 2014.  The next one is oxycodone/HCL.  A. Um-hmm.  Q. What does HCL mean?  A. Hydrochloride. It's a single entity oxycodone.  Q. Okay. So is that generic OxyContin?

	Page 309		Page 310
1	A. I would say that that is likely	1	The first thing there is the CFR that
2	let me try to look down the list here, so I can	2	we've read before; is that right?
3	make more educated would be the oxycodone 10-	3	A. Um-hmm. Yes.
4	and 30-milligram immediate release product.	4	Q. And the second thing is a quote from
5	Q. So as opposed to a slow release or	5	Southwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Um-hmm.
7	Q longer acting pill?	7	Q. And that is from the Federal Record,
8	A. Correct.	8	is that right.
9	Q. So those shipments are up, 2015	9	Do you remember anything about the
10	shipments being 308,097 solid dose units as	10	Southwest Pharmaceuticals case?
11	opposed to just under 200,000 in 2014; is that	11	A. I do. I'm not up on all the details.
12	right?	12	I know it was a I don't want to call it a
13	A. Correct.	13	landmark case, but I know it was a significant
14	Q. So do you know why this information	14	case. I think that's probably where it was
15	would have been collected?	15	determined that relying on rigid formulas maybe
16	A. This is just giving us an annual year	16	appears may be setting a precedent, but
17	over year so we have an understanding of	17	that's
18	customer ordering behavior. Just, again,	18	Q. And then on the next page it states,
19	another tool that we can use at our disposal,	19	"SOM system" and states, "SAP system suspends
20	more less of a annual report.	20	orders based on" it has a number of things
21	Q. Then there's various other data on	21	there "class of trade, average" is it
22	the following pages. And as you go further into	22	"quantity/order"?
23	the document at 7099 it states at the top,	23	A. Um-hmm.
24	Suspicious Order Monitoring.	24	Q. And then "class of trade, average
	Page 311		D 310
	5		Page 312
1	quantity ordered per month"?	1	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say
1 2	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.	1 2	
	quantity ordered per month"?		Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say
2	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.	2	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed
2	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And "customer allowable quantity per	2 3	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that
2 3 4	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per	2 3 4	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?
2 3 4 5	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?	2 3 4 5	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can
2 3 4 5 6	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available
2 3 4 5 6 7	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes. Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct. Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and then it says, "If less than allowable quantity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.  Q. All right. Going down into page 107
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and then it says, "If less than allowable quantity per month, release order. If more than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.  Q. All right. Going down into page 107 it states, "Additional Analysis."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes. Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct. Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and then it says, "If less than allowable quantity per month, release order. If more than allowable quantity per month, contact customer."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.  Q. All right. Going down into page 107 it states, "Additional Analysis."  (Document review.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and then it says, "If less than allowable quantity per month, release order. If more than allowable quantity per month, contact customer."  Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.  Q. All right. Going down into page 107 it states, "Additional Analysis."  (Document review.)  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and then it says, "If less than allowable quantity per month, release order. If more than allowable quantity per month, contact customer."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.  Q. All right. Going down into page 107 it states, "Additional Analysis."  (Document review.)  A. Yes.  Q. And it says, "Chargeback" and it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes. Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct. Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and then it says, "If less than allowable quantity per month, release order. If more than allowable quantity per month, contact customer."  Do you see that?  A. Yes. Q. So the first part up there, "The SAP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.  Q. All right. Going down into page 107 it states, "Additional Analysis."  (Document review.)  A. Yes.  Q. And it says, "Chargeback" and it has a paragraph about chargebacks. That says,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes. Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct. Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and then it says, "If less than allowable quantity per month, release order. If more than allowable quantity per month, contact customer."  Do you see that?  A. Yes. Q. So the first part up there, "The SAP system suspends orders based on," is that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.  Q. All right. Going down into page 107 it states, "Additional Analysis."  (Document review.)  A. Yes.  Q. And it says, "Chargeback" and it has a paragraph about chargebacks. That says, "Monthly chargeback analysis performed for oxy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and then it says, "If less than allowable quantity per month, release order. If more than allowable quantity per month, contact customer."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So the first part up there, "The SAP system suspends orders based on," is that the Buzzeo process?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.  Q. All right. Going down into page 107 it states, "Additional Analysis."  (Document review.)  A. Yes.  Q. And it says, "Chargeback" and it has a paragraph about chargebacks. That says, "Monthly chargeback analysis performed for oxy and hydro products." It says, "Customers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and then it says, "If less than allowable quantity per month, release order. If more than allowable quantity per month, contact customer."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So the first part up there, "The SAP system suspends orders based on," is that the Buzzeo process?  A. No, that is a just a reiteration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.  Q. All right. Going down into page 107 it states, "Additional Analysis."  (Document review.)  A. Yes.  Q. And it says, "Chargeback" and it has a paragraph about chargebacks. That says, "Monthly chargeback analysis performed for oxy and hydro products." It says, "Customers purchasing large amounts from multiple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes. Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct. Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and then it says, "If less than allowable quantity per month, release order. If more than allowable quantity per month, contact customer."  Do you see that?  A. Yes. Q. So the first part up there, "The SAP system suspends orders based on," is that the Buzzeo process?  A. No, that is a just a reiteration of the system we've been talking about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.  Q. All right. Going down into page 107 it states, "Additional Analysis."  (Document review.)  A. Yes.  Q. And it says, "Chargeback" and it has a paragraph about chargebacks. That says, "Monthly chargeback analysis performed for oxy and hydro products." It says, "Customers purchasing large amounts from multiple distributors, more than two distributors, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes. Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct. Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and then it says, "If less than allowable quantity per month, release order. If more than allowable quantity per month, contact customer."  Do you see that?  A. Yes. Q. So the first part up there, "The SAP system suspends orders based on," is that the Buzzeo process?  A. No, that is a just a reiteration of the system we've been talking about. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.  Q. All right. Going down into page 107 it states, "Additional Analysis."  (Document review.)  A. Yes.  Q. And it says, "Chargeback" and it has a paragraph about chargebacks. That says, "Monthly chargeback analysis performed for oxy and hydro products." It says, "Customers purchasing large amounts from multiple distributors, more than two distributors, and then in (primary, secondary, tertiary) and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and then it says, "If less than allowable quantity per month, release order. If more than allowable quantity per month, contact customer."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So the first part up there, "The SAP system suspends orders based on," is that the Buzzeo process?  A. No, that is a just a reiteration of the system we've been talking about.  Q. Okay.  A. This presentation is an overview	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.  Q. All right. Going down into page 107 it states, "Additional Analysis."  (Document review.)  A. Yes.  Q. And it says, "Chargeback" and it has a paragraph about chargebacks. That says, "Monthly chargeback analysis performed for oxy and hydro products." It says, "Customers purchasing large amounts from multiple distributors, more than two distributors, and then in (primary, secondary, tertiary) and then analyze month-over-month trends to detect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and then it says, "If less than allowable quantity per month, release order. If more than allowable quantity per month, contact customer."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So the first part up there, "The SAP system suspends orders based on," is that the Buzzeo process?  A. No, that is a just a reiteration of the system we've been talking about.  Q. Okay.  A. This presentation is an overview it's an overview of our it looks like a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.  Q. All right. Going down into page 107 it states, "Additional Analysis."  (Document review.)  A. Yes.  Q. And it says, "Chargeback" and it has a paragraph about chargebacks. That says, "Monthly chargeback analysis performed for oxy and hydro products." It says, "Customers purchasing large amounts from multiple distributors, more than two distributors, and then in (primary, secondary, tertiary) and then analyze month-over-month trends to detect pattern and then assist in violation" I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	quantity ordered per month"?  A. Um-hmm. Yes.  Q. And "customer allowable quantity per order and customer allowable quantity order per month"; is that right?  A. Correct.  Q. And then it has other information, "System flagged, orders released based on customer allowable quantity per month and current month's order plus pending order" and then it says, "If less than allowable quantity per month, release order. If more than allowable quantity per month, contact customer."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. So the first part up there, "The SAP system suspends orders based on," is that the Buzzeo process?  A. No, that is a just a reiteration of the system we've been talking about.  Q. Okay.  A. This presentation is an overview	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So then by 2015, is it fair to say that Actavis, at this point, had not changed from the prior Watson automated system; is that right?  A. That's correct. I think what you can see here is the trajectory of enhanced Know Your Customer, as well as the seizing more opportunities to use more data that is available to us internally to give us a more holistic view of our customers' ordering patterns.  Q. All right. Going down into page 107 it states, "Additional Analysis."  (Document review.)  A. Yes.  Q. And it says, "Chargeback" and it has a paragraph about chargebacks. That says, "Monthly chargeback analysis performed for oxy and hydro products." It says, "Customers purchasing large amounts from multiple distributors, more than two distributors, and then in (primary, secondary, tertiary) and then analyze month-over-month trends to detect

	Page 313		Page 314
1	So is this the chargeback analysis	1	date.)
2	that we were talking about with Mr. Simmons in	2	BY MR. EGLER:
3	his annual review previously?	3	Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look generally at
4	A. Yes.	4	what's been marked as Exhibit 24. And as you're
5	Q. All right. And, again, as we talked	5	doing so, I'll note for the record that it's
6	about before, this would inform the decision	6	officially two pages long, acquired Actavis
7	about whether to clear or escalate an order that	7	0247, 6517 through 6518. But the second page,
8	had pended typically; is that right?	8	although it's one Bates number, is the
9	A. It could be used, yes, as a resource.	9	PowerPoint presentation that's printed out
10	O. What else could it be used for?	10	behind there.
11	MR. KNAPP: Form.	11	Do you see that?
12	A. It could be used as a resource for	12	A. Sure.
13	conducting an investigation on a pending order,	13	Q. All right. And again in the way
14	but it can also be used as a statistical	14	these things are produced, the PowerPoint
15	analysis tool to to look for trends or	15	presentation was produced as one Bates number.
16	evaluate if these types of activities, for a	16	So as you look at this PowerPoint
17	forensic review-type of tool.	17	presentation and the emails that are there, the
18	Q. You can set this document aside.	18	email that's first in time comes from a person
19	I'll hand you what I'll mark as Exhibit 24.	19	named Ann, A-n-n, C., is it, Cipkins?
20	(Napoli Exhibit 24, Email chain	20	A. Yes.
21	beginning with email dated 7/31/14 from	21	Q. C-i-p-k-i-n-s.
22	Napoli to Simmons, Bates-stamped	22	Do you know Ms. Cipkins?
23	ACQUIRED_ACTAVIS_02476517 through 6518,	23	A. I do.
24	marked for identification, as of this	24	Q. Who is Ms. Cipkins?
	,		
	Page 315		Dago 216
	5		Page 316
1	A. I don't know if she still is, but she	1	S, ampersand, OP means?
1 2		1 2	
	A. I don't know if she still is, but she		S, ampersand, OP means?
2	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for	2	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP
2	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.	2 3	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many
2 3 4	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand	2 3 4	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to
2 3 4 5	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?	2 3 4 5	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and
2 3 4 5 6	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are	2 3 4 5 6	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the	2 3 4 5 6 7	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to help them to you know, to coordinate the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same page, understanding, you know, things, if there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to help them to you know, to coordinate the scheduling. So, essentially, she would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same page, understanding, you know, things, if there have been bids awarded, if there are any issues
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to help them to you know, to coordinate the scheduling. So, essentially, she would be communicating or putting together data relative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same page, understanding, you know, things, if there have been bids awarded, if there are any issues where somebody is falling out of the market,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to help them to you know, to coordinate the scheduling. So, essentially, she would be communicating or putting together data relative demand, communicating that with the site so the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same page, understanding, you know, things, if there have been bids awarded, if there are any issues where somebody is falling out of the market, identifying any opportunities, so that everyone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to help them to you know, to coordinate the scheduling. So, essentially, she would be communicating or putting together data relative demand, communicating that with the site so the site can schedule their manufacturing around	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same page, understanding, you know, things, if there have been bids awarded, if there are any issues where somebody is falling out of the market, identifying any opportunities, so that everyone has an understanding and is on the same page and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to help them to you know, to coordinate the scheduling. So, essentially, she would be communicating or putting together data relative demand, communicating that with the site so the site can schedule their manufacturing around that to be able to meet that demand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same page, understanding, you know, things, if there have been bids awarded, if there are any issues where somebody is falling out of the market, identifying any opportunities, so that everyone has an understanding and is on the same page and can communicate that back, whether they need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to help them to you know, to coordinate the scheduling. So, essentially, she would be communicating or putting together data relative demand, communicating that with the site so the site can schedule their manufacturing around that to be able to meet that demand.  So in order they may need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same page, understanding, you know, things, if there have been bids awarded, if there are any issues where somebody is falling out of the market, identifying any opportunities, so that everyone has an understanding and is on the same page and can communicate that back, whether they need to work that into their plans to support the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to help them to you know, to coordinate the scheduling. So, essentially, she would be communicating or putting together data relative demand, communicating that with the site so the site can schedule their manufacturing around that to be able to meet that demand.  So in order they may need to prioritize certain products to manufacture	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same page, understanding, you know, things, if there have been bids awarded, if there are any issues where somebody is falling out of the market, identifying any opportunities, so that everyone has an understanding and is on the same page and can communicate that back, whether they need to work that into their plans to support the manufacturing sites, et cetera.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to help them to you know, to coordinate the scheduling. So, essentially, she would be communicating or putting together data relative demand, communicating that with the site so the site can schedule their manufacturing around that to be able to meet that demand.  So in order they may need to prioritize certain products to manufacture during a certain time frame because to meet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same page, understanding, you know, things, if there have been bids awarded, if there are any issues where somebody is falling out of the market, identifying any opportunities, so that everyone has an understanding and is on the same page and can communicate that back, whether they need to work that into their plans to support the manufacturing sites, et cetera.  Q. All right. So this presentation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to help them to you know, to coordinate the scheduling. So, essentially, she would be communicating or putting together data relative demand, communicating that with the site so the site can schedule their manufacturing around that to be able to meet that demand.  So in order they may need to prioritize certain products to manufacture during a certain time frame because to meet the demand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same page, understanding, you know, things, if there have been bids awarded, if there are any issues where somebody is falling out of the market, identifying any opportunities, so that everyone has an understanding and is on the same page and can communicate that back, whether they need to work that into their plans to support the manufacturing sites, et cetera.  Q. All right. So this presentation doesn't have page numbers. I'm going to hold up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to help them to you know, to coordinate the scheduling. So, essentially, she would be communicating or putting together data relative demand, communicating that with the site so the site can schedule their manufacturing around that to be able to meet that demand.  So in order they may need to prioritize certain products to manufacture during a certain time frame because to meet the demand.  Q. All right. And she writes to a big	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same page, understanding, you know, things, if there have been bids awarded, if there are any issues where somebody is falling out of the market, identifying any opportunities, so that everyone has an understanding and is on the same page and can communicate that back, whether they need to work that into their plans to support the manufacturing sites, et cetera.  Q. All right. So this presentation doesn't have page numbers. I'm going to hold up a page to you and it states, "TU Summary (as of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to help them to you know, to coordinate the scheduling. So, essentially, she would be communicating or putting together data relative demand, communicating that with the site so the site can schedule their manufacturing around that to be able to meet that demand.  So in order they may need to prioritize certain products to manufacture during a certain time frame because to meet the demand.  Q. All right. And she writes to a big group of people including yourself, "Attached is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same page, understanding, you know, things, if there have been bids awarded, if there are any issues where somebody is falling out of the market, identifying any opportunities, so that everyone has an understanding and is on the same page and can communicate that back, whether they need to work that into their plans to support the manufacturing sites, et cetera.  Q. All right. So this presentation doesn't have page numbers. I'm going to hold up a page to you and it states, "TU Summary (as of 7/1)."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to help them to you know, to coordinate the scheduling. So, essentially, she would be communicating or putting together data relative demand, communicating that with the site so the site can schedule their manufacturing around that to be able to meet that demand.  So in order they may need to prioritize certain products to manufacture during a certain time frame because to meet the demand.  Q. All right. And she writes to a big group of people including yourself, "Attached is the generic S&OP deck.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same page, understanding, you know, things, if there have been bids awarded, if there are any issues where somebody is falling out of the market, identifying any opportunities, so that everyone has an understanding and is on the same page and can communicate that back, whether they need to work that into their plans to support the manufacturing sites, et cetera.  Q. All right. So this presentation doesn't have page numbers. I'm going to hold up a page to you and it states, "TU Summary (as of 7/1)."  Would you turn to that page.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't know if she still is, but she was the director of demand management for Actavis.  Q. As you think of it, what does demand management mean?  A. Demand management is a group within our supply chain group and they would be a liaison between understanding what the needs are of the customer, as well as interfacing with the sites that manufacture those projects and to help them to you know, to coordinate the scheduling. So, essentially, she would be communicating or putting together data relative demand, communicating that with the site so the site can schedule their manufacturing around that to be able to meet that demand.  So in order they may need to prioritize certain products to manufacture during a certain time frame because to meet the demand.  Q. All right. And she writes to a big group of people including yourself, "Attached is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S, ampersand, OP means?  A. Yes. It's an S&OP meeting. An S&OP meeting is a common meeting that occurs in many organizations, whether pharmaceutical or otherwise, and it's basically a sales and operations meeting and it's an opportunity to for the folks on the sales and the commercial side to interface with the operation side to enhance communication. Again, to ensure that we that the organizations are on the same page, understanding, you know, things, if there have been bids awarded, if there are any issues where somebody is falling out of the market, identifying any opportunities, so that everyone has an understanding and is on the same page and can communicate that back, whether they need to work that into their plans to support the manufacturing sites, et cetera.  Q. All right. So this presentation doesn't have page numbers. I'm going to hold up a page to you and it states, "TU Summary (as of 7/1)."

	Page 317		Page 318
1	Q. And do you have an understanding of	1	Q. It states, "Business opportunities,
2	what this TU summary as of 7/1 is trying to	2	McKesson has confirmed the Rite-Aid award for
3	convey?	3	oxy 15 milligrams and 30 milligrams beginning in
4	A. TU, I believe, is Temporarily	4	September."
5	Unavailable, and it's showing that because of	5	From the context of this document,
6	you see here a reason code, API issue, maybe	6	can you tell what that statement means?
7	there isn't enough API, maybe the API failed a	7	A. Sure. That means that our customer
8	test that or again insufficient amount of API	8	McKesson has confirmed with us that they've won
9	that we were not able to meet demands. So there	9	an award from Rite-Aid for the business for this
10	has been a cumulative loss in sales associated	10	particular for these particular SKUs and
11	with that.	11	they're reporting what the estimated annual
12	So this is really a callout to the	12	units will be for those products.
13	business as far as products where we have issues	13	Q. So go ahead.
14	that are temporarily unavailable. And of course	14	A. I was going to say, that's why you'll
15	management would want to always be kept abreast	15	see that Ann forwarded this onto my team because
16	of products that are temporarily unavailable and	16	it's a good source of intelligence for us. So
17	when we're going to get back to market with	17	now we can see we're getting advance
18	these products.	18	information, that, okay, we can anticipate that
19	Q. All right. And then there is another	19	we're going to see an increase in SOMS and
20	slide, and it's I think it's six pages from	20	volume on orders on these, and we can dig deeper
21	the end, or four pages from the end, it states	21	on this so we can be ready for when this occurs.
22	"Business Opportunities." It looks like this	22	Q. And going back to the first page,
23	(indicating).	23	that's what you write to Mr. Simmons; is that
24	(Document review.)	24	right?
	Page 319		Page 320
1	A. Yup.	1	A. I have seen this document.
2	Q. All right.	2	Q. Did you write this document?
3	A. So it's just another source of	3	A. Yes, sir.
4	intelligence to help us be more effective in	4	Q. Why did you write this document?
5	compliance.	5	A. I wrote this document as a means to
6	Q. All right. You can set this document	6	
	11 7011 1 1 1 1 1	0	get the Cegedim or Cegedim-Dendrite solution
7	aside. I'll hand you what we'll mark as	7	implemented.
7 8	Exhibit 25.		
	Exhibit 25. (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document	7	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date
8 9 10	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation	7 8 9	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.
8 9 10 11	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation  Justification: SOM Statistical Model	7 8 9 10	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.  A. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation  Justification: SOM Statistical Model  Development and Hosting 'in the Cloud'",	7 8 9 10 11 12	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember writing this document
8 9 10 11	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation  Justification: SOM Statistical Model  Development and Hosting 'in the Cloud'",  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535253	7 8 9 10	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember writing this document around that time?
8 9 10 11 12	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation  Justification: SOM Statistical Model  Development and Hosting 'in the Cloud'",  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_ 03535253  through 257, marked for identification, as	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember writing this document around that time?  A. It's very likely.
8 9 10 11 12 13	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation  Justification: SOM Statistical Model  Development and Hosting 'in the Cloud'",  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535253  through 257, marked for identification, as of this date.)	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember writing this document around that time?  A. It's very likely.  Q. Then on the second Bates-stamped
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation  Justification: SOM Statistical Model  Development and Hosting 'in the Cloud'",  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535253  through 257, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember writing this document around that time?  A. It's very likely.  Q. Then on the second Bates-stamped page, 254, the section that starts with:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation  Justification: SOM Statistical Model  Development and Hosting 'in the Cloud'",  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535253  through 257, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember writing this document around that time?  A. It's very likely.  Q. Then on the second Bates-stamped page, 254, the section that starts with:  "Background."
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation Justification: SOM Statistical Model Development and Hosting 'in the Cloud'", Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_ 03535253 through 257, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at Exhibit 25, and like the other ones today the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember writing this document around that time?  A. It's very likely.  Q. Then on the second Bates-stamped page, 254, the section that starts with:  "Background."  Do you see that?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation  Justification: SOM Statistical Model  Development and Hosting 'in the Cloud'",  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_ 03535253 through 257, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at  Exhibit 25, and like the other ones today the first one has no Bates numbers and then the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember writing this document around that time?  A. It's very likely.  Q. Then on the second Bates-stamped page, 254, the section that starts with:  "Background."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation  Justification: SOM Statistical Model  Development and Hosting 'in the Cloud"',  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535253  through 257, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at  Exhibit 25, and like the other ones today the first one has no Bates numbers and then the second page is ALLERGAN_MDL_03535253 through	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember writing this document around that time?  A. It's very likely.  Q. Then on the second Bates-stamped page, 254, the section that starts with:  "Background."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. The second full text paragraph, I'm
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation  Justification: SOM Statistical Model  Development and Hosting 'in the Cloud'",  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535253 through 257, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at  Exhibit 25, and like the other ones today the first one has no Bates numbers and then the second page is ALLERGAN_MDL_03535253 through 257.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember writing this document around that time?  A. It's very likely.  Q. Then on the second Bates-stamped page, 254, the section that starts with:  "Background."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. The second full text paragraph, I'm just going to start reading it into the record.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation  Justification: SOM Statistical Model  Development and Hosting 'in the Cloud'",  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_ 03535253 through 257, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at Exhibit 25, and like the other ones today the first one has no Bates numbers and then the second page is ALLERGAN_MDL_03535253 through 257.  And when you're ready, can you tell	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember writing this document around that time?  A. It's very likely.  Q. Then on the second Bates-stamped page, 254, the section that starts with:  "Background."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. The second full text paragraph, I'm just going to start reading it into the record.  It says, "Based on this compliance need, Cegedim
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation  Justification: SOM Statistical Model  Development and Hosting 'in the Cloud"',  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_ 03535253  through 257, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at  Exhibit 25, and like the other ones today the first one has no Bates numbers and then the second page is ALLERGAN_MDL_ 03535253 through 257.  And when you're ready, can you tell me if you've ever seen this document before.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember writing this document around that time?  A. It's very likely.  Q. Then on the second Bates-stamped page, 254, the section that starts with:  "Background."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. The second full text paragraph, I'm just going to start reading it into the record.  It says, "Based on this compliance need, Cegedim did in fact develop and deliver a SOM
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 25.  (Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document entitled "Project Continuation  Justification: SOM Statistical Model  Development and Hosting 'in the Cloud'",  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_ 03535253 through 257, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at Exhibit 25, and like the other ones today the first one has no Bates numbers and then the second page is ALLERGAN_MDL_03535253 through 257.  And when you're ready, can you tell	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	implemented.  Q. At the bottom left-hand corner of the first Bates-stamped page, 253, it has the date February 19th, 2015.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember writing this document around that time?  A. It's very likely.  Q. Then on the second Bates-stamped page, 254, the section that starts with:  "Background."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. The second full text paragraph, I'm just going to start reading it into the record.  It says, "Based on this compliance need, Cegedim

	Page 321		Page 322
1	order management system within SAP. Due to	1	required to release a pended order that is under
2	successive acquisition activities since product	2	review, affecting customer service/fill rate
3	initiation the implementation has been placed on	3	levels."
4	hold at several junctures based on business	4	Do you see that there?
5	integration needs. During the past several	5	A. I do.
6	years, DEA has become more aggressive in its	6	Q. Did you write that?
7	approach related to SOM/Know Your Customer	7	A. Yes, I did.
8	taking against" "taking action against a	8	Q. At the time that you wrote it, did
9	growing number of companies for having	9	you believe what you wrote there?
10	non-compliant SOM programs. In an effort to	10	MR. LUXTON: Objection to form.
11	ensure compliance with the regulations, both the	11	A. Yup, I do believe that those facts
12	C/S compliance, order management teams, have	12	are accurate. We did have we did have a
13	collaborated making efforts to enhance	13	compliance system, but we wanted to enhance our
14	compliance from customer vetting, order	14	compliance to ensure that we were always
15	review/evaluation through	15	continually evolving it on the high ground.
16	investigation/disposition.	16	Q. So above there you write, under
17	"This manual effort is very labor	17	background, "The SOM" "The SOM automation
18	intensive, as the current system was not	18	project initially commenced in 2011 with the
19	configured with any analytical tools to support	19	primary goal of replacing our, quote, threshold,
20	timely and accurate decision making. This	20	unquote, based system with the CFR compliant
21	approach also introduces the element of human	21	model developed by Cegedim. This project was
22	interaction into the order evaluation process.	22	initiated in an effort to ensure compliance with
23	"Additionally, the current process	23	the Code of Federal Regulations, SOM
24	can have an impact on the amount of time	24	requirements, controlled substances, 21 CFR
	Page 323		Page 324
1	1301.74 b, as well as December 2007, DEA	1	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose
2	memory."	2	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.
	memory."  Do you see that?		put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the
2 3 4	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.	2 3 4	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your
2 3 4 5	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015,	2 3 4 5	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your
2 3 4 5 6	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?	2 3 4 5	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the
2 3 4 5 6 7	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a	2 3 4 5 6 7	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we wanted to move up to a more enhanced	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had their own program in place for Suspicious Order
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we wanted to move up to a more enhanced sophisticated system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had their own program in place for Suspicious Order Monitoring.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we wanted to move up to a more enhanced sophisticated system.  Q. So other parts of the this memo	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had their own program in place for Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. So this goes to the number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we wanted to move up to a more enhanced sophisticated system.  Q. So other parts of the this memo talk about a suspicious order monitor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had their own program in place for Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. So this goes to the number of corporate transactions that took place
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we wanted to move up to a more enhanced sophisticated system.  Q. So other parts of the this memo talk about a suspicious order monitor suspicious order monitor statistical model that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had their own program in place for Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. So this goes to the number of corporate transactions that took place A. Right, right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we wanted to move up to a more enhanced sophisticated system.  Q. So other parts of the this memo talk about a suspicious order monitor suspicious order monitor statistical model that will be hosted, quote, in the cloud and based on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had their own program in place for Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. So this goes to the number of corporate transactions that took place A. Right, right. Q. You're describing the company as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we wanted to move up to a more enhanced sophisticated system.  Q. So other parts of the this memo talk about a suspicious order monitor suspicious order monitor statistical model that will be hosted, quote, in the cloud and based on Actavis's order data.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had their own program in place for Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. So this goes to the number of corporate transactions that took place A. Right, right.  Q. You're describing the company as being bought by Teva. Part of what was Actavis,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we wanted to move up to a more enhanced sophisticated system.  Q. So other parts of the this memo talk about a suspicious order monitor suspicious order monitor statistical model that will be hosted, quote, in the cloud and based on Actavis's order data.  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had their own program in place for Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. So this goes to the number of corporate transactions that took place A. Right, right. Q. You're describing the company as being bought by Teva. Part of what was Actavis, was purchased and closed on by Allergan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we wanted to move up to a more enhanced sophisticated system.  Q. So other parts of the this memo talk about a suspicious order monitor suspicious order monitor statistical model that will be hosted, quote, in the cloud and based on Actavis's order data.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember whether this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had their own program in place for Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. So this goes to the number of corporate transactions that took place A. Right, right. Q. You're describing the company as being bought by Teva. Part of what was Actavis, was purchased and closed on by Allergan.  Do you have an understanding of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we wanted to move up to a more enhanced sophisticated system.  Q. So other parts of the this memo talk about a suspicious order monitor suspicious order monitor statistical model that will be hosted, quote, in the cloud and based on Actavis's order data.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember whether this cloud-based SOM statistical model was ever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had their own program in place for Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. So this goes to the number of corporate transactions that took place A. Right, right.  Q. You're describing the company as being bought by Teva. Part of what was Actavis, was purchased and closed on by Allergan.  Do you have an understanding of that as well or some type of transaction occurred
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we wanted to move up to a more enhanced sophisticated system.  Q. So other parts of the this memo talk about a suspicious order monitor suspicious order monitor statistical model that will be hosted, quote, in the cloud and based on Actavis's order data.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember whether this cloud-based SOM statistical model was ever adopted at Actavis?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had their own program in place for Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. So this goes to the number of corporate transactions that took place A. Right, right.  Q. You're describing the company as being bought by Teva. Part of what was Actavis, was purchased and closed on by Allergan.  Do you have an understanding of that as well or some type of transaction occurred between Allergan and Actavis; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we wanted to move up to a more enhanced sophisticated system.  Q. So other parts of the this memo talk about a suspicious order monitor suspicious order monitor statistical model that will be hosted, quote, in the cloud and based on Actavis's order data.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember whether this cloud-based SOM statistical model was ever adopted at Actavis?  A. This system was created. We used it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had their own program in place for Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. So this goes to the number of corporate transactions that took place A. Right, right.  Q. You're describing the company as being bought by Teva. Part of what was Actavis, was purchased and closed on by Allergan.  Do you have an understanding of that as well or some type of transaction occurred between Allergan and Actavis; is that right?  A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we wanted to move up to a more enhanced sophisticated system.  Q. So other parts of the this memo talk about a suspicious order monitor suspicious order monitor statistical model that will be hosted, quote, in the cloud and based on Actavis's order data.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember whether this cloud-based SOM statistical model was ever adopted at Actavis?  A. This system was created. We used it in a test environment. We're happy with it. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had their own program in place for Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. So this goes to the number of corporate transactions that took place A. Right, right.  Q. You're describing the company as being bought by Teva. Part of what was Actavis, was purchased and closed on by Allergan.  Do you have an understanding of that as well or some type of transaction occurred between Allergan and Actavis; is that right?  A. Right.  Q. Either Actavis bought Allergan or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	memory."  Do you see that?  A. I did.  Q. When you wrote that in February 2015, did you believe that to be true?  A. I did believe that Buzzeo had a system that they were proposing that was compliant with the CFR  We also had one as well, but we wanted to move up to a more enhanced sophisticated system.  Q. So other parts of the this memo talk about a suspicious order monitor suspicious order monitor statistical model that will be hosted, quote, in the cloud and based on Actavis's order data.  A. Yes.  Q. Do you remember whether this cloud-based SOM statistical model was ever adopted at Actavis?  A. This system was created. We used it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	put on hold and I don't believe that Teva chose to utilize it.  Q. All right. So with regard to the this is so with regard to your understanding well, with regard to your understanding, Actavis never implemented the cloud-based system that's discussed in this memo; is that right?  A. That's correct. When Teva acquired Actavis around this time frame they already had their own program in place for Suspicious Order Monitoring.  Q. So this goes to the number of corporate transactions that took place A. Right, right.  Q. You're describing the company as being bought by Teva. Part of what was Actavis, was purchased and closed on by Allergan.  Do you have an understanding of that as well or some type of transaction occurred between Allergan and Actavis; is that right?  A. Right.

	Page 325		Page 326
1	A. Actavis bought Allergan.	1	Q. All right. So looking at what I
2	Q. All right. And as far as you're	2	marked as Exhibit 26, can you page through it.
3	concerned well, during that process, when did	3	I'll read into the record. It's
4	you leave?	4	ALLERGAN MDL 03431731 through 1739.
5	A. I left around maybe October of 2016.	5	If you look through this generally,
6	Sometime in the early fall of 2016.	6	but I'm just going to ask you a few questions
7	Q. So I'm going to hand you what we will	7	about this.
8	mark as Exhibit 26.	8	(Document review.)
9	A. Okay.	9	Q. Now I'll note for the record that the
10	(Napoli Exhibit 26, Email chain	10	last email that you're on the page appears on
11	beginning with email dated 1/11/16 from	11	the third page of this document, as I read it.
12	Baran to Russo with attachment,	12	A. Um-hmm.
13	Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_ 03431731	13	(Document review.)
14	through 1739, marked for identification, as	14	A. Okay.
15	of this date.)	15	Q. All right. Based on the emails that
16	BY MR. EGLER:	16	are here, do you remember around August 2015
17	Q. Before we get to Exhibit 26, why did	17	Actavis deciding to sell to Bell Medical again?
18	you leave?	18	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.
19	A. I was laid off.	19	A. I do recall this series of emails and
20	Q. All right.	20	this situation.
21	A. Because Teva already had a DEA	21	Q. All right. Did you have any input in
22	compliance staff and program. I helped them	22	the decision whether to start selling controlled
23	orient them with with our side of the	23	substances to Bell Medical around this time?
24	business and was part of a reduction in force.	24	A. My input would have been from a DEA
21	business and was part of a reduction in force.		, ,
	Page 327		Page 328
1	compliance standpoint the you can see in this	1	A T 1 1/1 11 11 11 11
		1 +	A. I don't have a distinction
2	email string that there was both of our	2	A. I don't have a distinction recollection of it.
2			
	email string that there was both of our	2	recollection of it.
3	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence	2 3	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple
3 4	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with	2 3 4	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.
3 4 5	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro,	2 3 4 5	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.
3 4 5 6	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.	2 3 4 5 6	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as
3 4 5 6 7	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to	2 3 4 5 6 7	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.
3 4 5 6 7 8	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model where we did not distribute direct to dispensing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated  11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to distribution
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model where we did not distribute direct to dispensing physicians. And in this case it was a case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated  11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to distribution  list, Bates-stamped PPLPC020000735777
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model where we did not distribute direct to dispensing physicians. And in this case it was a case where we wanted to make sure that we did the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated  11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to distribution  list, Bates-stamped PPLPC020000735777  through 782, marked for identification, as
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model where we did not distribute direct to dispensing physicians. And in this case it was a case where we wanted to make sure that we did the right amount of due diligence and understand and monitor what the product it would only be one product, what the quantities would be, and they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated  11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to distribution  list, Bates-stamped PPLPC020000735777  through 782, marked for identification, as of this date.)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model where we did not distribute direct to dispensing physicians. And in this case it was a case where we wanted to make sure that we did the right amount of due diligence and understand and monitor what the product it would only be one product, what the quantities would be, and they were for these clinics Buprenorphine they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated  11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to distribution list, Bates-stamped PPLPC020000735777 through 782, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. LUXTON: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model where we did not distribute direct to dispensing physicians. And in this case it was a case where we wanted to make sure that we did the right amount of due diligence and understand and monitor what the product it would only be one product, what the quantities would be, and they were for these clinics Buprenorphine they were for clinics to assist, I believe, addicts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated  11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to distribution list, Bates-stamped PPLPC020000735777 through 782, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. LUXTON: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at  Exhibit 27. I'll note for the record, again,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model where we did not distribute direct to dispensing physicians. And in this case it was a case where we wanted to make sure that we did the right amount of due diligence and understand and monitor what the product it would only be one product, what the quantities would be, and they were for these clinics Buprenorphine they were for clinics to assist, I believe, addicts with Buprenorphine was used for more for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated  11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to distribution list, Bates-stamped PPLPC020000735777 through 782, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. LUXTON: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at  Exhibit 27. I'll note for the record, again, it's not an Actavis or Allergan document. It's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model where we did not distribute direct to dispensing physicians. And in this case it was a case where we wanted to make sure that we did the right amount of due diligence and understand and monitor what the product it would only be one product, what the quantities would be, and they were for these clinics Buprenorphine they were for clinics to assist, I believe, addicts with Buprenorphine was used for more for heroin, I think.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated  11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to distribution  list, Bates-stamped PPLPC020000735777  through 782, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. LUXTON: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at  Exhibit 27. I'll note for the record, again, it's not an Actavis or Allergan document. It's noted as PPLPC0200000735777 through 782. The
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model where we did not distribute direct to dispensing physicians. And in this case it was a case where we wanted to make sure that we did the right amount of due diligence and understand and monitor what the product it would only be one product, what the quantities would be, and they were for these clinics Buprenorphine they were for clinics to assist, I believe, addicts with Buprenorphine was used for more for heroin, I think.  Q. Did you ever do a site visit to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated  11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to distribution  list, Bates-stamped PPLPC020000735777  through 782, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. LUXTON: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at  Exhibit 27. I'll note for the record, again, it's not an Actavis or Allergan document. It's noted as PPLPC020000735777 through 782. The last page is marked as nonresponsive.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model where we did not distribute direct to dispensing physicians. And in this case it was a case where we wanted to make sure that we did the right amount of due diligence and understand and monitor what the product it would only be one product, what the quantities would be, and they were for these clinics Buprenorphine they were for clinics to assist, I believe, addicts with Buprenorphine was used for more for heroin, I think.  Q. Did you ever do a site visit to the Bell Medical facility?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated  11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to distribution  list, Bates-stamped PPLPC020000735777  through 782, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. LUXTON: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at  Exhibit 27. I'll note for the record, again, it's not an Actavis or Allergan document. It's noted as PPLPC020000735777 through 782. The last page is marked as nonresponsive.  Can you look at this document and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model where we did not distribute direct to dispensing physicians. And in this case it was a case where we wanted to make sure that we did the right amount of due diligence and understand and monitor what the product it would only be one product, what the quantities would be, and they were for these clinics Buprenorphine they were for clinics to assist, I believe, addicts with Buprenorphine was used for more for heroin, I think.  Q. Did you ever do a site visit to the Bell Medical facility?  A. I didn't, but I believe Will Simmons	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated  11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to distribution  list, Bates-stamped PPLPC020000735777  through 782, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. LUXTON: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at  Exhibit 27. I'll note for the record, again, it's not an Actavis or Allergan document. It's noted as PPLPC020000735777 through 782. The last page is marked as nonresponsive.  Can you look at this document and tell me if you ever remember receiving an email
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model where we did not distribute direct to dispensing physicians. And in this case it was a case where we wanted to make sure that we did the right amount of due diligence and understand and monitor what the product it would only be one product, what the quantities would be, and they were for these clinics Buprenorphine they were for clinics to assist, I believe, addicts with Buprenorphine was used for more for heroin, I think.  Q. Did you ever do a site visit to the Bell Medical facility?  A. I didn't, but I believe Will Simmons may have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated  11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to distribution  list, Bates-stamped PPLPC020000735777  through 782, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. LUXTON: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at  Exhibit 27. I'll note for the record, again, it's not an Actavis or Allergan document. It's noted as PPLPC020000735777 through 782. The last page is marked as nonresponsive.  Can you look at this document and tell me if you ever remember receiving an email like this in November 2013.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model where we did not distribute direct to dispensing physicians. And in this case it was a case where we wanted to make sure that we did the right amount of due diligence and understand and monitor what the product it would only be one product, what the quantities would be, and they were for these clinics Buprenorphine they were for clinics to assist, I believe, addicts with Buprenorphine was used for more for heroin, I think.  Q. Did you ever do a site visit to the Bell Medical facility?  A. I didn't, but I believe Will Simmons may have.  Q. Did you ever talk with him about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated  11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to distribution  list, Bates-stamped PPLPC020000735777  through 782, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. LUXTON: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at  Exhibit 27. I'll note for the record, again, it's not an Actavis or Allergan document. It's noted as PPLPC020000735777 through 782. The last page is marked as nonresponsive.  Can you look at this document and tell me if you ever remember receiving an email like this in November 2013.  (Document review.)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	email string that there was both of our auditor investigators did a lot of due diligence on this and also met personally, I believe, with the individual from Bell Medical, in Marlboro, New Jersey.  And the reason why this stands out to me is that, you know, Actavis, we had a model where we did not distribute direct to dispensing physicians. And in this case it was a case where we wanted to make sure that we did the right amount of due diligence and understand and monitor what the product it would only be one product, what the quantities would be, and they were for these clinics Buprenorphine they were for clinics to assist, I believe, addicts with Buprenorphine was used for more for heroin, I think.  Q. Did you ever do a site visit to the Bell Medical facility?  A. I didn't, but I believe Will Simmons may have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recollection of it.  Q. All right. So now we have a couple of exhibits that are out of order time-wise.  A. Okay.  Q. The first one we'll mark as  Exhibit 27.  (Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated  11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to distribution  list, Bates-stamped PPLPC020000735777  through 782, marked for identification, as of this date.)  MR. LUXTON: Thanks.  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at  Exhibit 27. I'll note for the record, again, it's not an Actavis or Allergan document. It's noted as PPLPC020000735777 through 782. The last page is marked as nonresponsive.  Can you look at this document and tell me if you ever remember receiving an email like this in November 2013.

	Page 329		Page 330
1	this. This looks like an agenda for a New	1	And then under SOM programs it says,
2	Jersey Pharmaceutical Industry Group meeting.	2	"Is anyone auditing customers? If so, how?
3	Q. All right. So if you turn to the	3	(Site visits, questionnaires, et cetera)."
4	third page of this document, which is 5779	4	And then the second one is:
5	A. Yes.	5	"Computerized statistical models."
6	Q there is an email from Michael	6	Do you see that there?
7	Meggiolaro. It's M-e-g-g-i-o-l-a-r-o.	7	A. Yes.
8	A. Good job.	8	Q. Do you remember attending a New
9	Q. It's dated Thursday, November 7,	9	Jersey PIG meeting where SOM programs were
10	2013, at 5:09 p m.	10	discussed around November 2013?
11	Do you see that there?	11	A. I don't.
12	A. I do.	12	Q. All right. You can set that one
13	Q. And he writes, apparently to a person	13	aside.
14	named Lisa Butler. "Lisa, you are so good. I	14	(Witness complies.)
15	promised the update for all the topics yesterday	15	Q. I'm going to do this one as two
16	but didn't get to them. Here is what I had	16	separate exhibits. I'll hand you what we'll
17	received. You already added Susan Carr's, so I	17	mark as Exhibit 28 and 29.
18	don't have to add hers to the list."	18	(Napoli Exhibit 28, Email chain
19	And just to note, your name appears	19	beginning with email dated 1/14/16 from
20	on that email; is that right?	20	Lepore to Simmons, Bates-stamped
21	A. Yes.	21	
22	Q. And it says, "Please update the		ALLERGAN_MDL_01551062 through 1064, marked
23	agenda accordingly at 2014 quota letters" and	22	for identification, as of this date.)
24	then number two is, "SOM programs."	23	(Napoli Exhibit 29, Natively-produced
21	then number two is, Sowi programs.	24	Spreadsheet, Bates-stamped
	Page 331		Page 332
1	Page 331 ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for	1	Page 332 suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson
1 2		1 2	
	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for		suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson
2	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.)	2	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?
2	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER:	2	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have
2 3 4	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've	2 3 4	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent
2 3 4 5	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29.	2 3 4 5	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.
2 3 4 5 6	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29.  I'll tell you, for the record,	2 3 4 5 6	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria
2 3 4 5 6 7	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29. I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they	2 3 4 5 6 7	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29. I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will and Tom, just want to keep you in the loop that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29.  I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the defendants in the case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will and Tom, just want to keep you in the loop that I found out the McKesson's DEA registration
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29. I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the defendants in the case. A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will and Tom, just want to keep you in the loop that I found out the McKesson's DEA registration (Aurora, Colorado; Livonia, Michigan; Washington
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29. I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the defendants in the case. A. Okay. Q. For the record, Exhibit 28 is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will and Tom, just want to keep you in the loop that I found out the McKesson's DEA registration (Aurora, Colorado; Livonia, Michigan; Washington Court House, Ohio; and Lakeland, Florida) is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29. I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the defendants in the case. A. Okay. Q. For the record, Exhibit 28 is Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_01551062 through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will and Tom, just want to keep you in the loop that I found out the McKesson's DEA registration (Aurora, Colorado; Livonia, Michigan; Washington Court House, Ohio; and Lakeland, Florida) is suspended for specified products and time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29. I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the defendants in the case. A. Okay. Q. For the record, Exhibit 28 is Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_01551062 through 1064.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will and Tom, just want to keep you in the loop that I found out the McKesson's DEA registration (Aurora, Colorado; Livonia, Michigan; Washington Court House, Ohio; and Lakeland, Florida) is suspended for specified products and time periods." And then she attaches a link and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29. I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the defendants in the case. A. Okay. Q. For the record, Exhibit 28 is Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_01551062 through 1064. And then 29 is a larger version of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will and Tom, just want to keep you in the loop that I found out the McKesson's DEA registration (Aurora, Colorado; Livonia, Michigan; Washington Court House, Ohio; and Lakeland, Florida) is suspended for specified products and time periods." And then she attaches a link and other text.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29. I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the defendants in the case. A. Okay. Q. For the record, Exhibit 28 is Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_01551062 through 1064. And then 29 is a larger version of page 1064, which is produced as an Excel file.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will and Tom, just want to keep you in the loop that I found out the McKesson's DEA registration (Aurora, Colorado; Livonia, Michigan; Washington Court House, Ohio; and Lakeland, Florida) is suspended for specified products and time periods." And then she attaches a link and other text.  On the top email is what she refers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29.  I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the defendants in the case.  A. Okay.  Q. For the record, Exhibit 28 is  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_01551062 through 1064.  And then 29 is a larger version of page 1064, which is produced as an Excel file.  Can you look through it, and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will and Tom, just want to keep you in the loop that I found out the McKesson's DEA registration (Aurora, Colorado; Livonia, Michigan; Washington Court House, Ohio; and Lakeland, Florida) is suspended for specified products and time periods." And then she attaches a link and other text.  On the top email is what she refers to as the HANA report for McKesson locations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.) BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29. I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the defendants in the case. A. Okay. Q. For the record, Exhibit 28 is Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_01551062 through 1064. And then 29 is a larger version of page 1064, which is produced as an Excel file. Can you look through it, and the question I have is: Do you remember receiving	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will and Tom, just want to keep you in the loop that I found out the McKesson's DEA registration (Aurora, Colorado; Livonia, Michigan; Washington Court House, Ohio; and Lakeland, Florida) is suspended for specified products and time periods." And then she attaches a link and other text.  On the top email is what she refers to as the HANA report for McKesson locations that we shipped to from January 2015 to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29.  I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the defendants in the case.  A. Okay.  Q. For the record, Exhibit 28 is  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_01551062 through 1064.  And then 29 is a larger version of page 1064, which is produced as an Excel file.  Can you look through it, and the question I have is: Do you remember receiving this email?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will and Tom, just want to keep you in the loop that I found out the McKesson's DEA registration (Aurora, Colorado; Livonia, Michigan; Washington Court House, Ohio; and Lakeland, Florida) is suspended for specified products and time periods." And then she attaches a link and other text.  On the top email is what she refers to as the HANA report for McKesson locations that we shipped to from January 2015 to January 2016.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29.  I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the defendants in the case.  A. Okay.  Q. For the record, Exhibit 28 is  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_01551062 through 1064.  And then 29 is a larger version of page 1064, which is produced as an Excel file.  Can you look through it, and the question I have is: Do you remember receiving this email?  A. I do not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will and Tom, just want to keep you in the loop that I found out the McKesson's DEA registration (Aurora, Colorado; Livonia, Michigan; Washington Court House, Ohio; and Lakeland, Florida) is suspended for specified products and time periods." And then she attaches a link and other text.  On the top email is what she refers to as the HANA report for McKesson locations that we shipped to from January 2015 to January 2016.  Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29.  I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the defendants in the case.  A. Okay.  Q. For the record, Exhibit 28 is  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_01551062 through 1064.  And then 29 is a larger version of page 1064, which is produced as an Excel file.  Can you look through it, and the question I have is: Do you remember receiving this email?  A. I do not.  Q. So this email that starts on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will and Tom, just want to keep you in the loop that I found out the McKesson's DEA registration (Aurora, Colorado; Livonia, Michigan; Washington Court House, Ohio; and Lakeland, Florida) is suspended for specified products and time periods." And then she attaches a link and other text.  On the top email is what she refers to as the HANA report for McKesson locations that we shipped to from January 2015 to January 2016.  Do you see that?  A. Yup. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER: Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29. I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the defendants in the case. A. Okay. Q. For the record, Exhibit 28 is  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_01551062 through 1064. And then 29 is a larger version of page 1064, which is produced as an Excel file. Can you look through it, and the question I have is: Do you remember receiving this email? A. I do not. Q. So this email that starts on the first page of Exhibit 28 states: "Re McKesson	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	suspension of various DEA licenses at McKesson locations?  A. I do recall that McKesson did have some compliance issues and some subsequent registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email below, Victoria Lepore writes to you and other people, "Hi, Will and Tom, just want to keep you in the loop that I found out the McKesson's DEA registration (Aurora, Colorado; Livonia, Michigan; Washington Court House, Ohio; and Lakeland, Florida) is suspended for specified products and time periods." And then she attaches a link and other text.  On the top email is what she refers to as the HANA report for McKesson locations that we shipped to from January 2015 to January 2016.  Do you see that?  A. Yup. Yes.  Q. Do you remember from your time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ALLERGAN_MDL_01551064.xlsx, marked for identification, as of this date.)  BY MR. EGLER:  Q. Mr. Napoli, can you look at what I've marked as Exhibits 28 and 29.  I'll tell you, for the record, although they are marked as two exhibits, they are one email and one family as produced by the defendants in the case.  A. Okay.  Q. For the record, Exhibit 28 is  Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_01551062 through 1064.  And then 29 is a larger version of page 1064, which is produced as an Excel file.  Can you look through it, and the question I have is: Do you remember receiving this email?  A. I do not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	locations?  A. I do recall that McKesses some compliance issues and sor registration suspensions.  Q. And then in an email be Lepore writes to you and other pand Tom, just want to keep you I found out the McKesson's DE (Aurora, Colorado; Livonia, Mi Court House, Ohio; and Lakelar suspended for specified product periods." And then she attaches other text.  On the top email is what to as the HANA report for McK that we shipped to from January January 2016.  Do you see that?

Page 333 Page 334 1 feature of SAP. 1 these things. 2 2 Q. All right. And so do you remember So we knew, because, you know, these 3 3 Ms. Lepore doing reports like this when you or investigations, as you know, can go on for 4 someone else asked for them at Watson and 4 years, and -- but the ultimate result was they 5 Actavis? 5 had a feeling that these facilities would have 6 A. I don't specifically have a lot of 6 licenses that would be suspended. So this is 7 7 experience with it, but then HANA was something something that we would have had knowledge of 8 8 that you can see by the time frame on there too, and planned around. 9 it was a recent addition to the SAP. So it 9 Q. Do you remember around this time, 10 wasn't a report we would have had access to for 10 January 2016, whether your group at Actavis 11 a long period of time. I would think that this 11 performed any analysis to determine whether any 12 report is generated so we could rationalize what 12 sales to these suspended McKesson locations were 13 quantities would be looking like for orders that 13 diverted? 14 would be, maybe, going to alternate distribution 14 A. It would be a challenge for us to do 15 centers for McKesson. 15 that from our level, to look at pharmacies to 16 This was not something that was a 16 determine what was diverted. That would be 17 surprise. McKesson had had some ongoing issues. 17 something that would be inherent to a DEA 18 They had since hired Gary Boggs as their chief 18 investigation. We don't even know what the time 19 of compliance, who was Joe Rannazzisi's deputy, 19 period is that they were looking at. So it 20 to come into the organization. 20 really would not be something that would be 21 I flew out to San Francisco and I met 21 realistic for us to perform. 2.2 with McKesson. There were many attorneys and 22 Q. Did you or anyone else that you know 23 compliance people to discuss their compliance 23 of at Actavis ask for that type of data from 24 program and to get an understanding of some of 24 McKesson around this time frame? Page 335 Page 336 A. What we did is we asked for a 1 1 the record. 2 partnership meeting. That's why I traveled out 2 (Recess is taken.) 3 to San Francisco, because I wanted to -- I was 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 4 concerned and I wanted to get an overview and an 4 record. The time is approximately 5 understanding of their program and improvements 5 5:34 p m. BY MR. EGLER: 6 that they had made, and especially with bringing 6 7 7 Q. Mr. Napoli, you understand you are on their new head of compliance, to understand 8 that we had a level of confidence in their 8 still under oath. 9 program going forward. 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. And as part of that partnership 10 Q. So do you identify yourself as ever 11 meeting or other parts of the relationship, do 11 having worked for an entity called Allergan? 12 you remember asking or do you remember anyone 12 A. Yes. 13 from Actavis asking for data about whether 13 Q. For about how long did you work at 14 materials sold to these McKesson locations was 14 Allergan? 15 A. I'm trying to think. Actavis 15 subsequently diverted? A. I don't recall and I don't know how 16 acquired Allergan. I'm trying to think of when 16 17 that would be accessible to us. 17 at the time Actavis acquired Allergan and then 18 Q. All right. But you don't recall 18 Allergan... 19 asking for it one way or the other? 19 Q. Well, let me put it this way, was it 20 A. No, I don't. 2.0 less than a year? 21 Q. All right. 21 A. Probably more than a year. 22 Q. So at the time that you worked for 22 MR. EGLER: Let's take a quick break. 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 23 Allergan, did -- as you think of it, in your 24 approximately 5:25 p m. We are going off 24 estimation, did Allergan still own the generics

	Page 337		Page 338
1	that they subsequently sold to Teva?	1	A. I would have been part of the Teva
2	MR. KNAPP: Objection to form.	2	acquisition, so I would no longer have been with
3	Foundation.	3	Allergan.
4	MR. LUXTON: Same.	4	Q. So with regard to any Suspicious
5	A. I don't know how they you know,	5	Order Monitoring System that Allergan had in
6	Allergan and Actavis were the are the same	6	place after the sale to Teva, you wouldn't have
7	company, but I don't know how the structure, the	7	had anything to do with that; is that correct?
8	parent structure.	8	A. Correct.
9	Q. I understand this and I'm trying to	9	MR. EGLER: I have no further
10	ask in a very general way. So maybe you'll let	10	questions.
11	me ask it a different way.	11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Anyone else has
12	So you have an understanding that	12	questions.
13	after either Allergan or Actavis sold the	13	MR. KNAPP: I have a few questions.
14	generics to Teva, that there were still some	14	Do I need to get a microphone?
15	controlled substances manufactured or owned by	15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, you can have
16	the Allergan entity?	16	that microphone.
17	A. Yes.	17	EXAMINATION BY
18	Q. And do you remember whether when	18	MR. KNAPP:
19	that occurred, you were still working there?	19	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Napoli, my name
20	A. When what occurred?	20	is Tim Knapp. I'm with the firm of Kirkland &
21	Q. When the after the generics all	21	Ellis and I represent Allergan in this matter.
22	left, but there was still some controlled	22	In response to some questions that
23	substances owned by Allergan.	23	Mr. Egler asked you, you testified about
24	MR. KNAPP: Form.	24	reporting an order from TopRX to the DEA.
	Page 339		Page 340
1	Do you recall that?	1	from us and we determined that they were high
2	A. Yes, I do.	2	risk due to distributions that they were making
3	Q. I know it's been, you know, five	3	in the State of Florida and other states. Some
4			0.1
_	years or so since you've left Actavis, Watson,	4	of their customers were questionable, so we
5	but do you recall sitting here today, recall	5	denied them and also reported them to the DEA
6	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the	5 6	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of
6 7	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on	5 6 7	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.
6 7 8	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or	5 6 7 8	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the
6 7 8 9	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?	5 6 7 8 9	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?
6 7 8 9 10	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do.	5 6 7 8 9	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the
6 7 8 9 10	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do.  Q. And what are those entities that you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the DEA to the company was based out of Long
6 7 8 9 10 11	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do.  Q. And what are those entities that you recall?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the DEA to the company was based out of Long Island, so I reported to Richard Springer, who
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do.  Q. And what are those entities that you recall?  A. I know Capital Wholesale was one of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the DEA to the company was based out of Long Island, so I reported to Richard Springer, who is the diversion chief in the Long Island
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do. Q. And what are those entities that you recall?  A. I know Capital Wholesale was one of them. And I'm there were several others, but	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the DEA to the company was based out of Long Island, so I reported to Richard Springer, who is the diversion chief in the Long Island office.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do. Q. And what are those entities that you recall?  A. I know Capital Wholesale was one of them. And I'm there were several others, but I'm hard-pressed to recall them, but there were	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the DEA to the company was based out of Long Island, so I reported to Richard Springer, who is the diversion chief in the Long Island office.  Q. And with respect to TopRX, did you
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do. Q. And what are those entities that you recall?  A. I know Capital Wholesale was one of them. And I'm there were several others, but I'm hard-pressed to recall them, but there were additional organizations other than TopRX and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the DEA to the company was based out of Long Island, so I reported to Richard Springer, who is the diversion chief in the Long Island office.  Q. And with respect to TopRX, did you personally report them to the DEA?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do. Q. And what are those entities that you recall?  A. I know Capital Wholesale was one of them. And I'm there were several others, but I'm hard-pressed to recall them, but there were additional organizations other than TopRX and Capital that we did report.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the DEA to the company was based out of Long Island, so I reported to Richard Springer, who is the diversion chief in the Long Island office.  Q. And with respect to TopRX, did you personally report them to the DEA?  A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do. Q. And what are those entities that you recall?  A. I know Capital Wholesale was one of them. And I'm there were several others, but I'm hard-pressed to recall them, but there were additional organizations other than TopRX and Capital that we did report.  We also did some preemptive reporting	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the DEA to the company was based out of Long Island, so I reported to Richard Springer, who is the diversion chief in the Long Island office.  Q. And with respect to TopRX, did you personally report them to the DEA?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you recall who you reported
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do. Q. And what are those entities that you recall?  A. I know Capital Wholesale was one of them. And I'm there were several others, but I'm hard-pressed to recall them, but there were additional organizations other than TopRX and Capital that we did report.  We also did some preemptive reporting to the DEA as well, too. After the acquisition	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the DEA to the company was based out of Long Island, so I reported to Richard Springer, who is the diversion chief in the Long Island office.  Q. And with respect to TopRX, did you personally report them to the DEA?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you recall who you reported TopRX to?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do. Q. And what are those entities that you recall?  A. I know Capital Wholesale was one of them. And I'm there were several others, but I'm hard-pressed to recall them, but there were additional organizations other than TopRX and Capital that we did report.  We also did some preemptive reporting to the DEA as well, too. After the acquisition of Actavis, we had a customer that wanted to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the DEA to the company was based out of Long Island, so I reported to Richard Springer, who is the diversion chief in the Long Island office.  Q. And with respect to TopRX, did you personally report them to the DEA?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you recall who you reported TopRX to?  A. Yes. That would have been Tim Lenzi
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do. Q. And what are those entities that you recall?  A. I know Capital Wholesale was one of them. And I'm there were several others, but I'm hard-pressed to recall them, but there were additional organizations other than TopRX and Capital that we did report.  We also did some preemptive reporting to the DEA as well, too. After the acquisition of Actavis, we had a customer that wanted to come on board with us. Quality King. It was a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the DEA to the company was based out of Long Island, so I reported to Richard Springer, who is the diversion chief in the Long Island office.  Q. And with respect to TopRX, did you personally report them to the DEA?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you recall who you reported TopRX to?  A. Yes. That would have been Tim Lenzi in the Chicago DEA field office.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do. Q. And what are those entities that you recall?  A. I know Capital Wholesale was one of them. And I'm there were several others, but I'm hard-pressed to recall them, but there were additional organizations other than TopRX and Capital that we did report.  We also did some preemptive reporting to the DEA as well, too. After the acquisition of Actavis, we had a customer that wanted to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the DEA to the company was based out of Long Island, so I reported to Richard Springer, who is the diversion chief in the Long Island office.  Q. And with respect to TopRX, did you personally report them to the DEA?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you recall who you reported TopRX to?  A. Yes. That would have been Tim Lenzi in the Chicago DEA field office.  Q. And then what about Capital
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do.  Q. And what are those entities that you recall?  A. I know Capital Wholesale was one of them. And I'm there were several others, but I'm hard-pressed to recall them, but there were additional organizations other than TopRX and Capital that we did report.  We also did some preemptive reporting to the DEA as well, too. After the acquisition of Actavis, we had a customer that wanted to come on board with us. Quality King. It was a company out of New York, and we did a review of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the DEA to the company was based out of Long Island, so I reported to Richard Springer, who is the diversion chief in the Long Island office.  Q. And with respect to TopRX, did you personally report them to the DEA?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you recall who you reported TopRX to?  A. Yes. That would have been Tim Lenzi in the Chicago DEA field office.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but do you recall sitting here today, recall reporting any other orders or customers to the DEA during the time that you worked on Suspicious Order Monitoring at Actavis or Watson?  A. I do. Q. And what are those entities that you recall?  A. I know Capital Wholesale was one of them. And I'm there were several others, but I'm hard-pressed to recall them, but there were additional organizations other than TopRX and Capital that we did report.  We also did some preemptive reporting to the DEA as well, too. After the acquisition of Actavis, we had a customer that wanted to come on board with us. Quality King. It was a company out of New York, and we did a review of them. We asked for their customer information,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	denied them and also reported them to the DEA because we felt it was they were that much of a risk.  Q. Now when you say "we reported to the DEA," who specifically are you referring to?  A. I specifically reported that to the DEA to the company was based out of Long Island, so I reported to Richard Springer, who is the diversion chief in the Long Island office.  Q. And with respect to TopRX, did you personally report them to the DEA?  A. Yes.  Q. And do you recall who you reported TopRX to?  A. Yes. That would have been Tim Lenzi in the Chicago DEA field office.  Q. And then what about Capital Wholesale, did you personally report them to the

	Page 341		Page 342
1	A. Yes. It would have been the same.	1	promethazine with codeine, which was a cough
2	Q. And when you say it's the same, who	2	preparation that contained codeine, that was
3	did you report to?	3	actually became popularized within the Houston
4	A. To Tim Lenzi, DEA field office,	4	area Rap culture. It was a high abuse product;
5	Chicago.	5	it was referred to as the purple drink, and
6	Q. Now Mr. Napoli, you also spoke quite	6	Actavis was referenced in the social media in
7	a bit with Mr. Egler about issues associated	7	different capacities in a very negative light
8	with diversion of controlled substances.	8	because of this product, and our company decided
9	We talked a lot about Suspicious	9	that that product was not worth the risk
10	Order Monitoring System today.	10	associated with it. So we discontinued the
11	I just want to ask you, generally,	11	entire product.
12	were there any other efforts that you undertook	12	So I thought that was a proactive
13	or that the company undertook while you were at	13	step and actually the DEA actually recognized
14	Watson, Actavis, Allergan to increase awareness	14	that as well as a positive effort to combat
15	associated with potential diversion of	15	diversion.
16	controlled substances?	16	I also initiated a program called, It
17	A. Yes. First, I'd like to say that I'm	17	Starts With Me, which was a program that engaged
18	actually I'm proud of my tenure in the	18	our employee population throughout our entire
19	position that I served in. I felt that I made a	19	U.S. region regarding the abuse of the opioids
20	difference and it was always our goal to ensure	20	that have been going on with the United States,
21	that we were complying and did the right thing.	21	engaging our employees to raise the level of
22	One of the things that I was proud of	22	awareness but also to get socially engaged.
23	and that the company did was after the Actavis	23	We partnered with a and sponsored
24	acquisition, there was a product called	24	a group called Young People in Recovery, and
	Page 343		Page 344
			rage 344
1	that group, we supported their chapters	1	rage 344
1 2		1 2	rage 344
	that group, we supported their chapters		rage 344
2	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any	2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees	2 3	
2 3 4	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes
2 3 4 5	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
2 3 4 5 6	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing,	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions as well, too.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes the deposition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions as well, too.  So, again, just very proud of a lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions as well, too.  So, again, just very proud of a lot of the work that we did, not only to ensure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes the deposition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions as well, too.  So, again, just very proud of a lot of the work that we did, not only to ensure compliance within our work communities but also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes the deposition.  THOMAS P. NAPOLI
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions as well, too.  So, again, just very proud of a lot of the work that we did, not only to ensure compliance within our work communities but also in the communities in which we live.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes the deposition.  THOMAS P. NAPOLI  Subscribed and sworn to before me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions as well, too.  So, again, just very proud of a lot of the work that we did, not only to ensure compliance within our work communities but also in the communities in which we live.  MR. KNAPP: I have nothing further.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes the deposition.  THOMAS P. NAPOLI
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions as well, too.  So, again, just very proud of a lot of the work that we did, not only to ensure compliance within our work communities but also in the communities in which we live.  MR. KNAPP: I have nothing further. Thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes the deposition.  THOMAS P. NAPOLI  Subscribed and sworn to before me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions as well, too.  So, again, just very proud of a lot of the work that we did, not only to ensure compliance within our work communities but also in the communities in which we live.  MR. KNAPP: I have nothing further. Thank you very much. MR. EGLER: I have nothing else.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes the deposition.  THOMAS P. NAPOLI  Subscribed and sworn to before me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions as well, too.  So, again, just very proud of a lot of the work that we did, not only to ensure compliance within our work communities but also in the communities in which we live.  MR. KNAPP: I have nothing further. Thank you very much.  MR. EGLER: I have nothing else. (Continued on following page to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes the deposition.  THOMAS P. NAPOLI  Subscribed and sworn to before me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions as well, too.  So, again, just very proud of a lot of the work that we did, not only to ensure compliance within our work communities but also in the communities in which we live.  MR. KNAPP: I have nothing further. Thank you very much. MR. EGLER: I have nothing else.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes the deposition.  THOMAS P. NAPOLI  Subscribed and sworn to before me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions as well, too.  So, again, just very proud of a lot of the work that we did, not only to ensure compliance within our work communities but also in the communities in which we live.  MR. KNAPP: I have nothing further. Thank you very much.  MR. EGLER: I have nothing else. (Continued on following page to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes the deposition.  THOMAS P. NAPOLI  Subscribed and sworn to before me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions as well, too.  So, again, just very proud of a lot of the work that we did, not only to ensure compliance within our work communities but also in the communities in which we live.  MR. KNAPP: I have nothing further. Thank you very much.  MR. EGLER: I have nothing else. (Continued on following page to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes the deposition.  THOMAS P. NAPOLI  Subscribed and sworn to before me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions as well, too.  So, again, just very proud of a lot of the work that we did, not only to ensure compliance within our work communities but also in the communities in which we live.  MR. KNAPP: I have nothing further. Thank you very much.  MR. EGLER: I have nothing else. (Continued on following page to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes the deposition.  THOMAS P. NAPOLI  Subscribed and sworn to before me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that group, we supported their chapters throughout the U.S. financially and with any type of support. We had a lot of our employees who did fundraising for these organizations, and it was individuals who again, who in their teens and 20s who had issues and struggles with opioids and that were turning things around, and we were very proud to support that program, as well as supporting law enforcement in providing, take-back receptacles to multiple jurisdictions as well, too.  So, again, just very proud of a lot of the work that we did, not only to ensure compliance within our work communities but also in the communities in which we live.  MR. KNAPP: I have nothing further. Thank you very much.  MR. EGLER: I have nothing else. (Continued on following page to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 5:42 p m. and this concludes the deposition.  THOMAS P. NAPOLI  Subscribed and sworn to before me

	Page 345	Page 346
1	CERTIFICATE	1 INDEX
2		2
3	STATE OF NEW YORK )	WITNESS PAGE  3
4	: SS.	THOMAS P. NAPOLI 4 MR. EGLER 9
5 6	COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER )	5 MR. KNAPP 339
7	I, ANNETTE ARLEQUIN, a Notary Public	6 INDEX OF EXHIBITS
8	within and for the State of New York, do	7 ALLERGAN-NAPOLI EXHIBITS PAGE
9	hereby certify:	8 Napoli Exhibit 1, Memo dated 24
10	That THOMAS P. NAPOLI, whose deposition	11/13/08, Bates-stamped
11	is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn	9 ALLERGAN_MDL_03535130 through 5133
12	by me, and that the transcript of such	10 Napoli Exhibit 2, NJPIG Charter 48
13	depositions is a true record of the	Statement, Bates-stamped
14	testimony given by such witness.	11 HDS_MDL_00095906 through 5907
15	I further certify that I am not related	12 Napoli Exhibit 3, Cegedim-Dendrite 55
16	to any of the parties to this action by	13 document dated 10/21/08,
17	blood or marriage; and that I am in no way	14 Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535009
18	interested in the outcome of this matter.	15 through 010 16
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set	17 Napoli Exhibit 4, Email chain 57
20	my hand this 17th day of January 2019.	18 beginning with email dated 6/8/09
21	my hand this 17th day of January 2017.	19 from Woods to Napoli, Bates-stamped 20 ALLERGAN MDL 02467143 through 154
22		20 ALLERGAN_MDL_ 02467143 through 154 21
23	ANNETTE ARLEQUIN, CCR, RPR, CRR, CLR	22 Napoli Exhibit 5, Document entitled 91
24	This is the second and second and second sec	23 "Customer Communication for SOMS," 24 ALLERGAN_MDL_03738524 through 8528
	Page 347	Page 348
1	INDEX OF EXHIBITS(Cont'd.) ALLERGAN-NAPOLI EXHIBITS PAGE	1 INDEX OF EXHIBITS(Cont'd.) ALLERGAN-NAPOLI EXHIBITS PAGE
2	Napoli Exhibit 6, Email dated 2/2/10 103	Napoli Exhibit 13, Document entitled 218
3	from L. Scott to T. Napoli with	3 "controlled Substance Awareness:
4	attachment, ALLERGAN_MDL_ 01236063 through 6094	Understanding the Threat," 4 Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02054999
5	Napoli Exhibit 7, Email chain 138	through 5022
6	beginning with email dated 4/12/10 from S. Soltis to Napoli,	Napoli Exhibit 14, NJPIG letter dated 225
7	Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_01236097	6 7/20/11 from NJPIG Committee to Rannazzisi, Bates-stamped
7 8	and 6098  Napoli Exhibit 8, Email chain 147	7 ENDO-OPIOID_MDL-02219848 through 19851
	beginning with email dated 4/29/10	8
9	from L. Scott to Napoli, ALLERGAN_MDL_01236095 through 6096	Napoli Exhibit 15, Watson document 239 entitled SOMS Project Evolution IT Concerned Meeting Pates at a series
10 11	Napoli Exhibit 9, PowerPoint 166 presentation entitled "DEA affairs	Governance Meeting, Bates-stamped  10 ALLERGAN_MDL_02468983 through 68994
12	Organizational Achievements, ALLERGAN_MDL_02467984 through 7998	Napoli Exhibit 16, Watson document 257 entitled SOMS Project Evolution IT
13	Napoli Exhibit 10, Watson 210 177	12 Governance Meeting, Bates-stamped 13 ALLERGAN MDL 02187196 through 87199
14	Performance Review Form - Exempt, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN MDL 03535275	14
15	through 283	15 Napoli Exhibit 17, Email chain 262 16 beginning with email dated 4/26/12
16 17	Napoli Exhibit 11, Customer Services 181	17 from Picone to Woods with
18	Agreement - Statement of Work No. 1,	18 attachments, Bates-stamped
19 20	Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_03535028 through 5030	19 ACQUIRED_ACTAVIS_01179002 through 005   20
21	-	21 Napoli Exhibit 18, Cegedim document 276
22 23	Napoli Exhibit 12, Meeting Minutes 186 dated 9/8/11 ALLERGAN MDL 02176488	22 entitled Buzzeo PDMA Suspicious Order 23 Monitoring Seminar, Bates-stamped
24	through 6492	24 ALLERGAN_MDL_02467214 through 7216
		1

	Page 349	Page 350
1	INDEX OF EXHIBIT S(Cont'd)	1 INDEX OF EXHIBITS(Cont'd.)
2	ALLERGAN-NAPOLI EXHIBITS PAGE	2 ALLERGAN-NAPOLI EXHIBITS PAGE
3	Napoli Exhibit 19, Email chain 282 beginning with email dated 9/27/12	3
	from Napoli to Lepore and others,	4 Napoli Exhibit 26, Email chain 326
4	Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_04173111 through 113	5 beginning with email dated 1/11/16
5	Napoli Exhibit 20, Email chain 287	6 from Baran to Russo with attachment,
6	beginning with email dated 6/26/13 from Collins to Napoli, Bates-stamped	7 Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_ 03431731
7	ALLERGAN_MDL_02179760 through 772	8 through 1739
8	Napoli Exhibit 21,2014 Year-End 294	9
9	Review DEA Materials, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN MDL 03535137 through 143	10 Napoli Exhibit 27, Email dated 329
10	Napoli Exhibit 22, Email chain 301	11 11/13/13 from Kemnitzer to
	beginning with email dated 6/27/14	12 distribution list, Bates-stamped
11	from Napoli to Simmons, Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_02146710 through 714	13 PPLPC020000735777 through 782
12	Napoli Exhibit 23, Document entitled 305	14
13	"Customer Analysis and SOMS Overview	15 Napoli Exhibit 28, Email chain 331
14	Import/Export," Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_021477093 through 7110	16 beginning with email dated 1/14/16
15	Napoli Exhibit 24, Email chain 314	17 from Lepore to Simmons, Bates-stamped
16	beginning with email dated 7/31/14 from Napoli to Simmons, Bates-stamped	18 ALLERGAN_MDL_01551062 through 1064
	ACQUIRED_ACTAVIS_02476517 through	20 Napoli Exhibit 29, Natively-produced 331
17 18	6518	21 Spreadsheet, Bates-stamped
19 20	Napoli Exhibit 25, Actavis document 320 entitled "Project Continuation	22 ALLERGAN MDL 01551064 xlsx
21	Justification: SOM Statistical Model	23
22 23	Development and Hosting 'in the Cloud'", Bates-stamped ALLERGAN_MDL_	24
24	03535253 through 257	
	Page 351	
1	ERRATA SHEET FOR THE TRANSCRIPT OF:	
1 2	ERRATA SHEET FOR THE TRANSCRIPT OF: CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE	
	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE	
2	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE	
2	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019	
2 3 4	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019  DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI	
2 3 4 5	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019  DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI	
2 3 4 5 6	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019  DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI	
2 3 4 5 6 7	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019  DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019  DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019  DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019 DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019 DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019 DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019 DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019 DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019 DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI Pg. Ln. Now Reads Should Read Reason	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019 DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI Pg. Ln. Now Reads Should Read Reason	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019 DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI Pg. Ln. Now Reads Should Read Reason	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019 DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI Pg. Ln. Now Reads Should Read Reason	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019 DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI Pg. Ln. Now Reads Should Read Reason	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019 DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI Pg. Ln. Now Reads Should Read Reason	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CASE NAME: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE DATE: JANUARY 17, 2019 DEPONENT: THOMAS P. NAPOLI Pg. Ln. Now Reads Should Read Reason	